Iowa

UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2022 Substance Abuse Block Grant Report SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 04/19/2019 - Expires 04/30/2022 (generated on 07/19/2022 11.06.00 AM)

Center for Substance Abuse Prevention Division of State Programs

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment Division of State and Community Assistance

I: State Information

State Information

I. State Agency for the Block Grant

Agency Name Iowa Department of Public Health

Organizational Unit Division of Behavioral Health

Mailing Address 321 E. 12th St.

City Des Moines

Zip Code 50319-0075

II. Contact Person for the Block Grant

First Name DeAnn

Last Name Decker

Agency Name Iowa Department of Public Health

Mailing Address 321 E. 12th St.

City Des Moines

Zip Code 50319-0075

Telephone 515-281-0928

Fax 515-281-4535

Email Address deann.decker@idph.iowa.gov

III. Expenditure Period

State Expenditure Period

From 7/1/2020

To 6/30/2021

Block Grant Expenditure Period

From 10/1/2018

To 9/30/2020

IV. Date Submitted

Submission Date 11/29/2021 2:21:17 PM

Revision Date 6/3/2022 8:27:59 AM

V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name michele

Last Name Tilotta

Telephone 515-229-3985

Fax

Email Address michele.tilotta@idph.iowa.gov

VI. Contact Person Responsible for Substance Abuse Data

First Name michele

Last Name tilotta

Telephone 515-229-3985

Email Address michele.tilotta@idph.iowa.gov

| 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 |
|--|
| |
| Footnotes: |
| Toothotes. |
| |

II: Annual Update

Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

Priority #: 1

Priority Area: Expand the capacity of the IPN Primary Prevention Workforce to target increased rates of Underage and Binge Drinking

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP

Goal of the priority area:

By year two, the underage drinking rate of 8th graders will be reduced by at least one percentage point as documented by the Iowa Youth Survey (2020 -2022)

Objective:

IDPH will identify and implement strategies to reduce binge-drinking in youth under the age of 18 and young adults up to age 24

Strategies to attain the goal:

IDPH is supporting ongoing evidence-based programming through online and in-person trainings, to support IPN prevention agencies in their efforts to address underage alcohol use and binge drinking.

IDPH is requiring all IPN contractors to focus on Alcohol as a required prevention priority. IDPH is currently conducting three IPN Prevention Regional Trainings on the Strategic Prevention Framework to discuss the Assessment and Capacity steps which will inform future prevention strategies.

IDPH is supporting ongoing evidence-based programming through online and in-person trainings, during year one, to support IPN prevention agencies in their efforts to address underage alcohol use and binge drinking.

Alcohol is a required prevention priority area that all funded agencies must address throughout the project period of the grant. Prevention strategies focusing on alcohol and currently include but are not limited to the following:

Evidence-based programming such as LifeSkills, Project Alert, Curriculum Based Support Group, and Prime for Life;

Drivers education focusing on the risks and harms of underage drinking;

Health Promotion efforts such as the "What Do You Throw Away" underage drinking media campaign;

Responsible Beverage Server Training for liquor license establishments utilizing the Training for Intervention Procedures evidence-based program; Providing technical assistance and support to local community coalitions to discuss the importance and benefits of alternative activities;

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here:

(if needed)

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #: 1

Indicator: Reuced the drinking rate of 8th grade students by one percentage point

Baseline Measurement: lowa youth survey

First-year target/outcome measurement: 8.9%
Second-year target/outcome measurement: 7.9%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Iowa Youth Survey 2020-2022

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

Data collected evey two years in survey administered in school setting

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Data not available until 2021 as data survey administered every two years with data collection and analysis following that collection of data New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Ability to collect IYS data Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: By year 2, the underage drinking rate of 8th graders will be reduced by at least one percentage point as documented by the Iowa Youth Survey (IYS) 2020-2022 report Challenges: Due to Covid-1 in 2020; , the Governor of Iowa issued the following proclamation: Per the disaster proclamation by Governor Reynolds on May 20, 2020, the Iowa Youth Survey (IYS) is delayed until 2021. The IYS is delayed to allow time for more planning and registration, and so as to not cause additional schedule disruptions as students are returning to IDPH is moving forward with plans to conduct the survey during the spring semester of 2021 dependent on additional impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. Periodically over the next 3-6 months, IDPH shall provide updates on survey administration timeframes, anticipated administration changes, and registration for the survey. Please look for these updates over the summer and feel free to submit any IYS questions to iowayouthsurvey.iowa.gov. As a result of the proclamation, IDPH will not have data available from the IYS to demonstrate that target data measures were met. How first year target was achieved (optional): IDPH will identify and implement strategies in youth under 18 and young adults up to age 24. IDPH is requiring all IPN contractors to focus on Alcohol as a required prevention priority throughout the project period. Prevention strategies focusing on alcohol currently include but are not limited to the following: Utilization of the Assessment step of the Strategic Prevention Framework on the to understand community readiness on binge drinking in each IPN funded county. Evidence-based individual programming such as LifeSkills, Project Alert, Curriculum Based Support Group, and Prime for Life; Drivers education focusing on the risks and harms of underage drinking. Environmental strategy implementation focusing on the "What Do You Throw Away" underage drinking prevention media campaign and "Think Before You Drink" adult alcohol use prevention media campaign; Responsible Beverage Server Training through the Training for Intervention Procedures (TIPS) for liquor license establishments. Providing technical assistance and support to local community coalitions to discuss the importance and benefits of alternative activities as well as alcohol-related data, effective prevention services and resources. Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Second Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: Unable to show achievement of data threshold due to delay of the Iowa Youth Survey (IYS) related to Covid-19 pandemic and the Governor of Iowa proclamation indicating a delay in administration of the IYS. Per the disaster proclamation by Governor Reynolds on May 20, 2020, the Iowa Youth Survey (IYS) is delayed until 2021. The IYS is delayed to allow time for more planning and registration, and so as to not cause additional schedule disruptions as students are returning to school in the fall. As a result of the proclamation and subsequent postponement of the survey, IDPH will not have data available to support a reduction in underage drinking as evidenced by the IYS by the end of year 2. Data will be available in Spring of 22. IDPH moved forward with plans and conducted the IYS survey during the spring semester of 2021. Following the survey, IDPH provided updates on survey administration timeframes, anticipated administration changes, and registration for the survey. Due to the postponement of the IYS, data results are not available. For further information see: iowayouthsurvey.iowa.gov. IDPH continues to support ongoing evidence-based programming through online and in-person training, to support IPN prevention agencies in their efforts to address underage alcohol use and binge drinking. IDPH conducted IPN Prevention Regional Trainings on the Strategic Prevention Framework to discuss the Assessment and Capacity steps which will inform future prevention strategies. Training on the planning steps and on environmental strategies will be provided in

November 2021.

IDPH is supporting ongoing evidence-based programming through online and in-person training, during year one, to support IPN prevention agencies in their efforts to address underage alcohol use and binge drinking.

IDPH is requiring all IPN contractors to focus on Alcohol as a required prevention priority. All IPN funded agencies must address Alcohol throughout the project period of the grant. Prevention strategies focusing on alcohol and currently include but are not limited to the following:

Evidence-based programming such as LifeSkills, Project Alert, Curriculum Based Support Group, and Prime for Life, Drivers education focusing on the risks and harms of underage drinking,

Health Promotion efforts such as the "What Do You Throw Away" underage drinking media campaign,

Responsible Beverage Server Training for liquor license establishments utilizing the Training for Intervention Procedures evidence-based program,

Providing technical assistance and support to local community coalitions to discuss the importance and benefits of alternative activities to address underage alcohol use and binge drinking.

How second year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #: 2

Priority Area: Increase IPN workforce competency in Zero Suicide: Youth and Young Adult Mental Health- Serious Suicidal Ideation Increase-Plan

to Address:

Priority Type: SAT

Population(s): PP

Goal of the priority area:

IDPH will increase the competency of the IPN network to implement Zero Suicide strategies

Objective:

IPN contractors will increase competency in assessing and developing policies and skills in the Zero Suicide Framework

Strategies to attain the goal:

The majority of contractors utilize the PHQ-9, with the few others utilizing screenings such as SAD Persons scale, suicide risk assessment, Columbia Suicide Severity Tool etc. IDPH will require ALL IPN providers to implement an evidenced based screening tool for sucide/depression screening and to repeat screening as needed throughout the treatment episode. IDPH will explore the use of requiring one specific screening IDPH will educate the IPN contractors about co-occurring disorders, data and the required focus to either provide mental health related services or utilize care coordination to provide warm handoffs and secure linkages to mental health providers in the community.IDPH will provide training on the Zero Suicide framework to all IPN contractors.IDPH will continue to provide education to IPN. IDPH will work with contractors to complete detailed safety planning for participants who had a positive suicide screening and identified some level of risk for self-harm (current or past). IDPH through the Zero Suicide Iowa project, will be offering patients who may be at risk for suicide follow-up calls as they transition out of residential SUD treatment.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here: (if needed)

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

Indicator: Increase workforce competency in Zero Suicide

Baseline Measurement: By the end the the Zero Suicide Project, contractors will utilze screening, have training in

Zero Suicide, will demonstrate competency in zero suicide safety planning and will have

follow-up processess in place

First-year target/outcome measurement: By the end of year one, IDPH will review screening tools and ensure all IPN providers are

assessing for depression and suicide

Second-year target/outcome measurement: By 2020, approximately half of the IPN contractors will have attended the Zero Suicide

Academy

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Retro Reviews, Zero Suicide Academy Trainings

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

Documentation of Screening tools utilized in Retrospective Reviews; Zero Suicide Attendance logs

| incomplete attendance logs | ct outcome measures: | |
|--|--|---|
| | ; need for increased techni | ical assistance |
| New Data issues/caveats that | t affect outcome measures: | |
| Report of Progress ⁻ | Toward Goal Attair | nment |
| First Year Target: | Achieved | Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) |
| Reason why target was not a | chieved, and changes prop | posed to meet target: |
| How first year target was ach | ieved (optional): | |
| mplementing the zero suicid owa Provider Network (block September 2020. Approximate and fwill participate in an Acad DPH formed the lowa Suicide organizations. This group deen lowa by 10% by the year 200 provide a framework for sucide zero sucide within a cultural competence of the | le framework in healthcare of grant funded providers). A ely half of the IPN providers demy in late 2021 or early 20 e Prevention Planning Group veloped the lowa Plan for S 2018. The plan builds on prevention. The report is context. Currently, the ISPPO etency of the IPN providers ing other suicide preventions and Managing Suicide (SIST), and Counseling on A covides an evidence based a education about suicide, safe | ently, IDPH has a zero suicide discretionary grant. This grant focuses on and behavioral healthcare settings. Iowa is implementing this grant through the All IPN provided participated in a day-long introduction to Zero Suicide in a sattended a formal virtual Zero Suicide Academy September 2-3, 2020; the other 2022. UP (ISPPG) in 2014 of approximately 25 people representing state aand local Suicide Prevention with a goal of reducing the annual number of deaths by suicide evious plans and incorporates the 2012 national Strategy for Suicide Prevention to identified several key areas that cross all goals and objectives, including stigma, PG is beginning the process to revise lowa's Suicide Prevention Plan. Is to implement Zero Suicide strategies. Besides the aforementioned Zero Suicide on trainings to IPN providers thorugh the Zero Suicide project. These evidence are risk for Substance Use Disorder Professionals (AMSR SUD), Applied Suicide Access to Lethal Means (CALM). More training will be offered during the remaining approach to suicide prevention and involves Zero Suicide intervention skills affety planning, care trainsitions (warm handoffs) and is an evidenced bassed |
| • | fe" for suicide prevention ra | an during May and June 2020 and the materials are available on the |
| nealth. Within this legislation | , the "Department of Huma hour crisis hotline that inc | was expanded to include resources for mental health including children's mental an Services (DHS) and Iowa Department of Public Health (IDPH) shall provide a corporates information for families of children with a serious emotional sion of the yourlifeiowa platform" |
| = | = : | |
| disturbance which may be pro The Patient Health Questionn | the state of the s | reening tool for screening of depression/suicide. IDPH has provided training on N) providers are required to utilize this tool on all clients. |
| disturbance which may be pro The Patient Health Questionn use of the PHQ and all Integra | the state of the s | |
| disturbance which may be pro The Patient Health Questionn use of the PHQ and all Integra Second Year Target: | ated Provider Network (IPN Achieved | N) providers are required to utilize this tool on all clients. Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| disturbance which may be pro The Patient Health Questionn | ated Provider Network (IPN Achieved chieved, and changes prop | N) providers are required to utilize this tool on all clients. Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |

Priority #: 3

Priority Area: Expansion of MAT services including Access to MAT physicians

Priority Type: SAT

Population(s): PWID

Goal of the priority area:

Increase access to Medication Assisted Treatment Services and Increase Medication Assisted Treatment Prescribers

Objective:

Build capacity of the IPN network to build capacity to address the needs of lowans with opioid use disorders and individuals with polysubstance abuse; including persons who inject drugs who are at higher risk for infectious disease.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Collaboration with IDPH's Bureau of HIV, STD and Hepatitis to expand education, screening, care coordination, risk reduction intervention and counseling for Iowa MAT clients. IDPH has hired a substance abuse/HIV coordinator to link needed services. The Bureau of HIV, STD, and Hepatitis contracts with 10 local public health agencies and community-based organizations (located in the most populous counties of Iowa) to provide opportunities for high-risk and disproportionately impacted populations to test for HIV, STD (chlamydia, gonorrhea, and syphilis), and hepatitis B and C. Sites also offer immunizations for hepatitis A and B to high-risk adults who have never been vaccinated. Staff at these counseling, testing, and referral (CTR) sites provide counseling to help initiate behavior change to avoid infection or if the client is infected, to prevent transmission to others. They also refer clients to additional prevention programs, medical care, and services, like substance abuse treatment.

