Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2023)

Department	Health &	Date:	8/30/2023	Total Rule	8
Name:	Human Services			Count:	
	(HHS)				
	441	Chapter/	13	Iowa Code	234.12
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	237A.12
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	239B.4
				Rule:	249A.4
					5141.4
Contact Name:	Joe Campos	Email:	Joe.campos@	Phone:	515-304-0963
			Idph.iowa.gov		

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter defines HHS methods and procedures to review public assistance program eligibility determinations made by Department staff. These quality control measures are designed to ensure HHS implements these programs in accordance with Iowa Code and federal regulations and in an efficient and effective manner. HHS impacted programs include: Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, Family Investment Program, Medical Assistance, Child Care Assistance.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

The following are actuals incurred in the fiscal years shown.

Identified Impacts*

	SFY2018	SFY2019	SFY2020	SFY2021	SFY2022	5 Year Total
Costs						
HHS Implementation	(\$1,672,000)	(\$1,819,000)	(\$1,947,000)	(\$1,950,000)	(\$1,911,000)	(\$9,299,000)
Benefits						
Improved HHS Services	Qualitative	Qualitative	Qualitative	Qualitative	Qualitative	Qualitative
Increased Public Trust	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible
Net Value	(\$1,672,000)	(\$1,819,000)	(\$1,947,000)	(\$1,950,000)	(\$1,911,000)	(\$9,299,000)

^{*}All monetary figures have been rounded to the nearest thousandth.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None identified.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

HHS incurs personnel costs for those team members tasked with completing the quality control procedure. These costs are reflected in the table above as "HHS Implementation".

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Though the cost benefit analysis shows a negative financial outcome, quality control measures ensure publicly funded services are implemented as intended by the Iowa legislature and in compliance with state

and procedures that support how HHS team members determine eligibility for public assistance programs. This leads to efficient and effective provision of public services and an increased public trust in HHS systems and programs.
Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.
HHS is implementing the quality control program according to the parameters detailed in Iowa Code and federal regulations.
Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]
PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE
13.1 13.2 13.3 13.5 13.7
RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]): None identified.
RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):
13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 13.6 13.7 13.8
*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

and federal regulations. Review findings also assist HHS in making quality improvements to the processes

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	171

Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	8
---	---

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

None identified.