# Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2023)

-			-		
Department	Health &	Date:	9/1/2023	<b>Total Rule</b>	4
Name:	Human			Count:	
	Services				
	(HHS)				
	641	Chapter/	194	Iowa Code	272D
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Joe Campos	Email	Joe.campos@idph.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-304-
Name:					0963

## PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

## What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This rule chapter sets forth HHS procedure in denying the issuance, renewal, suspension or revocation of a professional license for nonpayment of state debt. This process commences upon receipt of a certificate of noncompliance from the centralized collection unit of the Department of Revenue.

### Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Figures below are actuals incurred in the fiscal years shown.

## **Identified Impacts\***

	SFY2018	SFY2019	SFY2020	SFY2021	SFY2022	5 Year Total
Costs						
HHS Implementation	(\$350)	(\$350)	(\$350)	(\$350)	(\$350)	(\$1750)
Benefits						
Increased Public Safety & Trust	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible
Net Value	\$350	\$350	\$350	\$350	\$350	\$1750

\*All monetary figures have been rounded to the nearest thousandth.

Denying professional licensure for nonpayment of state debt ensures licensees of the Department engage in professional conduct at a level suitable to their profession, leading to increased public safety and trust in HHS licensure programs.

#### What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

While there are costs related to being licensed by the Department, no costs are directly associated with denial of licensure for nonpayment of state debt.

#### What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

HHS incurs personnel costs for team members to manage this process. These costs are reflected in the table above as "HHS Implementation".

#### Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

The cost benefit analysis above shows a net value of \$1750 and an increase in public safety and trust. Eliminating the denial of licensure for nonpayment of state debt may result in the licensure of some individuals that do not engage in professional conduct of the level to which the Department desires. Diminished professional conduct of licensees may lead to a decrease in public safety and a lack of trust in licenses issued by the Department.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  $\Box$  YES  $\boxtimes$  NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

HHS implements this process in accordance to the procedure set forth in Iowa Code. This rule chapter describes HHS timelines and communication methods for completing the procedure but does not ascribe additional department duties or implementation elements in addition to those directly defined in Code.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

194.2 194.3

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

194.3

# \*RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION\* (list rule number[s] or include text if available):

194.1

194.2

194.4

\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, please attach a document with suggested changes, if available.

## METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	610
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	17

#### ARE THERE ANY RULES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND BE CODIFIED IN STATUTE?

NA