IDPH is participating in the State Prescription Abuse Work group and works with the Board of Pharmacy's Prescription Drug Monitoring Program.IDPH has put in place a statewide standing order for administration of Naloxone. Pharmacies, state-wide, have stocked naloxone per the standing orders.IDPH has worked with other state agencies and stakeholders to prevent opioid overdose by providing access to naloxone, the medication that temporarily reverses an opioid overdose, allowing the person to receive life-saving medical care.IDPH is offering MAT as a funded Recovery Support Services through various discretionary grants such as STR, and SOR grantsIDPH competitively procured the Integrated Provider Network for Methadone providers as part of the integrated RFP which was effective January 2019. United Community Services, the selected Methadone OTP, has expanded the number of OTPs – including satellite "Medication Unit" locations to three OTPs, with a combined total of 12 locations with 3 more planned by late 2019. The expansion will increase access to MAT services across the state.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here: (if needed)

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #: 1

Indicator: Iowan's will have Increased access to MAT providers: number of physicians prescribing

Buprenorphine

Baseline Measurement: Expansion of Medication Units to provide Methadone and Buprenorphine

First-year target/outcome measurement: 3 additional Medication units by end of 2019

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Increase in number of prescribers from 160 to 194 by end of year two

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

SAMHSA registry and State data for number of Medication Units prescribing MAT

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

SAMHSA Prescriber Registry and tracking of State MAT clinics

New Description of Data:(if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

| | sues/caveats that affect outcome measures: | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Report | of Progress Toward Goal Attainment | | | | | | | | |
| First Year | Target: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) | | | | | | | | |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: | | | | | | | | | |
| Initiated disopened 11 Through de staff contin time, Iowa h | cussions with UCS Healthcare regarding the ability to offer services through a Medication Unit. Since that time, UCS has Medication Units across the state. Weloped materials (MAT Provider Maps), community presentations, and publicized need (Opioid Update Newsletter), the IDPH uously addressed the benefits of Buprenorphine as a treatment for OUD, along with the state's lack of providers. Since that has increased the number of Buprenorphine Waivered to 195 (9/1/2020) (from 160 identified). The State Opioid Response Grant, (SOR), IDPH is offering subsidized MAT services for all three FDA approved medications for the COLD. | | | | | | | | |
| Second Ye | | | | | | | | | |
| | target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: | | | | | | | | |
| | I year target was achieved (optional): | | | | | | | | |
| Buprenorp 200 waiver IDPH has o | e Medicine and Nursing state boards, and direct outreach to prescribers and other medical professionals), the number of hine Waivered prescribers in Iowa increased from 31 in 2015 to 196 in 2021 ((listed on the SAMHSA locator) and an additioned prescribers not listed on the locator. Ollaborated with United Community Services, the selected IPN funded Methadone OTP in Iowa, to expand the number of | | | | | | | | |
| Buprenorp 200 waiver IDPH has c OTP's-inclinitiated co availability counties in | hine Waivered prescribers in lowa increased from 31 in 2015 to 196 in 2021 ((listed on the SAMHSA locator) and an additioned ed prescribers not listed on the locator. | | | | | | | | |
| Buprenorp 200 waiver IDPH has c OTP's-inclinitiated co availability counties in | hine Waivered prescribers in Iowa increased from 31 in 2015 to 196 in 2021 ((listed on the SAMHSA locator) and an additioned prescribers not listed on the locator. ollaborated with United Community Services, the selected IPN funded Methadone OTP in Iowa, to expand the number of uding satellite "Medication Units" In 2015, Iowa had five OTPs, with a combined total of eight locations in five counties. IDPHonversations with one of the OTPs in Iowa to discuss the use of "Medication Units". Since that time, Iowa has increased of this service through the use of Medication Unit locations – to five OTPs, with a combined total of 19 locations in 15 a 2020. Iowa's use of Medication Units involves establishment of dosing facilities within established SUD treatment facilities reinforce community-based support. | | | | | | | | |
| Buprenorp 200 waiver IDPH has c OTP's-incluinitiated co availability counties ir in order to | hine Waivered prescribers in Iowa increased from 31 in 2015 to 196 in 2021 ((listed on the SAMHSA locator) and an addition ed prescribers not listed on the locator. ollaborated with United Community Services, the selected IPN funded Methadone OTP in Iowa, to expand the number of uding satellite "Medication Units" In 2015, Iowa had five OTPs, with a combined total of eight locations in five counties. IDPH proversations with one of the OTPs in Iowa to discuss the use of "Medication Units". Since that time, Iowa has increased of this service through the use of Medication Unit locations – to five OTPs, with a combined total of 19 locations in 15 2020. Iowa's use of Medication Units involves establishment of dosing facilities within established SUD treatment facilities reinforce community-based support. 4 Expand Competency of New IPN Workforce and Develop Strategies for Increased Admissions related to Primary or Second Methamphetamine Admissions | | | | | | | | |
| Buprenorp 200 waiver IDPH has o OTP's-incluinitiated oc availability counties ir in order to #: Area: | hine Waivered prescribers in Iowa increased from 31 in 2015 to 196 in 2021 ((listed on the SAMHSA locator) and an additioned prescribers not listed on the locator. ollaborated with United Community Services, the selected IPN funded Methadone OTP in Iowa, to expand the number of uding satellite "Medication Units" In 2015, Iowa had five OTPs, with a combined total of eight locations in five counties. IDPH proversations with one of the OTPs in Iowa to discuss the use of "Medication Units". Since that time, Iowa has increased of this service through the use of Medication Unit locations – to five OTPs, with a combined total of 19 locations in 15 in 2020. Iowa's use of Medication Units involves establishment of dosing facilities within established SUD treatment facilities reinforce community-based support. 4 Expand Competency of New IPN Workforce and Develop Strategies for Increased Admissions related to Primary or Second Methamphetamine Admissions SAT | | | | | | | | |
| Buprenorp 200 waiver IDPH has of OTP's-incluinitiated of availability counties ir in order to #: Area: Type: tion(s): | hine Waivered prescribers in lowa increased from 31 in 2015 to 196 in 2021 ((listed on the SAMHSA locator) and an additioned prescribers not listed on the locator. ollaborated with United Community Services, the selected IPN funded Methadone OTP in lowa, to expand the number of uding satellite "Medication Units" In 2015, lowa had five OTPs, with a combined total of eight locations in five counties. IDPF onversations with one of the OTPs in lowa to discuss the use of "Medication Units". Since that time, lowa has increased of this service through the use of Medication Unit locations – to five OTPs, with a combined total of 19 locations in 15 2020. lowa's use of Medication Units involves establishment of dosing facilities within established SUD treatment facilities reinforce community-based support. 4 Expand Competency of New IPN Workforce and Develop Strategies for Increased Admissions related to Primary or Second Methamphetamine Admissions SAT PWWDC | | | | | | | | |
| Buprenorp 200 waiver IDPH has of OTP's-incluinitiated of availability counties ir in order to "#: "Area: "Type: tion(s): | hine Waivered prescribers in lowa increased from 31 in 2015 to 196 in 2021 ((listed on the SAMHSA locator) and an additioned prescribers not listed on the locator. ollaborated with United Community Services, the selected IPN funded Methadone OTP in lowa, to expand the number of uding satellite "Medication Units" In 2015, lowa had five OTPs, with a combined total of eight locations in five counties. IDPF onversations with one of the OTPs in lowa to discuss the use of "Medication Units". Since that time, lowa has increased of this service through the use of Medication Unit locations – to five OTPs, with a combined total of 19 locations in 15 2020. lowa's use of Medication Units involves establishment of dosing facilities within established SUD treatment facilities reinforce community-based support. 4 Expand Competency of New IPN Workforce and Develop Strategies for Increased Admissions related to Primary or Second Methamphetamine Admissions SAT PWWDC | | | | | | | | |
| Buprenorp 200 waiver IDPH has of OTP's-incluinitiated of availability counties ir in order to "#: "Area: "Type: tion(s): | hine Waivered prescribers in Iowa increased from 31 in 2015 to 196 in 2021 ((listed on the SAMHSA locator) and an addition ed prescribers not listed on the locator. ollaborated with United Community Services, the selected IPN funded Methadone OTP in Iowa, to expand the number of using satellite "Medication Units" In 2015, Iowa had five OTPs, with a combined total of eight locations in five counties. IDPI-noversations with one of the OTPs in Iowa to discuss the use of "Medication Units". Since that time, Iowa has increased of this service through the use of Medication Unit locations – to five OTPs, with a combined total of 19 locations in 15 2020. Iowa's use of Medication Units involves establishment of dosing facilities within established SUD treatment facilities reinforce community-based support. 4 Expand Competency of New IPN Workforce and Develop Strategies for Increased Admissions related to Primary or Second Methamphetamine Admissions SAT PWWDC area: EIPN Women and Children workforce to effectively identify and treat women and women with dependent children who have | | | | | | | | |

IDPH will review data and monitor on an ongoing basis to monitor data trends-quarterly. IDPH is inviting the Women and Children contractors to participate in a series of Stimulant/Methamphetamine specific Project Echo trainings to occur later in 2019 sponsored by the Addiction Technology Transfer Center. IDPH is providing shared training opportunities to Women and Children contractors through the PRISM Policy Academy participants; and including maternal health providers.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here:

| anual Darfarmanca Indicators to moscu | TO MODE SURGOSS | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| nnual Performance Indicators to measu | re goal success | | | | | | |
| Indicator #: | 1 | | | | | | |
| Indicator: | Increase workforce competency in treating women with stimulant disorders | | | | | | |
| Baseline Measurement: | IDPH has held educational meetings with contractors to review current data/trends/treatment options | | | | | | |
| First-year target/outcome measurement: | 100% of Women and Children Contractors will participate in series of Project Echo trainings on Stimulant disorders by end of 2020 | | | | | | |
| Second-year target/outcome measurement: | 100% of Women and Children Contractors will attend a Polysubstance Summit to be held by end of 2019. | | | | | | |
| New Second-year target/outcome measurem | nent(if needed): | | | | | | |
| Data Source: | | | | | | | |
| Project Echo Trainings logs and attendance | records at summit | | | | | | |
| New Data Source(if needed): | | | | | | | |
| Description of Data: | | | | | | | |
| attendance log records | | | | | | | |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) | | | | | | | |
| Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea | sures: | | | | | | |
| environmental factors such as weather cond attendance | litions to attend face to face trainings, workforce development issues which could impact | | | | | | |
| New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome | e measures: | | | | | | |
| Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | |
| First Year Target: Achiev | ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) | | | | | | |

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Women and Children providers were invited to participate in the Addictions Technology Transfer Center (ATTC) Project Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes, (ECHO) Webinar series beginning in October 2019. This series utilized a Project ECHO format to provide case based learning and consultation for substance use and other health care providers working with women who use stimulants. Using the ZOOM video conferencing platform, providers were able to connect directly with leading experts in the field to build their capacity to provide evidence-based care. Each of the 6 sessions includes a brief didactic presentation and an interactive discussion on de-identified client cases. Approximately half of the Women and Children providers attended. As the series was recorded, and slides were available, the participants were able to download the content if unable to attend in person at: https://attcnetwork.org/centers/mountain-plains-attc/women-and-stimulant-use-echo-series

Provide shared training opportunities to Women and Children contractors through the Promoting Innovation in State Maternal Child Health Policymaking (PRISM) Policy Academy (cross system collaboration between maternal health and Substance Use Disorder (SUD) The Iowa Department of Public Health, in collaboration with the maternal health Title V and Title IV programs, are involved in a policy academy through the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO). The state plan developed strategies and action items to cross train maternal health providers and women and children providers in Overdose Recognition and Response. A training was held on January 16, 2020. Included in this presentation was education on Opioids, Overdose and Response and how to administer Naloxone. This training was offered to nurses who work in the community to be provided training on the tools and resources; including how to properly administer Naloxone. Through the Department of Public Health's standing order, nurses who completed the training, were able to obtain naloxone through the Department's standing order process. This training also facilitated increased collaboration between shared clients, offered opportunities for cross system collaboration and utilized a best practice regarding medication assisted treatment.

Invite Women and Children providers to the "A Closer Look- Methamphetamine, Opioids and Polysubstance Abuse Conference.

The Iowa Department of Public Health is leading a strategic focus on Methamphetamine and stimulant work. The IDPH has an internal

workgroup which has focused on the increase of Methamphetamine in our state. In addition, subcommittee members meet on a regular basis and have developed a strategic plan, have provided educational opportunities across the state and country and have led multiple

initiatives with the IPN network. Some of these initiatives include: media campaigns and Radio public service announcements, development of resource and educational materials, fact sheets, data briefs and campaigns focused on priority populations including women who are pregnant. Several of these resources focus on youth. IDPH makes resources available at: https://yourlifeiowa.org/drugs/methamphetamine

Other strategies IDPH has prioritized is bringing together the IPN network, including women and children providers, to provide educational content from leading experts. IDPH hosted "A Closer Look- Methamphetamine, Opioids and Polysubstance Conference" In December of 2019. Attendance by IPN providers were well represented.

Second Year Target:

Achieved

Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How second year target was achieved (optional):

https://yourlifeiowa.org/drugs/methamphetamine

The lowa Department of Public Health is leading a strategic focus on Methamphetamine and stimulant work. The IDPH has an internal workgroup which has focused on the increase of Methamphetamine in our state. In addition, subcommittee members meet on a regular basis and have developed a strategic plan, have provided educational opportunities across the state and country and have led multiple initiatives with the IPN network. Some of these initiatives include: media campaigns and radio public service announcements, development of resource and educational materials, fact sheets, data briefs and campaigns focused on priority populations including women who are pregnant. Several of these resources focus on youth. IDPH makes resources available at:

IDPH has prioritized bringing together the IPN network, including women and children providers, to provide educational content from leading experts. IDPH hosted "A Closer Look- Methamphetamine, Opioids and Polysubstance Conference" In December of 2019. Attendance by all IPN providers, including women and children, were represented.

IDPH is focusing federal and state opioid funding (through the State Opioid Response Grant) to increase efforts for overdose prevention and to increase expansion of prevention, treatment and recovery support services for people affected not only by opioids but also methamphetamine.

IDPH collaborated with the Iowa State University's Public Science Collaborative to create the "Methamphetamine Use in Iowa" report. This report was funded through the Overdose Data to Action grant and is built on focus group results, review of data on methamphetamine in Iowa (including people who are women, and people who are pregnant and parenting) and recommendations to address the issue. A data-to-action workshop will be held in November 2021 and IPN women and children funded providers and maternal health partners are invited to attend.

