

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2023)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Health & Human Services (HHS)	<b>Date:</b>	9/1/2023	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	7
<b>IAC #:</b>	641	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	193	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	272C
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Joe Campos	<b>Email:</b>	Joe.campos@ldph.iowa.gov	<b>Phone:</b>	515-304-0963

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

This rule chapter describes the composition and use of an impaired practitioner review committee to evaluate, assist, monitor and, as necessary, make reports to an HHS licensing board on the recovery or rehabilitation of licensed practitioners who self-report impairments.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

An impaired practitioner review committee is no longer utilized at HHS following the movement of most licensing boards out of the Department as part of the government reorganization. The remaining licensing board covered by the requirements of Iowa Code 272C, Emergency Medical Services, does not use a peer review committee to investigate reported impairments. These committees are optional under Iowa Code.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

NA

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

NA

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

NA

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  YES  NO**

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

NA

**Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]**

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

This chapter is obsolete as it no longer matches Department practice upon implementation of the government reorganization.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

641-193.1  
641-193.2  
641-193.3  
641-193.4  
641-193.5  
641-193.6  
641-193.7

**\*RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION\* (list rule number[s] or include text if available):**

NA

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, please attach a document with suggested changes, if available.***

**METRICS**

<b>Total number of rules repealed:</b>	7
<b>Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	1,332
<b>Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	28

**ARE THERE ANY RULES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND BE CODIFIED IN STATUTE?**

NA