Priority #: 5

Priority Area: Expand workforce capacity of the IPN network to effectively serve individuals in need of screening, treatment or referral for

individuals with Tuberculosis (TB)

Priority Type: SAT

Population(s): TB

Goal of the priority area:

Increase capacity of IPN to effectively serve persons in need of screening, treatment or referral for persons with TB

Objective:

Increase workforce strategies related to screening, collaboration with partners, and providing treatment services

Strategies to attain the goal:

IDPH is requiring all IPN contracts to annual attest to following SABG TB requirements, annual training on TB requirements, In-depth planned trainingings on requirements at the lowa Behavioral Health Provider Association Meetings to contractor directors

SSA and provider director meetings to review requirements

Transition from a narrative reporting function to monitoring through the retrospective review process. beginning in October 2019.

IDPH will also focus education efforts to IPN contractors regarding SABG requirements and provide specific follow up for data collection, monitoring and follow-up. Monitor additional requirements through licensure process

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here:

(if needed)

—Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

| Indicator #: | 1 |
|---|---|
| Indicator: | IPN capacity will be increased to provide SABG requirments related to persons with TB or have screening process and referral processes in place |
| Baseline Measurement: | Number of IPN providers with screening processes and referral processes in place |
| First-year target/outcome measurement: | IDPH will provide training to all IPN contractors by end of year one |
| Second-year target/outcome measurement: | IDPH will complete retrospective reviews on 100% of IPN contractors to monitor compliance |
| New Second-year target/outcome measurer | nent(if needed): |
| Data Source: | |
| Training attendance logs and retrospective | reviews |
| New Data Source(if needed): | |
| Description of Data: | |
| attendance at required trainings for conver | yance and monitoring cllnical records and policy at retrospective reviews |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) | |
| Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me | asures: |
| lack of understanding of regulations, lack of | of workforce to collaborate, workforce development issues |
| | |
| New Data issues/caveats that affect outcom | e measures: |
| New Data issues/caveats that affect outcom | e measures: |
| | |
| Report of Progress Toward Go | pal Attainment |
| Report of Progress Toward Go | ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl | oal Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: |
| Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl How first year target was achieved (optional) | oal Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: |
| Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl | oal Attainment ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: |
| Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl How first year target was achieved (optional) | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: 1): 1): 1): 1): 1) Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl How first year target was achieved (optional Second Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl How second year target was achieved (optional | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: D: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: nanges proposed to meet target: |
| Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl How first year target was achieved (optional Second Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl How second year target was achieved, and cl How second year target was achieved (optional IDPH, on an annual basis, requires all IPN c In year two, all IPN contractors were requir IDPH is currently reviewing contractor polic Through the IDPH licensure process, Baseli house facilities. All residents shall be assess resident's admission, baseline TB testing for the resident's admission. Residents with a r radiograph result to exclude TB disease. Re recommended by a clinician. Residents with results of a chest radiograph indicating no another chest radiograph at the time of adu providers have reported the following: Number of Individuals counseled = 9,591 p | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: D: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) nanges proposed to meet target: ontractors to submit attestation documents to all SABG regulations. ed to submit policies and procedures on all SABG regulations, including TB, in October 2021. eies and procedures. The TB screening procedures are mandated for residents of residential, inpatient, and halfway ed for current symptoms of active TB disease upon admission. Within 72 hours of a per infection shall be initiated unless baseline TB testing occurred within three months prior to new positive test result for M. tuberculosis infection (i.e., TST or IGRA) shall receive one chest peat radiographs are not needed unless symptoms or signs of TB disease develop or unless and documentation of past positive test results (i.e., TST or IGRA) and documentation of the active disease, dated after the date of the positive TST or IGRA test result, do not need mission.IDPH is monitoring TB regulations through the IPN progress reports. To date, IPN |

Priority #: 6

Priority Area: Expand the capacity of the IPN Primary Prevention Workforce to target alcohol-related deaths with adults

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP Printed: 7/19/2022 11:06 AM - Iowa - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Goal of the priority area:

IDPH will increase the capacity of the IPN Primary Prevention Workforce to address alcohol-related deaths through identified evidence-based strategies.

Objective:

IPN Primary Prevention contractors will increase competency in effective evidence-based programs, policies and practices to address alcohol-related deaths.

Strategies to attain the goal:

IDPH has created a workgroup to discuss alcohol-related deaths and create a plan to address this issue including dissemination of data briefs, collaboration within IDPH divisions and other state agencies, researching and recommending evidence-based programs, practices and policies that most effectively address this priority issue. This information will be shared with IPN Primary Prevention contractors through a variety of means including resource sharing, trainings, guidance documents, etc.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here: (if needed)

| Indicator #: | 1 |
|---|--|
| Indicator: | Increase capacity of IPN Primary Prevention contractors address alcohol-related deaths |
| Baseline Measurement: | By year two, IPN Primary Prevention contractors will know of three evidence-based strategies to address this priority, received at least two trainings and implemented one strategy to address this priority issue. |
| First-year target/outcome measurement: | By the end of year one, IDPH staff will identify and approve at least three evidence-base strategies to address alcohol-related deaths in collaboration with the Alcohol-Related Deaths Workgroup and the Evidence-Based Practices Workgroup |
| Second-year target/outcome measurement: | by the end of year two, 100% of IPN Primary Prevention contractors will be implementing one identified strategy to address alcohol-related deaths |
| New Second-year target/outcome measurem | nent(if needed): |
| Data Source: | |
| I-SMART Prevention System, Alcohol-Related | d Deaths Workgroup Meeting minutes |
| | |
| Description of Data: | ontractors, documentation of workgroup decisions and approved resources |
| | ontractors, documentation of workgroup decisions and approved resources |
| Description of Data: Evidence-based strategy implemented by co | |
| Description of Data: Evidence-based strategy implemented by co | sures: |
| Description of Data: Evidence-based strategy implemented by co New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea | sures: fully, meeting notes being misplaced |
| Description of Data: Evidence-based strategy implemented by converged by Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean Data not entered not entered into I-SMART | sures: fully, meeting notes being misplaced e measures: |
| Description of Data: Evidence-based strategy implemented by concentration of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mead Data not entered not entered into I-SMART. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome | sures: fully, meeting notes being misplaced measures: al Attainment |

IDPH is continuing to support the Alcohol-Related Deaths Workgroup to discuss ways to reduce alcohol-involved deaths in the state. This workgroup meets monthly to discuss research and recommendations regarding evidence-based programs, practices and policies that

This workgroup has disseminated a data brief on alcohol-involved deaths and older adults in lowa and created and disseminated a media campaign on alcohol and adults titled "Think Before You Drink."

In collaboration within IDPH divisions and other state agencies, the workgroup is in the process of creating a plan to address alcohol-involved deaths and older adults that will include data to frame the issues, effective prevention strategies and other resources. This information will be shared with IPN Primary Prevention contractors.

Second Year Target:

Achieved

Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How second year target was achieved (optional):

IDPH established a workgroup which includes IDPH staff, community and state stakeholders to discuss collaborative efforts, policy efforts and next steps to reduce alcohol-related deaths.. This workgroup meets on a regular basis to discuss research and recommendations regarding evidence-based programs, practices and policies that most effectively address this priority issue. This workgroup has disseminated a data brief on alcohol-involved deaths and older adults in Iowa and created and disseminated a media campaign on alcohol and adult men titled "Think Before You Drink." In addition, this workgroup also assisted with the creation of a media campaign focused on women and alcohol use titled "Savor the Moment."

IDPH is finalizing a "Alcohol-Involved Deaths Prevention Plan" that will be distributed broadly.

IDPH collects and monitors a variety of behavioral health indicator data including alcohol-related death morbidity and mortality data to inform strategic focus and decision making. Included as part of the strategic focus is direction provided from the State Epidemiological Workgroup and Prevention Partnerships Advisory Council (SEWPPAC).

Through the Iowa Provider Network (IPN), primary prevention efforts focus on the lifespan but include priorities of addressing substance use with adults and older adults. This direction began through data gathered on alcohol-involved deaths. Data reviewed showed a ten year trend in which deaths related to alcohol use double with those 45 years old and older. IDPH has researched and promoted a variety of evidence-based programs, practices and policies to engage adults and older adults. The IPN prevention contractors are in the process of creating a strategic plan through the Planning step of the Strategic Prevention Framework. Alcohol use with adults in a priority issue and IDPH is providing training on the available strategies during fall and winter 2021-2022. IDPH is in the planning stages of collaborating with the lowa Alcohol Beverages Division to create an alcohol retailer education campaign project. This project will provide education to retailers about the new alcohol laws, some which just recently started as of July 1, 2021. This campaign will then be disseminated through IPN contractors as well as shared with lowa's prevention field.

Priority #: 7

Priority Area: Expand Competency of workforce to develop strategies related to the increase in E-Cigarette/Vaping in Iowans

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP

Goal of the priority area:

Increase competency of the IPN Primary Prevention contractors to align prevention efforts related to tobacco efforts and associated increase in vaping/e -cigarette use by Iowans

Objective:

Monitor E-Cigarette and Vaping use in Iowans

Strategies to attain the goal:

Collaborate and develop shared strategies with Division of Tobacco Use Prevention and Control, collaborate with IDPH Medical Director in providing education through multiple channels and communication with partners, monitor lowa data related to vaping illness, promote use of mylifemyquit.com for connecting teens to dedicated teen website,

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here:

(if needed)

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

1

Indicator:

Monitor Iowans use of vapor products and obtain baseline. Refer to SEW Workgroup for data analysis and recommendations

Baseline Measurement:

In Iowa, there have been 23 reported cases and no deaths. Of the 23 Iowa cases, ages

range from 17 to 60, 18 have reported the use of THC

| Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement Data Source: Reported respiratory illness in Iowa to IDPH/ | |
|---|---|
| Data Source: | |
| | CDC |
| Reported respiratory illness in Iowa to IDPH/ | CDC |
| | |
| New Data Source(if needed): | |
| Description of Data: | |
| Iowa Department of Public Health data at htt | ps://idph.iowa.gov/ehi/lung-disease-vaping |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) | |
| Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas | ures: |
| | m health impacts of these products are unknown. Reliance on health care providers to ask vaping and e-cigarette products and reliance on health care providers to report f vaping or -e-cigarette use to the IDPH. |
| New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome | measures: |
| Report of Progress Toward Goa | al Attainment |
| First Year Target: Achieve | _ |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and cha | nges proposed to meet target |
| How first year target was achieved (optional): | |
| Monitor E-Cigarette and Vaping use in Iowan | |
| | poration with the Division of Tobacco Use Prevention and Control, closely monitored data |
| trends, collaborated with the CDC, the FDA and cigarette use. The state epidemiologist provided associated with vaping. The Division provided dangers of vaping, tools to protect patients at particular of 2019, the IDPH provided weekly case count reporting. The Governor of Iowa launches a particular included a fully digital marketing campaign included a fully digital marketing campaign included as | In the State Epidemiologist to inform health providers and the public on vaping and eled lowan's many updates regarding vaping and the role in the outbreak of lung injury resources for parents, youth, health professionals and schools which addressed the nd communities and tools for addressing youth vaping in schools. Beginning in November is of lowa cases of severe lung illness associated with vaping; which aligned with the CDCs ablic awareness and prevention campaign to combat youth vaping. The multi-pronged impaign with elements targeting teens and parents on various social media platforms. This boy/News/ArtMID/646/ArticleID/158283/Gov-Reynolds-Launches-Public-Awareness- |
| The IDPH Bureau of Substance Abuse, through | n the State Epidemiological Workgroup and Prevention Partnerships Advisory Council ette concerns. As a result, on June 11, 2020, the SEWPPAC invited the Iowa Alcoholic |
| Beverages Division (ABD) to present to the wo associated data. Trends over the last few year | rkgroup efforts related to tobacco compliance checks, tobacco retailer training and s and data by county was presented for review and discussion. In addition, ABD provided an |
| civil penalties for violations of purchase/atten | Tobacco 21 law. ABD discussed current lowa Code Sections and detailed the criminal and appeted purchase and possession of tobacco and vapor products. |
| A member of the Division of Tobacco Use Prev updates and guidance to the workgroup as n | ention and Control (IDPH division) also is a member of the SEWPPAC and provides data, eeded or requested. |
| | ve partnered with the Division of Tobacco Use Prevention and Control regarding the |
| | and shared priorities regarding tobacco prevention efforts. |
| Collaboration on the Strategic Prevention Fra | mework through tobacco priority by sharing trainings, resources and information. I division to partner through county coalitions to better streamline services and information |
| Second Year Target: | ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and cha | nges proposed to meet target: |
| How second year target was achieved (option | |

IDPH, bureau of substance abuse and in collaboration with the Division of Tobacco Use Prevention and Control, closely monitored data trends, collaborated with the CDC, the FDA and the State Epidemiologist to inform health providers and the public on vaping and e-Printed: 7/19/2022 11:06 AM - Iowa - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

cigarette use. The state epidemiologist provided lowan's many updates regarding vaping and the role in the outbreak of lung injury associated with vaping. The Division provided resources for parents, youth, health professionals and schools which addressed the dangers of vaping, tools to protect patients and communities and tools for addressing youth vaping in schools. Beginning in November of 2019, the IDPH provided weekly case counts of lowa cases of severe lung illness associated with vaping; which aligned with the CDCs reporting. The Governor of lowa launched a public awareness and prevention campaign to combat youth vaping. The multi-pronged campaign included a fully digital marketing campaign with elements targeting teens and parents on various social media platforms. This campaign can be found at: https://idph.iowa.gov/News/ArtMID/646/ArticleID/158283/Gov-Reynolds-Launches-Public-Awareness-Campaign-to-Combat-Youth-Vaping

The IDPH Bureau of Substance Abuse, through the State Epidemiological Workgroup and Prevention Partnerships Advisory Council (SEWPPAC) also prioritized vaping and e-cigarette concerns. As a result, on June 11, 2020, the SEWPPAC invited the Iowa Alcoholic Beverages Division (ABD) to present to the workgroup efforts related to tobacco compliance checks, tobacco retailer training and associated data. Trends over the last few years and data by county was presented for review and discussion. In addition, ABD provided an overview of the Synar Compliance Checks and Tobacco 21 law in 2021. ABD discussed current Iowa Code Sections and detailed the criminal and civil penalties for violations of purchase/attempted purchase and possession of tobacco and vapor products.

A member of the Division of Tobacco Use Prevention and Control (IDPH division) also is a member of the SEWPPAC and provides data, updates and guidance to the workgroup as needed or requested.

The IDPH Bureau of Tobacco Use Prevention and Control have developed information for parents, youth, health professionals and schools. More information can be found at:Division of Tobacco Use Prevention & Control - Vaping - Electronic Smoking Device Information

The IDPH Bureau of Substance Abuse staff have partnered with the Division of Tobacco Use Prevention and Control regarding the following:

Training to explain SAMHSA and CDC funding and shared priorities regarding tobacco prevention efforts.

Collaboration on the Strategic Prevention Framework through tobacco priority by sharing training, resources and information. Required contractors between the bureau and division to partner through county coalitions to better streamline services and information.

The lowa Department of Public Health's Bureau of Substance Abuse and the Division of Tobacco Use Prevention and Control (TUPAC) provides funding to a variety of local agencies to implement primary prevention services throughout lowa. Threaded throughout this work is the expectation to strengthen local collaborations to help guide and support effective prevention services. Over the past three years, the Bureau of Substance Abuse and the TUPAC have met on a consistent basis to foster positive relationships and strive for strategic prevention practices throughout the State. This work has led to innovative efforts to support local agencies providing prevention services. Most notably, the lowa Department of Public Health has established Community Partnership/Integrated Provider Network (CP/IPN) Regional Meetings which bring together prevention contractors as an opportunity to learn from one another. In 2021, a total of nine virtual meetings were held throughout January and August.

| 5555 5155 Application (1.15) 2515 2.April 631 51/55/2522 |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| Footnotes: |
| rootilotes. |
| |
| |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| SABG COVID Testing and Mitigation | Program Report for 9/1/21 - 9/30/21: | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Iowa Department of Public Health | | | | | | | |
| Item/Activity | Amount of Expenditure | | | | | | |
| 1. Not Applicable/No funding expended. | \$0.00 | | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | | |
| 7. | | | | | | | |
| 8. | | | | | | | |
| 9. | | | | | | | |
| 10. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Total

\$0.00

Table 2A - State Agency Expenditure Report

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2020 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2021

| Activity (See instructions for entering expenses in Row 1) | A. SA Block Grant | B. MH Block Grant | C. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local) | D. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.) | E. State Funds | F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid) | G. Other | H. COVID -19 ¹ |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|-------------------|---|----------|---------------------------------|
| Substance Abuse Prevention ² and Treatment | \$9,322,376.00 | | \$0.00 | \$5,451,191.00 | \$14,826,677.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children ² | \$1,286,500.00 | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| b. All Other | \$8,035,876.00 | | \$0.00 | \$5,451,191.00 | \$14,826,677.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 2. Substance Abuse Primary Prevention | \$2,953,952.00 | | \$0.00 | \$2,591,037.00 | \$2,460,812.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 3. Tuberculosis Services | \$0.00 | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 4. Early Intervention Services Regarding the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (EIS/HIV) ³ | \$0.00 | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 5. State Hospital | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Other 24 Hour Care | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Ambulatory/Community Non -24 Hour Care | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Mental Health Primary Prevention | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Evidenced Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis (10% of the state's total MHBG award) | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level) | \$347,093.00 | | \$0.00 | \$2,523,991.00 | \$2,605,774.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 11. Total | \$12,623,421.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,566,219.00 | \$19,893,263.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

¹The 24-month expenditure period for the COVID-19 Relief supplemental funding is **March 15, 2021 – March 14, 2023**, which is different from the expenditure period for the "standard" SABG and MHBG. Per the instructions, the standard SABG expenditures are for the state expenditure period of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2023, for most states.

²Prevention other than primary prevention

³Only designated states as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 300x-24(b)(2) and 45 CFR § 96.128(b) for the applicable federal fiscal year should enter information in this row. This may include a state or states that were previously considered "designated states" during any of the three prior federal fiscal years for which a state was applying for a grant. See Els/HIV policy change in SABG Annual Report instructions.

| P | lease | indicate | the | exi | penditu | res | are | actual | or | estimated |
|---|-------|----------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----|--------|----|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | |

• Actual C Estimated

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Technical Assistance Monies - \$364,510 expended during the same grant period; not reported in the above cells.

No COVID-19 monies expended during this timeframe.

Table 2B - COVID-19 Relief Supplemental Funds Expenditure by Service - Requested

Expenditure Period Start Date: 3/15/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2021

| Service | Expenditures |
|---|--------------|
| Healthcare Home/Physical Health | |
| Specialized Outpatient Medical Services | |
| Acute Primary Care | |
| COVID-19 Screening (e.g., temperature checks, symptom questionnaires) | |
| COVID-19 Testing | |
| COVID-19 Vaccination | |
| Comprehensive Care Management | |
| Care Coordination and Health Promotion | |
| Comprehensive Transitional Care | |
| Individual and Family Support | |
| Referral to Community Services Dissemination | |
| Prevention (Including Promotion) | |
| Screening with Evidence-based Tools | |
| Risk Messaging | |
| Access Line/Crisis Phone Line/Warm Line | |
| Purchase of Technical Assistance | |
| COVID-19 Awareness and Education for Person with SUD | |
| Media Campaigns (Information Dissemination) | |
| Employee Assistance Programs (Problem Identification and Referral) | |
| Primary Substance Use Disorder Prevention (Education) | |
| Primary Substance Use Disorder Prevention (Alternatives) | |
| Primary Substance Use Disorder Prevention (Community-Based Processes) | Page 19 c |

| Intervention Services | |
|--|--|
| Fentanyl Strips | |
| Syringe Services Program | |
| Naloxone | |
| Overdose Kits/Dissemination of Overdose Kits | |
| Engagement Services | |
| Assessment | |
| Specialized Evaluations (Psychological and Neurological) | |
| Services Planning (including crisis planning) | |
| Consumer/Family Education | |
| Outreach (including hiring of outreach workers) | |
| Outpatient Services | |
| Evidence-based Therapies | |
| Group Therapy | |
| Family Therapy | |
| Multi-family Therapy | |
| Consultation to Caregivers | |
| Medication Services | |
| Medication Management | |
| Pharmacotherapy (including MAT) | |
| Laboratory Services | |
| Community Support (Rehabilitative) | |
| Parent/Caregiver Support | |
| Case Management | |
| Behavior Management | |
| Supported Employment | |

| Permanent Supported Housing | |
|---|--|
| Recovery Housing | |
| Recovery Supports | |
| Peer Support | |
| Recovery Support Coaching | |
| Recovery Support Center Services | |
| Supports For Self-Directed Care | |
| Supports (Habilitative) | |
| Personal Care | |
| Respite | |
| Supported Education | |
| Acute Intensive Services | |
| Mobile Crisis | |
| Peer-based Crisis Services | |
| Urgent Care | |
| 23-hour Observation Bed | |
| Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient for SUD | |
| 24/7 Crisis Hotline | |
| Other | |
| Smartphone Apps | |
| Personal Protective Equipment | |
| Virtual/Telehealth/Telemedicine Services | |
| Purchase of increased connectivity (e.g., Wi-Fi) | |
| Cost-sharing Assistance (e.g., copayments, coinsurance and deductibles) | |
| Provider Stabilization Payments | |
| Transportation to COVID-19 Services (e.g., testing, vaccination) | |
| Other (please list) | |

| Total | \$0 |
|--|-----|
| | |
| Please enter the five services (e.g., COVID-19 testing, risk messaging, group therapy, peer support) from any of the above service categories. Healthcare Home/Physical Health, prevention (including promotion), outpatient services, recovery supports) that reflect the five largest expCOVID-19 Relief Supplement Funds. | |
| | ^ |
| | ~ |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 | |
| Footnotes: | |

Table 3A SABG – Syringe Services Program

Expenditure Start Date: 07/01/2020 Expenditure End Date: 06/30/2021

| Syringe Services Program SSP Agency Name | Main Address of SSP | Dollar Amount of SABG Funds Expended for SSP | Dollar Amount of COVID-19 Relief Supplemental Funds Expended for SSP | SUD Treatment Provider (Yes or No) | # Of locations (Include mobile, if any) | Narcan Provider (Yes or No) | Fentanyl Strips (Yes or No) |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | No Data Available | | | | | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Iowa does not have a syringe services program

Table 3B SABG – Syringe Services Program

Expenditure Start Date: 07/01/2020 Expenditure End Date: 06/30/2021

| | | SABG | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Syringe Services Program Name | # of Unique Individuals Served | | HIV Testing (Please enter total number of individuals served) | Treatment for Substance Use Conditions (Please enter total number of individuals served) | Treatment for Physical Health (Please enter total number of individuals served) | STD Testing (Please enter total number of individuals served) | Hep C (Please enter total number of individuals served) |
| | | ONSITE Testing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 0 | REFERRAL to testing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | COVID-19 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Syringe Services Program Name | # of Unique Individuals Served | | HIV Testing (Please enter total number of individuals served) | Treatment for Substance Use Conditions (Please enter total number of individuals served) | Treatment for Physical Health (Please enter total number of individuals served) | STD Testing (Please enter total number of individuals served) | Hep C (Please enter total number of individuals served) | |
| | | ONSITE Testing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 0 | REFERRAL to testing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Iowa does not have a syringe services program

Table 4 - State Agency SABG Expenditure Compliance Report

This table provides a description of SABG expenditures for authorized activities to prevent and treat SUDs. For detailed instructions, refer to those in BGAS. Only one column is to be filled in each year.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2020

| Expenditure Category | FY 2019 SA Block Grant Award |
|--|------------------------------|
| 1. Substance Abuse Prevention ¹ and Treatment | \$9,316,039.00 |
| 2. Primary Prevention | \$3,124,551.00 |
| 3. Tuberculosis Services | \$0.00 |
| 4. Early Intervention Services Regarding the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (EIS/HIV) ² | \$0.00 |
| 5. Administration (excluding program/provider level) | \$654,768.00 |
| Total | \$13,095,358.00 |

¹Prevention other than Primary Prevention

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Technical Assistance Monies - \$328,827 expended during the same grant period; not reported in the above cells.

Treatment expenses are reported as:

Table 4, Row 1: \$9,316,039

Table 7 (Inventory), Column B: \$8,215,385

The difference of \$1,100,654 is for program expenses of direct benefit to subrecipients for provided treatment services, eg personnel, data services/updates, media campaigns, program evaluations, and line item support (travel, printing, office supplies, etc.) that were historically determined to be direct service and not state-level administration expenditures.

Primary prevention expenses are reported as:

Table 4, Row 2: \$3,124,551

Table 7 (Inventory), Column D: \$2,911,840

Table 5B: (IOM): \$2,911,840

The difference in the tables of \$212,711 matches the dollar amount reported in Table 6 Resource Development Expenditure's, which consists of a variety of expenses (percentages of some personnel, reviewers, IT for internal/external users, and training for external [direct] providers).

Previous Project Officers have acknowledged and allowed these types of expenses as direct service rather than administrative level expenses. These efforts directly benefit subrecipients (see Table 7 for list of providers/subrecipients) and the citizens of lowa.

²Only designated states as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 300x-24(b)(2) and 45 CFR § 96.128(b) for the applicable federal fiscal year should enter information in this row. This may include a state or states that were previously considered "designated states" during any of the three prior federal fiscal years for which a state was applying for a grant. See EIS/HIV policy change in SABG Annual Report instructions.

Table 5a - SABG Primary Prevention Expenditures Checklist

The State or jurisdiction must complete either SABG Table 5a and/or 5b. There are six primary prevention strategies typically funded by principal agencies administering the SABG. Expenditures within each of the six strategies or Institute of Medicine Model (IOM) should be directly associated with the cost of completing the activity or task. For example, information dissemination may include the cost of developing pamphlets, the time of participating staff and/or the cost of public service announcements, etc. If a state or jurisdiction employs strategies not covered by these six categories, please report them under "Other," each in a separate row.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2020

| Strategy | IOM Target | SA Block Grant Award | Other Federal | State | Local | Other |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Information Dissemination | Selective | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Information Dissemination | Indicated | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Information Dissemination | Universal | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Information Dissemination | Unspecified | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Information Dissemination | Total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Education | Selective | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Education | Indicated | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Education | Universal | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Education | Unspecified | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Education | Total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Alternatives | Selective | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Alternatives | Indicated | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Alternatives | Universal | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Alternatives | Unspecified | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Alternatives | Total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Problem Identification and Referral | Selective | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Problem Identification and Referral | Indicated | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Problem Identification and Referral | Universal | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Problem Identification and Referral | Unspecified | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Problem Identification and Referral | Total 0020 0169, Approved: 04/10/ | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

| Selective | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|-------------|--|-----------|---|---|---|
| Indicated | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Universal | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Unspecified | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Selective | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Indicated | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Universal | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Unspecified | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Selective | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Indicated | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Universal | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Unspecified | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Selective | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Indicated | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Universal | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Unspecified | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | Indicated Universal Unspecified Total Selective Indicated Universal Unspecified Total Selective Indicated Universal Selective Indicated Universal Universal Universal Universal Universal Universal Unspecified Total Total Selective Indicated Universal | Indicated | Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 Unspecified \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 | Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Unspecified \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Unspecified \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Unspecified \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Universal \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Total \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Selective \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Indicated \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0. |

Section 1926 – Tobacco: Costs associated with the Synar Program Pursuant to the January 19, 1996 federal regulation "Tobacco Regulation for Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grants, Final Rule" (45 CFR § 96.130), a state may not use the SABG to fund the enforcement of its statute, except that it may expend funds from its primary prevention set aside of its Block Grant allotment under 45 CFR §96.124(b)(1) for carrying out the administrative aspects of the requirements, such as the development of the sample design and the conducting of the inspections. States should include any non-SABG funds* that were allotted for Synar activities in the appropriate columns under 7 below.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Iowa reports activities utilizing IOM categories.

^{*}Please list all sources, if possible (e.g., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Block Grant, foundations, etc.)

| b Block Grant funds were used for Section 1926-Tobacco. No substance abuse funds were used for activities targeting individuals or bgroups. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| • | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Table 5b - SABG Primary Prevention Expenditures by Institute of Medicine (IOM) Categories

The state or jurisdiction must complete SABG Table 5b if it chooses to report SUD primary prevention activities utilizing the IOM Model of Universal, Selective and Indicated. Indicate how much funding supported each of the IOM classifications of Universal, Selective, or Indicated. Include all funding sources (e.g., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Block Grant, foundations).

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2020

| Activity | SA Block Grant Award | Other Federal Funds | State Funds | Local Funds | Other |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|
| Universal Direct | \$2,426,145.00 | \$286,733.00 | \$1,587,920.00 | | |
| Universal Indirect | \$66,099.00 | \$7,812.00 | \$43,262.00 | | |
| Selective | \$278,081.00 | \$32,865.00 | \$182,005.00 | | |
| Indicated | \$141,515.00 | \$16,725.00 | \$92,622.00 | | |
| Column Total | \$2,911,840.00 | \$344,135.00 | \$1,905,809.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| nt | | |
|----|--|--|
| | | |

Technical Assistance Monies - \$328,827 expended during the same grant period; not reported in the above cells.

Primary prevention expenses are reported as:

Table 4, Row 2: \$3,124,551

Table 7 (Inventory), Column D: \$2,911,840

Table 5B: (IOM): \$2,911,840

The difference in the tables of \$212,711 is for program expenses of direct benefit to subrecipients in Table 6 Resource development expenditure's.

Table 5c - SABG Primary Prevention Priorities and Special Population Categories

The purpose of the first table is for the state or jurisdiction to identify the substance and/or categories of substances it identified through its needs assessment and then addressed with primary prevention set-aside dollars from the FY 2019 SABG NoA. The purpose of the second table is to identify each special population the state or jurisdiction selected as a priority for primary prevention set-aside expenditures.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2020

| | SABG Award |
|---|------------|
| Targeted Substances | |
| Alcohol | V |
| Tobacco | V |
| Marijuana | V |
| Prescription Drugs | V |
| Cocaine | |
| Heroin | V |
| Inhalants | |
| Methamphetamine | V |
| Bath salts, Spice, K2) | |
| Targeted Populations | |
| Students in College | ☑ |
| Military Families | |
| LGBTQ | |
| American Indians/Alaska Natives | |
| African American | |
| Hispanic | |
| Homeless | |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islanders | |
| Asian | |
| Rural | V |
| ted: 7/19/2022 11:06 AM - Jowa - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 | Page 30 c |

| Underserved Racial and Ethnic Minorities | | |
|--|--|--|
| 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 | | |
| Footnotes: | | |

Table 6 - Resource Development Expenditure Checklist

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2020

| Activity | A. SABG Treatment | B. SABG Prevention | C. SABG Combined ¹ |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. Information Systems | \$36,695.00 | \$39,707.00 | \$0.00 |
| 2. Infrastructure Support | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 3. Partnerships, community outreach, and needs assessment | \$444,599.00 | \$33,151.00 | \$0.00 |
| 4. Planning Council Activities (MHBG required, SABG optional) | \$112,169.00 | \$43,290.00 | \$0.00 |
| 5. Quality Assurance and Improvement | \$353,747.00 | \$74,527.00 | \$0.00 |
| 6. Research and Evaluation | \$150,444.00 | \$22,035.00 | \$0.00 |
| 7. Training and Education | \$3,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 8. Total | \$1,100,654.00 | \$212,710.00 | \$0.00 |

¹SABG integrated expenditures are expenditures for non-direct services/system development that cannot be separated out of the amounts devoted specifically to treatment or prevention. For Column C, do not include any amounts already accounted for in Column A, SABG Treatment and/or Column B, SABG Prevention.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Technical Assistance Monies - \$328,827 expended during the same grant period; not reported in the above cells.

Treatment expenses are reported as:

Table 4, Row 1: \$9,316,039

Table 7 (Inventory), Column B: \$8,215,385

The difference of \$1,100,654 is for program expenses of direct benefit to subrecipients for provided treatment services, eg personnel, data services/updates, media campaigns, program evaluations, and line item support (travel, printing, office supplies, etc.) that were historically determined to be direct service and not state-level administration expenditures.

Primary prevention expenses are reported as:

Table 4, Row 2: \$3,124,551

Table 7 (Inventory), Column D: \$2,911,840

Table 5B: (IOM): \$2,911,840

The difference in the tables of \$212,711 is for program expenses of direct benefit to subrecipients in Table 6 Resource development expenditure's.

Amount of SABG Primary Prevention funds (from Table 4, Row 2) used for SABG Prevention Resource Development activities for SABG Prevention, Table , Column C = \$212,711.

Table 7 - Statewide Entity Inventory

This table provides a report of the sub-recipients of SABG funds including community- and faith-based organizations which provided SUD prevention activities and treatment services, as well as intermediaries/administrative service organizations. Table 7 excludes resource development expenditures.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2020

| | | | | | | | | | Source of Funds SAPT Block Grant | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---|--|---|-------------------|-------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Entity Number | | (1) | Area Served (Statewide or SubState Planning Area) | Provider / Program Name | Street Address | City | State | Zip | A. All SA Block Grant Funds | B. Prevention (other than primary prevention) and Treatment Services | C. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children | D. Primary Prevention | E. Early Intervention Services for HIV | F. Syring Service Progra |
| 4 | IA900786 | × | Southeast | Alcohol and Drug Dependency Services | 1340 Mount Pleasant Street | Burlington | IA | 52601 | \$465,488.00 | \$359,118.00 | \$0.00 | \$106,370.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 5 | IA301316 | 1 | Northeast | Area Substance Abuse Council | 3601 16th Avenue SW | Cedar Rapids | IA | 52404 | \$1,359,626.00 | \$1,092,830.00 | \$323,498.00 | \$266,796.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 59 | IA901792 | 1 | Central | Broadlawns Medical Center | 1801 Hickman Road | Des Moines | IA | 50314 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 15 | IA301027 | × | Southeast | Center for Alcohol and Drug Services, Inc. | 1523 South Fairmount Street | Davenport | IA | 52802 -3644 | \$237,853.00 | \$172,789.00 | \$0.00 | \$65,064.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 21 | IA750454 | 1 | Northwest | Community and Family Resources | 211 Avenue M West | Fort Dodge | IA | 50501 | \$922,129.00 | \$671,156.00 | \$0.00 | \$250,973.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 24 | IA750363 | × | Northwest | Community | | Carroll | IA | 51401 | \$222,320.00 | \$117,485.00 | \$0.00 | \$104,835.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 14 | IA100269 | × | Southwest | Crossroads Behavioral Health Svcs Action Now Chemical Center | | Creston | IA | 50801 | \$131,072.00 | \$30,052.00 | \$0.00 | \$101,020.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| MD1006 | 23 MD100623 | x | Statewide | FEI Com Inc | 7175 Columbia Gateway Drive Suite A Suite A | Columbia | MD | 21046 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| IA10232 | 1 IA102321 | × | Statewide | Foundation 2, Inc. | 1714 Johnson Ave NW | Cedar Rapids | IA | 52405 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 40 | IA902170 | æ | Southwest | Heartland Family Services dba Act Program | 515 East Broadway | Council Bluffs | IA | 51503 | \$515,010.00 | \$411,775.00 | \$148,319.00 | \$103,235.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 64 | IA901776 | ✓ | Central | House of Mercy | 1409 Clark Street | Des Moines | IA | 50314 | \$786,823.00 | \$786,823.00 | \$459,308.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 207 | IA100775 | × | Statewide | Iowa Consortium for Substance Abuse | 105 Jessup Hall | Iowa City | IA | 52242 -1316 | \$241,360.00 | \$159,638.00 | \$0.00 | \$81,722.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| IA10232 | 5 IA102325 | x | Statewide | lowa Primary Care Association | 500 SW 7th St, Ste 300 | Des Moines | IA | 50309 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| IA90256 | 8 IA902568 | × | Statewide | lowa State University, Office of Sponsored Programs Student Services Building Floor | | Ames | IA | 50011 -2223 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 31 | IA301498 | x | Northwest | Jackson Recovery Center | 800 5th Street Suite 200 | Sioux City | IA | 51101 | \$1,613,878.00 | \$1,319,881.00 | \$459,814.00 | \$293,997.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 16 | IA900232 | × | Northeast | Northeast Iowa Behavioral Health, Inc. | 905 Montgomery Street P.O. Box 349 | Decorah | IA | 52101 | \$263,095.00 | \$169,753.00 | \$0.00 | \$93,342.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 36 | IA301209 | x | Northeast | Pathways Behavioral | 3362 University | Waterloo | IA | 50701 -2006 | \$904,540.00 | \$692,798.00 | \$0.00 | \$211,742.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

| | 26 | IA301357 | × | North Central | Prairie Ridge Integrated Behavioral Healthcare dba Prairie Ridge | 320 North Eisenhower Ave | Mason City | IA | 50401 -1521 | \$757,813.00 | \$593,380.00 | \$0.00 | \$164,433.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|----|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | 23 | IA301571 | ✓ | Southeast | Prelude Behavioral Services | 430 Southgate Avenue | Iowa City | IA | 52240 | \$501,297.00 | \$322,671.00 | \$0.00 | \$178,626.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | IL104047 | IL104047 | × | Southeast | Robert Young Center CHMC HQ | 4600 3rd Street | Moline | IL | 61265 | \$95,657.00 | \$26,588.00 | \$0.00 | \$69,069.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | 30 | IA750256 | x | Southeast | Southern Iowa Economic Developoment Assn | P.O. Box 658 | Ottumwa | IA | 52501 -0658 | \$475,178.00 | \$270,601.00 | \$0.00 | \$204,577.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | 20 | IA901958 | × | Northeast | Substance Abuse Service Center | 799 Main Street Suite 110 | Dubuque | IA | 52001 | \$378,063.00 | \$298,753.00 | \$0.00 | \$79,310.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | 46 | IA901974 | × | Northwest | Substance Abuse Services for Clayton County, Inc. | 431 High Street P.O. Box 970 | Elkader | IA | 52043 -0970 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | 25 | IA901321 | × | North Central | Substance Abuse Treatment Unit of Central Iowa | 220 Oak Street | Iowa Falls | IA | 50126 | \$301,363.00 | \$222,527.00 | \$0.00 | \$78,836.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | 130 | IA100759 | × | Central | United Community Services, Inc. dba UCS Healthcare | 1300 Woodland Avenue | West Des Moines | IA | 50265 | \$389,139.00 | \$56,915.00 | \$0.00 | \$332,224.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | 2 | IA901826 | × | North Central | Youth and Shelter Services, Inc. | 1002 Douglas Street | Ames | IA | 50010 | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | IA102300 | IA102300 | × | Southwest | Zion Recovery Services, Inc. | P.O. Box 34 | Atlantic | IA | 50022 | \$564,521.00 | \$438,852.00 | \$0.00 | \$125,669.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | \$11,127,225.00 | \$8,215,385.00 | \$1,390,939.00 | \$2,911,840.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

* Indicates the imported record has an error.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Treatment expenses are reported as:

Table 4, Row 1: \$9,316,039

Table 7 (Inventory), Column B: \$8,215,385

The difference of \$1,100,654 is for program expenses of direct benefit to subrecipients for provided treatment services, eg personnel, data services/updates, media campaigns, program evaluations, and line item support (travel, printing, office supplies, etc.) that were historically determined to be direct service and not state-level administration expenditures.

Primary prevention expenses are reported as:

Table 4, Row 2: \$3,124,551 Table 7 (Inventory), Column D: \$2,911,840

The difference in the tables of \$212,711 is for program expenses of direct benefit to subrecipients in Table 6 Resource development expenditure's.

4/8/2022 "Sub-recipients" are entities/SUD treatment providers that are funded and contracted by IDPH to provide SUD treatment and prevention activities/services. Table 7 is a list of these entities/sub-recipients, and their corresponding SABG expenditures.

4/8/2022 Substance abuse "treatment services provided" are SUD levels of care which include: inpatient, outpatient, and residential SUD treatment services.

4/8/2022 Previous Project Officers have acknowledged and allowed these types of expenses as direct service rather than administrative level expenses. These efforts directly benefit subrecipients/providers as listed in this Table as well as the citizens of lowa.

Table 8a - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures for SUD Prevention and Treatment

This Maintenance of Effort table provides a description of non-federal expenditures to include funds appropriated by the state legislature; revenue funds (e.g., alcohol, tobacco, and gambling taxes; asset seizures); state Medicaid match expenditures; and third-party reimbursement (e.g., insurance payments) for authorized activities to prevent and treat SUDs flowing through the Single State Agency (SSA) during the state fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 07/01/2020 Expenditure Period End Date: 06/30/2021

| Total Single State Agency (SSA) Expenditures for Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period (A) | Expenditures (B) | <u>B1(2019) + B2(2020)</u> 2 (C) | | | | | | |
| SFY 2019 (1) | \$19,815,850.00 | | | | | | | |
| SFY 2020 (2) | \$19,807,647.00 | \$19,811,748.50 | | | | | | |
| SFY 2021 (3) | \$19,893,263.00 | | | | | | | |

| Are the expenditure | amounts rep | oorted in | Colum | n B "actual" expenditures for the State fiscal years involved? |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--|
| SFY 2019 | Υ | es _ | X N | lo |
| SFY 2020 | Υ | 'es | X N | lo |
| SFY 2021 | Υ | es | X N | lo |
| Did the state or juris | | any non | ı-recuri | ring expenditures as described in 42 U.S.C. § 300x-30(b) for a specific purpose which were not included in |
| Yes | No | X | | |
| If yes, specify the am If yes, SFY: | iount and th | e State fi | iscai ye | ar: |
| Did the state or juris | diction inclu | ıde these | funds | in previous year MOE calculations? |
| Yes | No _ | | | , , |
| When did the State of | or Jurisdictio | on submit | t an off | icial request to SAMHSA to exclude these funds from the MOE calculations? |
| If estimated expendi | tures are pro | ovided, p | olease ii | ndicate when actual expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA: |
| Please provide a des | • | | | I methods used to calculate the total Single State Agency (SSA) expenditures for substance use disorder |

prevention and treatment 42 U.S.C. §300x-30.

1) Funds are expended by the principal agency on a consistent basis.

State funds are appropriated for substance abuse prevention and treatment by the lowa Legislature on an annual basis. The lowa Department of Public Health ("Dept") dispenses these monies via subcontracts with agency providers, with the expectation they will submit a claim each month or as directed. Claims are paid from state and SAPT Block Grant funds. The Dept pulls down dollars from the federal system as needed in order to ensure prompt

payment to providers.

2) MOE funds computations are historically consistent.

Provider contracts are funded with a combination of state and SAPT BG monies, based on service levels as well as current and historic funding.

The Dept Legislative Liaison meets with legislators daily during session to provide ongoing discussion of priorities, one of which is the MOE requirement tied to the SAPT BG funds.

3) MOE funds are expended for authorized activities.

The Dept monitors and provides oversight to all providers, via online reports and in-person site visits (or virtual during the ongoing pandemic), to ensure activities are compliant with SAPT BG requirements and as authorized and approved by the Dept.

4) Organizational structure changes and/or the placement of the principal agency within state government does not result in changes in funding.

The Bureau of Substance Abuse became a part of the Dept on July 1, 1986, as a result of state government reorganization. The Dept is in the process of being merged with the state Department of Human Services.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| _ | - 4 - | |
|---|-------|-------|
| | | otes: |
| | | |

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 8b - Expenditures for Services to Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children

This Maintenance of Effort table provides a description of expenditures including SABG and state funds (e.g., state legislature appropriations; revenue funds; state Medicaid match funds; and third-party reimbursements) for specialized treatment and related services that meet the SABG requirements for pregnant women and women with dependent children flowing through the Single State Agency (SSA) during the state fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/25/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 08/27/2021

Base

| Period | Total Women's Base (A) |
|----------|------------------------|
| SFY 1994 | \$ 1,302,477.00 |

Maintenance

| Period | Total Women's Base (A) | Total Expenditures (B) | Expense Type |
|----------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| SFY 2019 | | \$ 1,390,939.00 | |
| SFY 2020 | | \$ 1,469,078.00 | |
| SFY 2021 | | \$ 1,390,939.00 | • Actual © Estimated |

Enter the amount the State plans to expend in SFY 2022 for services for pregnant women and women with dependent children (amount entered must be not less than amount entered in Section III: Table 8b – Expenditures for Services to Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children, Base, Total Women's Base (A) for Period of (SFY 1994)): \$ 1390939.00

Please provide a description of the amounts and methods used to calculate the base and, for 1994 and subsequent fiscal years, report the Federal and State expenditures for such services for services to pregnant women and women with dependent children as required by 42 U.S.C. §300x-22(b)(1). No state funds are utilized for PWWDC services or activities.

During the budgeting process for each new SAPT BG, the Dept ensures a similar dollar amount is allocated based on previous years expenditures.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

IV: Population and Services Reports

Table 9 - Prevention Strategy Report

This table requires additional information (pursuant to Section 1929 of Title XIX, Part B, Subpart II of the PHS Act(42 U.S.C.§ 300x29) about the primary prevention activities conducted by the entities listed on SABG Table 7.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2020

| Column A (Risks) | Column B (Strategies) | Column C |
|--------------------------|--|-------------|
| | | (Providers) |
| No Risk Assigned | 1. Information Dissemination | |
| | 4. Brochures | 8 |
| | 6. Speaking engagements | 18 |
| | 7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars 2. Education | 11 |
| | 1 Devention and family | Т |
| | Parenting and family management | 1 |
| | Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions | 16 |
| | 3. Alternatives | |
| | 2. Youth/adult leadership activities | 7 |
| | 4. Problem Identification and Refe | erral |
| | Employee Assistance Programs | 1 |
| | Driving while under the influence/driving while intoxicated education programs | 1 |
| | 5. Community-Based Process | • |
| | Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition Environmental | 17 |
| | Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs | 6 |
| | 5. Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in communities | 7 |
| Children of Persons with | 1. Information Dissemination | |
| Substance Use Disorders | 6. Speaking engagements | 3 |
| | 2. Education | |
| | Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions | 1 |
| | 5. Community-Based Process | |

| | 3. Multi-agency coordination | 2 |
|---------------------------------|--|-----|
| Pregnant women/teens | and collaboration/coalition 1. Information Dissemination | |
| regnant women, teens | | |
| | 6. Speaking engagements | 1 |
| | 2. Education | |
| | Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions | 1 |
| | 5. Community-Based Process | |
| | 3. Multi-agency coordination | _ |
| | and collaboration/coalition | 2 |
| Violent and delinquent behavior | 1. Information Dissemination | |
| benavior | 6. Speaking engagements | 5 |
| | 4. Problem Identification and Refer | ral |
| | 3. Driving while under the | |
| | influence/driving while | 1 |
| Mental health problems | intoxicated education programs 1. Information Dissemination | |
| Wentai nearth problems | | |
| | 6. Speaking engagements | 4 |
| | 5. Community-Based Process | |
| | 3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition | 2 |
| Economically | 1. Information Dissemination | |
| disadvantaged | | |
| | 6. Speaking engagements 5. Community-Based Process | 4 |
| | , | |
| | 3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition | 3 |
| Physically disabled | 1. Information Dissemination | |
| | 6. Speaking engagements | 2 |
| | 1. Information Dissemination | |
| Abuse victims | | |
| | 6. Speaking engagements | 1 |
| Already using | 1. Information Dissemination | |
| substances | 6. Speaking engagements | 3 |
| | 2. Education | |
| | Parenting and family | |
| | management | 1 |
| | Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions | 4 |
| | 4. Problem Identification and Refer | ral |
| | 3. Driving while under the | |
| | influence/driving while intoxicated education programs | 2 |
| Homeless and/or | 5. Community-Based Process | |
| runaway youth | 3. Multi-agency coordination | |
| | and collaboration/coalition | 1 |

Older Adults 10 6. Speaking engagements 7. Health fairs and other health 2 promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars 2. Ongoing classroom and/or 4 small group sessions Problem Identification and Referral 1. Employee Assistance 1 Programs 2. Student Assistance Programs 1 3. Multi-agency coordination 10 and collaboration/coalition 5. Promoting the establishment

or review of alcohol, tobacco,

and drug use policies in

communities

1

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

IV: Population and Services Reports

Table 10 - Treatment Utilization Matrix

This table is intended to capture the count of persons with initial admissions and subsequent admission(s) to an episode of care.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2020 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2021

| Level of Care | SABG Nun Admissions 2 of Persons | Number | COVID-19 N Admissions 2 of Persons | Number > | SABG Co | sts per Pers E) | son (C, D & | COVID-1 | 9 Costs per D & E) | Person (C, |
|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Number of Admissions (A) | Number of Persons Served (B) | Number of Admissions (A) | Number of Persons Served (B) | Mean Cost of Services (C) | Median Cost of Services (D) | Standard Deviation of Cost (E) | Mean Cost of Services (C) | Median Cost of Services (D) | Standard Deviation of Cost (E) |
| DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR | CARE) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Hospital Inpatient | 120 | 111 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 2. Free-Standing Residential | 435 | 376 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| REHABILITATION/RESIDENT | IAL | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Hospital Inpatient | 499 | 445 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 4. Short-term (up to 30 days) | 4,883 | 4,232 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 5. Long-term (over 30 days) | 1,069 | 1,013 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| AMBULATORY (OUTPATIEN | T) | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Outpatient | 18,992 | 17,073 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Intensive Outpatient | 2,371 | 2,187 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 8. Detoxification | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| OUD MEDICATION ASSISTE | O TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. OUD Medication- Assisted Detoxification ¹ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 10. OUD Medication- Assisted Treatment Outpatient ² | 1,955 | 1,814 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |

Please explain why Column A (SABG and COVID-19 Number of Admissions) are less than Column B (SABG and COVID-19 Number of Persons Served)

Footnotes:

Notes:

¹ OUD Medication-Assisted Treatment Detoxification includes hospital detoxification, residential detoxification, or ambulatory detoxification services/settings AND Opioid Medication-Assisted Treatment.

² OUD Medication Assisted Treatment Outpatient includes outpatient services/settings AND Opioid Medication-Assisted Treatment. 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

- All data as reported by licensed substance use disorder treatment providers to the lowa Department of Public Health.
- This reports all services submitted as public funded. Does not include Primary Payment Source of any private insurance or self pay.
- This report includes those patients that had a previous "Initial" admission in the previous report period, but were served during the report period and the level of care for which there is a service reported.

This differs from the Table 10 instructions to show all initial admissions, and then the subsequent changes in levels of care (Admission Transfers) in the same treatment episode.

• INTERNAL NOTE ONLY: Admitted services with Environment Type of "Pre-Admission" and "No Treatment Recommended" are not included in the reported data.

The IDPH did not expend any funding during this time period for COVID funding.

IV: Population and Services Reports

Tables 11A, 11B and 11C - Unduplicated Count of Persons Served for Alcohol and Other Drug Use

This table provides an aggregate profile of the unduplicated number of admissions to and persons served in SABG and COVID-19 Relief Supplement funded services.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2020 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2021

TABLE 11A – SABG Unduplicated Count of Person Served for Alcohol and Other Drug Use

| Age | A. Total | | B. WH | ITE | AFF | ACK OR RICAN ERICAN | HAW OTHER | IATIVE AIIAN / R PACIFIC ANDER | E. A | SIAN | INC ALA | IERICAN DIAN / SKAN ATIVE | ONE | RE THAN E RACE ORTED | H. Uı | nknown | HISPA | NOT ANIC OR TINO | | ANIC OR TINO |
|---|-------------|-------------------|-------|--------|------|---------------------------|--------------|---|------|--------|------------|------------------------------------|------|----------------------------|-------|--------|-------|------------------------|------|-----------------|
| | | Male | | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 1. 17 and Under | 1,139 | | 404 | 192 | 88 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 291 | 110 | 484 | 220 | 82 | 46 |
| 2. 18 - 24 | 3,338 | | 905 | 625 | 140 | 72 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 19 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1,316 | 210 | 1,057 | 755 | 173 | 88 |
| 3. 25 - 44 | 10,644 | | 4,274 | 3,131 | 499 | 204 | 18 | 6 | 25 | 9 | 88 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 1,481 | 804 | 5,276 | 3,648 | 389 | 215 |
| 4. 45 - 64 | 3,422 | | 1,549 | 757 | 187 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 554 | 278 | 1,913 | 861 | 84 | 33 |
| 5. 65 and Over | 277 | | 157 | 54 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 17 | 179 | 60 | 3 | 1 |
| 6. Total | 18,820 | | 7,289 | 4,759 | 926 | 346 | 23 | 7 | 48 | 16 | 144 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 3,677 | 1,419 | 8,909 | 5,544 | 731 | 383 |
| 7. Pregnant Women | 302 | | | 228 | | 20 | | 0 | | 1 | | 15 | | 0 | | 38 | | 281 | | 19 |
| Number of persons ser in a period prior to the period | | | 5,292 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of persons ser of care described on Ta | | ide of the levels | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Are the values reported in this table generated from a client based system with unique client identifiers?

Yes ○ No

TABLE 11B – COVID-19 Unduplicated Count of Persons Served for Alcohol and Other Drug Use

| Age | A. Total | В. W | HITE | AFI | ACK OR RICAN RICAN | HAW OTHER | IATIVE AIIAN / R PACIFIC ANDER | E. <i>F</i> | ASIAN | INE ALA | IERICAN DIAN / ASKAN ATIVE | ONI | RE THAN E RACE ORTED | H. Uı | ıknown | HISP | NOT ANIC OR TINO | | PANIC OR TINO |
|-------------------|-------------|------|--------|------|--------------------------|--------------|---|-------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------------|------|----------------------------|-------|--------|------|------------------------|------|------------------|
| | | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 1. 17 and Under | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. 18 - 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. 25 - 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. 45 - 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. 65 and Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7. Pregnant Women | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | | 0 |

| 1 | TABLE 11C – SABG Ur | nduplicated Coun | it of Person Se | rved for Alcoho | ol and Other D | rug Use b | y Sex, Gen | ider Identity | , and Sexual | Orientation (Reque | ested) |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|--------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Age | Cisgender Male | Cisgender Female | Transgender Man /Transman /Female -To-Man | Transgender Woman/ Transwoman/ Male-To-Female | Genderqueer/ Gender Non- Conforming/ Neither Exclusively Male nor Female | Additional Gender Category (or Other) | Straight or Heterosexual | Gay or Lesbian | Bisexual | Queer, Pansexual, and/or Questioning | Something Else? Please Specify Under Footnotes |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------|---|---|
| 1. 17 and Under | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. 18 - 24 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. 25 - 44 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. 45 - 64 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. 65 and Over | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Table 11 B- The IDPH did not expend any funds during this timeframe for Covid funding.

Table 11 C- Is not a required chart

Note: 11 A - Chart reports the number of person admitted and served during the report period who received public funding.

IV: Population and Services Reports

Table 12 - SABG Early Intervention Services Regarding the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (EIS/HIV) in Designated States

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2020 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2021

| | Early Intervention S | Services for Human Immunodeficiency Virus (I | HIV) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | Number of SAPT HIV EIS programs funded in the State | Statewide: | Rural: | | | | | |
| 2. | Total number of individuals tested through SAPT HIV EIS funded programs | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Total number of HIV tests conducted with SAPT HIV EIS funds | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Total number of tests that were positive for HIV | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Total number of individuals who prior to the 12- month reporting period were unaware of their HIV infection | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Total number of HIV-infected individuals who were diagnosed and referred into treatment and care during the 12-month reporting period | | | | | | | |
| Id | entify barriers, including State laws and regulations, that ex | rist in carrying out HIV testing services: | | | | | | |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 | | | | | | | | |
| F | potnotes: | | | | | | | |

| Footnotes: |
|-------------------------------------|
| Iowa is not an HIV designated state |
| |

IV: Population and Services Reports

Table 13 - Charitable Choice

Under Charitable Choice Provisions; Final Rule (42 CFR Part 54), states, local governments, and religious organizations, such as SAMHSA grant recipients, must: (1) ensure that religious organizations that are providers provide to all potential and actual program beneficiaries (services recipients) notice of their right to alternative services; (2) ensure that religious organizations that are providers refer program beneficiaries to alternative services; and (3) fund and/or provide alternative services. The term "alternative services" means services determined by the state to be accessible and comparable and provided within a reasonable period of time from another substance abuse provider ("alternative provider") to which the program beneficiary (services recipient) has no religious objection. The purpose of this table is to document how the state is complying with these provisions.

| Expen | diture Period Start Date: 7/1/2020 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2021 |
|----------|---|
| Notic | ce to Program Beneficiaries - Check all that apply: |
| | Used model notice provided in final regulation. |
| | Used notice developed by State (please attach a copy to the Report). |
| | State has disseminated notice to religious organizations that are providers. |
| ~ | State requires these religious organizations to give notice to all potential beneficiaries. |
| Refer | rals to Alternative Services - Check all that apply: |
| | State has developed specific referral system for this requirement. |
| | State has incorporated this requirement into existing referral system(s). |
| ~ | SAMHSA's Behavioral Health Treatment Locator is used to help identify providers. |
| | Other networks and information systems are used to help identify providers. |
| ~ | State maintains record of referrals made by religious organizations that are providers. |
| 0 | Enter the total number of referrals to other substance abuse providers ("alternative providers") necessitated by religious objection, as defined above, made during the State fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds. Provide the total only. No information on specific referrals is required. If no alternative referrals were made, enter zero. |
| | de a brief description (one paragraph) of any training for local governments and/or faith-based and/or community nizations that are providers on these requirements. |
| | va Provider Network funded contractors receive annual training on this requirement and have to complete an annual attestation form that they are of these regulations. |
| 0930-0 | 0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 |
| Foot | tnotes: |

Table 14 - Treatment Performance Measure Employment/Education Status (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| amproyment, autuation status comprojed of statem (run ame una part ame) (prior so days) at | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator] | 377 | 345 |
| Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator] | 1,859 | 1,859 |
| Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) | 20.3 % | 18.6 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 3,961 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 2,981 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 2,084 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 1,996 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 1,859 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|---------------------|
| 30 | 66 |
| 321 | 321 |
| 9.3 % | 20.6 % |
| | |
| | 794 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | |
| | 364 |
| ths; incarcerated): | 339 Page 47 |
| tl | hs; incarcerated): |

| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 321 |
|---|-----|

Outpatient (OP)

Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| amproyment, autuation status and entropied of statuent (tail time and part time) (prior so days) at | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator] | 3,511 | 3,695 |
| Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator] | 6,684 | 6,684 |
| Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) | 52.5 % | 55.3 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 15,338 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 16,621 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 7,962 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 7,480 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 6,684 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator] | 250 | 259 |
| Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator] | 805 | 805 |
| Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) | 31.1 % | 32.2 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 2,714 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 2,616 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 984 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 901 |
| rad: 7/10/2022 11:06 AM Jawa 0020 0168 Approved: 04/10/2010 Expires: 04/20/2022 | | Page 48 (|

| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 805 |
|--|-----|
| , and the second se | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Table 15 - Treatment Performance Measure Stability of Housing (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| | At Admission (T1) | At Discharge (T2) |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Number of clients living in a stable situation [numerator] | 1,059 | 1,071 |
| Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator] | 1,863 | 1,863 |
| Percent of clients in stable living situation | 56.8 % | 57.5 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 3,961 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 2,981 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 2,084 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 1,996 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 1,863 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| | At Admission (T1) | At Discharge (T2) |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Number of clients living in a stable situation [numerator] | 175 | 191 |
| Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator] | 321 | 321 |
| Percent of clients in stable living situation | 54.5 % | 59.5 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 794 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 597 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 364 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 339 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): ted: 7/19/2022 11:06 AM - Iowa - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 | | 321 Page 50 c |

Outpatient (OP)

Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| diches noing in a stable noing situation (prior so days) actuallission vs. also large | At Admission (T1) | At Discharge (T2) |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Number of clients living in a stable situation [numerator] | 5,232 | 5,250 |
| Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator] | 6,775 | 6,775 |
| Percent of clients in stable living situation | 77.2 % | 77.5 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 15,338 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 16,621 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 7,962 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 7,480 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 6,775 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 50 days) at admission vs. discharge | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| | At Admission (T1) | At Discharge (T2) |
| Number of clients living in a stable situation [numerator] | 546 | 544 |
| Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator] | 809 | 809 |
| Percent of clients in stable living situation | 67.5 % | 67.2 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 2,714 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 2,616 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 984 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 901 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 809 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

| 3930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 |
|--|
| |
| |
| Footnotes: |
| Toothotes. |
| |
| |
| |

Table 16 - Treatment Performance Measure Criminal Justice Involvement (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| enerts without artests (any energe) (prior so augs) at autimission is abeliange | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of Clients without arrests [numerator] | 1,686 | 1,808 |
| Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator] | 1,872 | 1,872 |
| Percent of clients without arrests | 90.1 % | 96.6 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 3,961 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 2,981 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 2,084 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 2,004 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 1,872 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Number of Clients without arrests [numerator] | 292 | 311 |
| Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator] | 324 | 324 |
| Percent of clients without arrests | 90.1 % | 96.0 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 794 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 597 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 364 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; d | eaths; incarcerated): | 342 |

| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 324 |
|---|-----|

Outpatient (OP)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| dients without arcests (any enange) (prior so days) at damission so discharge | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of Clients without arrests [numerator] | 6,272 | 6,603 |
| Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator] | 6,998 | 6,998 |
| Percent of clients without arrests | 89.6 % | 94.4 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 15,338 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 16,621 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 7,962 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 7,728 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 6,998 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| 757 | 790 |
|---|--------|
| 836 | 836 |
| 90.6 % | 94.5 % |
| | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | |
| | 90.6 % |

| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 836 |
|---|-----|
| | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Table 17 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Abstinence - Alcohol Use (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator] | 986 | 1,128 |
| All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator] | 1,864 | 1,864 |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol | 52.9 % | 60.5 % |

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator] | | 192 |
| Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 878 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 21.9 % |

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator] | | 936 |
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 986 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 94.9 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 3,961 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 2,981 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 2,084 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 2,004 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 1,864 |

Long-term Residential(LR)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator] | 201 | 240 |
| All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator] | 325 | 325 |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol | 61.8 % | 73.8 % |

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator] | | 54 |
| Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 124 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 43.5 % |

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | , | Discharge(T2) |
|--|-----|---------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator] | | 186 |
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 201 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 92.5 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 794 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 597 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 364 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 342 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 325 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

At Admission(T1)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator] | 4,912 | 4,831 |
| All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator] | 6,962 | 6,962 |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol | 70.6 % | 69.4 % |

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator] | | 548 |
| Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 2,050 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 26.7 % |

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator] | | 4,283 |
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 4,912 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 87.2 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 15,338 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 16,621 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 7,962 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 7,728 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 6,962 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator] | 517 | 537 |
| All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator] | 858 | 858 |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol | 60.3 % | 62.6 % |

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator] | | 68 |
| Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 341 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 19.9 % |

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator] | | 469 |
| Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 517 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 90.7 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |

| Notes (for this level of care): | |
|---|-------|
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | 2,714 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | 2,616 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | 984 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | 930 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 858 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

| 0930-0168 Approved | 04/19/2019 | Expires: | 04/30/202 |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|
|--------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|

| Footnotes: | | |
|------------|--|--|
| | | |

Table 18 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Abstinence - Other Drug Use (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence - Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator] | 531 | 635 |
| All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator] | 1,864 | 1,864 |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs | 28.5 % | 34.1 % |

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator] | | 199 |
| Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 1,333 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 14.9 % |

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator] | | 436 |
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 531 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 82.1 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 3,961 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 2,981 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 1,864 |

Long-term Residential(LR)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence - Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator] | 153 | 163 |
| All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator] | 325 | 325 |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs | 47.1 % | 50.2 % |

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator] | | 42 |
| Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 172 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 24.4 % |

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator] | | 121 |
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 153 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 79.1 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 794 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 597 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 325 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence - Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator] | 4,538 | 3,978 |
| All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator] | 6,962 | 6,962 |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs | 65.2 % | 57.1 % |

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator] | | 474 |
| Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 2,424 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 19.6 % |

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator] | | 3,504 |
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 4,538 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 77.2 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 15,338 |

| Notes (for this level of care). | |
|---|--------|
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | 15,338 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | 16,621 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | 7,962 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | 7,728 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 6,962 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence - Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator] | 359 | 348 |
| All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator] | 858 | 858 |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs | 41.8 % | 40.6 % |

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator] | | 69 |
| Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 499 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 13.8 % |

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

| | At Admission(T1) | At Discharge(T2) |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator] | | 279 |
| Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator] | 359 | |
| Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100] | | 77.7 % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 2,714 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 2,616 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | | 930 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | | 858 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

| 0930-0168 | Approved: | 04/19/2019 | Expires: | 04/30/2022 |
|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|
| 0330 0100 | Approved. | | LAPITOS. | 07/30/2022 |

| Foot | tnotes: | | | |
|------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |

Table 19 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Social Support Of Recovery (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-help groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-neip groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at | At At At | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|--|
| | Admission (T1) | Discharge (T2) | |
| Number of clients participating in self-help groups (AA NA meetings attended, etc.) [numerator] | 223 | 223 | |
| Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on participation in self-help groups [denominator] | 2,004 | 2,004 | |
| Percent of clients participating in self-help groups | 11.1 % | 11.1 % | |
| Percent of clients with participation in self-help groups at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1] | | | |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 3,961 | |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | | |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | | 2,084 | |
| | | 1 | |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):

Long-term Residential(LR)

Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-help groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):

| cicins participating in sent neip groups (e.g., AA, ttA, etc., (prior 30 days, at | daimission vs. disci | large |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| | At Admission (T1) | At Discharge (T2) |
| Number of clients participating in self-help groups (AA NA meetings attended, etc.) [numerator] | 85 | 85 |
| Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on participation in self-help groups [denominator] | 342 | 342 |
| Percent of clients participating in self-help groups | 24.9 % | 24.9 % |
| Percent of clients with participation in self-help groups at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1] | | |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 794 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | | 597 |

2,004

2,004

| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | 364 |
|---|-----|
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | 342 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 342 |

Outpatient (OP)

Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-help groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| | At Admission (T1) | At Discharge (T2) |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Number of clients participating in self-help groups (AA NA meetings attended, etc.) [numerator] | 845 | 843 |
| Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on participation in self-help groups [denominator] | 7,728 | 7,728 |
| Percent of clients participating in self-help groups | 10.9 % | 10.9 % |
| Percent of clients with participation in self-help groups at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1] | 0.0 |) % |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | |

| Notes (for this level of care): | |
|---|--------|
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | 15,338 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | 16,621 |
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | 7,962 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | 7,728 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 7,728 |

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2020 admissions file and CY 2020 linked discharge file [Records received through 2/1/2022]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-help groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

| Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-nelp groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 50 days) at admission vs. discharge | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | At Admission (T1) | At Discharge (T2) | |
| Number of clients participating in self-help groups (AA NA meetings attended, etc.) [numerator] | 141 | 141 | |
| Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on participation in self-help groups [denominator] | 930 | 930 | |
| Percent of clients participating in self-help groups | 15.2 % | 15.2 % | |
| Percent of clients with participation in self-help groups at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1] | | 1% | |
| Notes (for this level of care): | | | |
| Number of CY 2020 admissions submitted: | | 2,714 | |

| Number of CY 2020 discharges submitted: | 2,616 |
|---|-------|
| Number of CY 2020 discharges linked to an admission: | 984 |
| Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated): | 930 |
| Number of CY 2020 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values): | 930 |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

Table 20 - Retention - Length of Stay (in Days) of Clients Completing Treatment

| Average (Mean) | 25 th Percentile | 50 th Percentile (Median) | 75 th Percentile | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 11 | 1 | 3 | 10 | | | |
| 12 | 2 | 3 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9 | 2 | 5 | 11 | | | |
| 29 | 10 | 24 | 30 | | | |
| 66 | 31 | 57 | 85 | | | |
| AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT) | | | | | | |
| 66 | 22 | 52 | 93 | | | |
| 49 | 14 | 33 | 71 | | | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| OUD MEDICATION ASSISTED TREATMENT | | | | | | |
| 25 | 3 | 4 | 14 | | | |
| 69 | 21 | 59 | 103 | | | |
| | 11 12 9 29 66 49 0 | 11 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 9 10 66 31 4 9 14 0 0 0 1 14 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 | 11 1 3 12 2 3 9 2 5 29 10 24 66 31 57 66 22 52 49 14 33 0 0 0 25 3 4 | | | |

| Level of Care | 2020 TEDS discharge record count | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | Discharges submitted | Discharges linked to an admission | | |
| DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE) | | | | |
| 1. Hospital Inpatient | 36 | 23 | | |
| 2. Free-Standing Residential | 511 | 428 | | |
| REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL | | | | |
| 3. Hospital Inpatient | 903 | 625 | | |
| 4. Short-term (up to 30 days) | 2981 | 2084 | | |

| 5. Long-term (over 30 days) | 597 | 364 | | | |
|---|-------|------|--|--|--|
| AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT) | | | | | |
| 6. Outpatient | 16621 | 7743 | | | |
| 7. Intensive Outpatient | 2616 | 984 | | | |
| 8. Detoxification | 0 | 0 | | | |
| OUD MEDICATION ASSISTED TREATMENT | | | | | |
| 9. OUD Medication-Assisted Detoxification ¹ | 0 | 20 | | | |
| 10. OUD Medication-Assisted Treatment Outpatient ² | 0 | 219 | | | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| Footnotes: |
|------------|
|------------|

¹ OUD Medication-Assisted Treatment Detoxification includes hospital detoxification, residential detoxification, or ambulatory detoxification services/settings AND Opioid Medication-Assisted Treatment.

² OUD Medication-Assisted Treatment Outpatient includes outpatient services/settings AND Opioid Medication-Assisted Treatment.

TABLE 21 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY - ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL USE MEASURE: 30-DAY USE

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. 30-day Alcohol Use | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire. "Think specifically about the past 30 days, that is, from [DATEFILL] through today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you drink one or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used alcohol during the past 30 days. | | |
| | Age 12 - 20 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 24.8 | |
| | Age 21+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 65.3 | |
| 2. 30-day Cigarette Use | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 30 days, that is, since [DATEFILL], on how many days did you smoke part or all of a cigarette?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having smoked a cigarette during the past 30 days. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 3.9 | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 19.4 | |
| 3. 30-day Use of Other Tobacco Products | Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 30 days, that is, since [DATEFILL], on how many days did you use [other tobacco products] ^[1] ?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used a tobacco product other than cigarettes during the past 30 days, calculated by combining responses to questions about individual tobacco products (cigars, smokeless tobacco, pipe tobacco). | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 2.2 | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 8.1 | |
| 4. 30-day Use of Marijuana | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think specifically about the past 30 days, from [DATEFILL] up to and including today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use marijuana or hashish?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used marijuana or hashish during the past 30 days. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 7.8 | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 8.7 | |
| 5. 30-day Use of Illegal Drugs Other Than Marijuana | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think specifically about the past 30 days, from [DATEFILL] up to and including today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use [any other illegal drug]? [2] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used illegal drugs other than marijuana or hashish during the past 30 days, calculated by combining responses to questions about individual drugs (heroin, cocaine, hallucinogens, inhalants, methamphetamine, and misuse of prescription drugs). | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 2.1 | |
| od: 7/10/2022 11:06 AM | - Jowa - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 | | Page 6 |

| | | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 3.0 | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-----|--|
|--|--|--------------------------|-----|--|

[1]NSDUH asks separate questions for each tobacco product. The number provided combines responses to all questions about tobacco products other than cigarettes. [2]NSDUH asks separate questions for each illegal drug. The number provided combines responses to all questions about illegal drugs other than marijuana or hashish. 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

Table 22 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL USE; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF RISK/HARM OF USE

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Perception of Risk From Alcohol | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they have five or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage once or twice a week?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk. | | |
| | Age 12 - 20 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 67.9 | |
| | Age 21+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 73.2 | |
| 2. Perception of Risk From Cigarettes | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they smoke one or more packs of cigarettes per day?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 92.9 | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 89.0 | |
| 3. Perception of Risk From Marijuana | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they smoke marijuana once or twice a week?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 70.5 | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 45.6 | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| ı | Footnotes: | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 23 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL USE; MEASURE: AGE OF FIRST USE

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. Age at First Use of Alcohol | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think about the first time you had a drink of an alcoholic beverage. How old were you the first time you had a drink of an alcoholic beverage? Please do not include any time when you only had a sip or two from a drink. [Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of alcohol. | | |
| | Age 12 - 20 - CY 2019 - 2020 | | |
| | Age 21+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | | |
| 2. Age at First Use of Cigarettes | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you smoked part or all of a cigarette? [Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of cigarettes. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 13.2 | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 16.1 | |
| 3. Age at First Use of Tobacco Products Other Than Cigarettes | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used [any other tobacco product] ^[1] ? [Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of tobacco products other than cigarettes. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 13.2 | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 19.5 | |
| 4. Age at First Use of Marijuana or Hashish | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used marijuana or hashish?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of marijuana or hashish. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 13.9 | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 17.8 | |
| 5. Age at First Use Heroin | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used heroin? [Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of heroin. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | | |
| 6. Age at First Misuse of Prescription Pain Relievers Among Past Year Initiates | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used [specific pain reliever] ^[2] in a way a doctor did not direct you to use it?" [Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first misuse of prescription pain relievers among those who first misused prescription pain relievers in the last 12 months. | | |

| Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | |

[1]The question was asked about each tobacco product separately, and the youngest age at first use was taken as the measure. [2]The question was asked about each drug in this category separately, and the youngest age at first use was taken as the measure. 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

Table 24 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL USE; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF DISAPPROVAL/ATTITUDES

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. Disapproval of Cigarettes | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 93.1 | |
| 2. Perception of Peer Disapproval of Cigarettes | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you think your close friends would feel about you smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting that their friends would somewhat or strongly disapprove. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 91.1 | |
| 3. Disapproval of Using Marijuana Experimentally | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age trying marijuana or hashish once or twice?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 75.8 | |
| 4. Disapproval of Using Marijuana Regularly | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age using marijuana once a month or more?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 76.3 | |
| 5. Disapproval of Alcohol | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age having one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage nearly every day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving. | | |
| | Age 12 - 20 - CY 2019 - 2020 | | |

| Footnotes: |
|------------|
|------------|

Table 25 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: EMPLOYMENT/EDUCATION; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF WORKPLACE POLICY

| A. Measure | B. ure Question/Response | | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|-----------------------------------|---|------|--------------------------------------|
| Perception of Workplace Policy | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Would you be more or less likely to want to work for an employer that tests its employees for drug or alcohol use on a random basis? Would you say more likely, less likely, or would it make no difference to you?[Response options: More likely, less likely, would make no difference] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting that they would be more likely to work for an employer conducting random drug and alcohol tests. | | |
| | Age 15 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | 29.7 | |

Table 26 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN - EMPLOYMENT/EDUCATION; MEASURE: AVERAGE DAILY SCHOOL ATTENDANCE RATE

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Average Daily School Attendance Rate | Source: National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data: <i>The National Public Education Finance Survey</i> available for download at http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/stfis.asp . Measure calculation: Average daily attendance (NCES defined) divided by total enrollment and multiplied by 100. | | |
| | School Year 2018 | 93.1 | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Table 27 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE MEASURE: ALCOHOL-RELATED TRAFFIC FATALITIES

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Alcohol-Related Traffic Fatalities | Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Fatality Analysis Reporting System Measure calculation: The number of alcohol-related traffic fatalities divided by the total number of traffic fatalities and multiplied by 100. | | |
| | CY 2019 | 37.0 | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Table 28 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE MEASURE: ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-RELATED ARRESTS

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Alcohol- and Drug- Related Arrests | Source: Federal Bureau of Investigation Uniform Crime Reports Measure calculation: The number of alcohol- and drug-related arrests divided by the total number of arrests and multiplied by 100. | | |
| | CY 2019 | 3.5 | |

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Table 29 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS; MEASURE: FAMILY COMMUNICATIONS AROUND DRUG AND ALCOHOL USE

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use (Youth) | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Now think about the past 12 months, that is, from [DATEFILL] through today. During the past 12 months, have you talked with at least one of your parents about the dangers of tobacco, alcohol, or drug use? By parents, we mean either your biological parents, adoptive parents, stepparents, or adult guardians, whether or not they live with you.?[Response options: Yes, No] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting having talked with a parent. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 55.6 | |
| 2. Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use (Parents of children aged 12-17) | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 12 months, how many times have you talked with your child about the dangers or problems associated with the use of tobacco, alcohol, or other drugs? ^[1] [Response options: 0 times, 1 to 2 times, a few times, many times] Outcome Reported: Percent of parents reporting that they have talked to their child. | | |
| | Age 18+ - CY 2019 - 2020 | | |

[1]NSDUH does not ask this question of all sampled parents. It is a validation question posed to parents of 12- to 17-year-old survey respondents. Therefore, the responses are not representative of the population of parents in a State. The sample sizes are often too small for valid reporting.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

Table 30 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN - RETENTION MEASURE: PERCENTAGE OF YOUTH SEEING, READING, WATCHING, OR LISTENING TO A PREVENTION MESSAGE

| A. Measure | B. Question/Response | C. Pre- populated Data | D. Approved Substitute Data |
|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Exposure to Prevention Messages | Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 12 months, do you recall [hearing, reading, or watching an advertisement about the prevention of substance use] ^[1] ? Outcome Reported: Percent reporting having been exposed to prevention message. | | |
| | Age 12 - 17 - CY 2019 - 2020 | 91.1 | |

[1]This is a summary of four separate NSDUH questions each asking about a specific type of prevention message delivered within a specific context 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

| Footnotes: | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| rootilotes. | | | |
| | | | |

Table 31-35 - Reporting Period - Start and End Dates for Information Reported on Tables 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35

Reporting Period Start and End Dates for Information Reported on Tables 31, 32, 33, 34 and 35

Please indicate the reporting period for each of the following NOMS.

| | Tables | A. Reporting Period Start Date | B. Reporting Period End Date |
|----|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. | Table 31 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies: Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity | 1/1/2019 | 12/31/2019 |
| 2. | Table 32 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Population-Based Programs and Strategies, Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity | 1/1/2019 | 12/31/2019 |
| 3. | Table 33 (Optional) - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Number of Persons Served by Type of Intervention | 1/1/2019 | 12/31/2019 |
| 4. | Table 34 - Substance Abuse Prevention - Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies by Type of Intervention | 1/1/2019 | 12/31/2019 |
| 5. | Table 35 - Total SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Number of Evidence Based Programs/Strategies and Total SABG Dollars Spent on SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies | 10/1/2018 | 9/30/2020 |

General Questions Regarding Prevention NOMS Reporting

Question 1: Describe the data collection system you used to collect the NOMs data (e.g., MDS, DbB, KIT Solutions, manual process).

The NOMS data were collected in the web-based Iowa Service Management and Reporting Tool (I-SMART) data reporting system.

Question 2: Describe how your State's data collection and reporting processes record a participant's race, specifically for participants who are more than one race.

Indicate whether the State added those participants to the number for each applicable racial category or whether the State added all those partipants to the More Than One Race subcategory.

Participant race categories were reported as White, Black/African American, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, Asian, American Indian/Alaskan Native, More than one race, and Race Unknown/Other. Participants reporting more than one race were entered in the More than one race category.

| Footnotes: | |
|------------|--|
| | |

Table 31 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies: Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity

| Category | Total |
|--|---------|
| A. Age | 43,598 |
| 0-4 | 65 |
| 5-11 | 6,557 |
| 12-14 | 12,367 |
| 15-17 | 4,085 |
| 18-20 | 510 |
| 21-24 | 1,087 |
| 25-44 | 10,277 |
| 45-64 | 7,312 |
| 65 and over | 1,338 |
| Age Not Known | |
| B. Gender | 43,598 |
| Male | 17,422 |
| Female | 26,176 |
| Gender Unknown | (|
| C. Race | 43,598 |
| White | 36,183 |
| Black or African American | 4,799 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 56 |
| Asian | 36 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 269 |
| More Than One Race (not OMB required) | 1,899 |
| ed: 7/19/2022 11:06 AM - Iowa - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 | Page 82 |

| Race Not Known or Other (not OMB required) | 37 |
|--|--------|
| D. Ethnicity | 43,598 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3,126 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 40,472 |
| Ethnicity Unknown | 0 |

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

Table 32 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Population-Based Programs and Strategies, Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity

| Category | Total |
|--|---------|
| A. Age | 33147 |
| 0-4 | 115 |
| 5-11 | 4272 |
| 12-14 | 10015 |
| 15-17 | 9387 |
| 18-20 | 633 |
| 21-24 | 1066 |
| 25-44 | 4159 |
| 45-64 | 2774 |
| 65 and over | 726 |
| Age Not Known | (|
| B. Gender | 33147 |
| Male | 15926 |
| Female | 1722 |
| Gender Unknown | (|
| C. Race | 33147 |
| White | 27840 |
| Black or African American | 2818 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 70 |
| Asian | 639 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 199 |
| More Than One Race (not OMB required) | 158 |
| ed: 7/19/2022 11:06 AM - Iowa - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022 | Page 84 |

| Race Not Known or Other (not OMB required) | 0 |
|--|-------|
| D. Ethnicity | 33147 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3305 |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 29842 |
| Ethnicity Unknown | 0 |

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

Table 33 (Optional) - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Number of Persons Served by Type of Intervention

Number of Persons Served by Individual- or Population-Based Program or Strategy

| Intervention Type | A. Individual-Based Programs and Strategies | B. Population-Based Programs and Strategies |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Universal Direct | 37,704 | N/A |
| 2. Universal Indirect | N/A | \$33,147.00 |
| 3. Selective | 4,713 | N/A |
| 4. Indicated | 1,181 | N/A |
| 5. Total | 43,598 | \$33,147.00 |
| Number of Persons Served ¹ | 43,598 | 33,147 |

¹Number of Persons Served is populated from Table 31 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies: Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity and Table 32 - SUSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Population-Based Programs and Strategies: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

Table 34 - Substance Abuse Prevention - Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies by Type of Intervention

Definition of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies: The guidance document for the Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant, Identifying and Selecting Evidence-based Interventions, provides the following definition for evidence-based programs:

- Inclusion in a Federal List or Registry of evidence-based interventions
- Being reported (with positive effects) in a peer-reviewed journal
- Documentation of effectiveness based on the following guidelines:
 - Guideline 1:

The intervention is based on a theory of change that is documented in a clear logic or conceptual model; and

- Guideline 2:
 - The intervention is similar in content and structure to interventions that appear in registries and/or the peer-reviewed literature; and
- Guideline 3:

The intervention is supported by documentation that it has been effectively implemented in the past, and multiple times, in a manner attentive to Identifying and Selecting Evidence-Based Interventions scientific standards of evidence and with results that show a consistent pattern of credible and positive effects; and

Guideline 4:

The intervention is reviewed and deemed appropriate by a panel of informed prevention experts that includes: well-qualified prevention researchers who are experienced in evaluating prevention interventions similar to those under review; local prevention practitioners; and key community leaders as appropriate, e.g., officials from law enforcement and education sectors or elders within indigenous cultures.

1. Describe the process the State will use to implement the guidelines included in the above definition.

Iowa has supported an Evidence-Based Practice Workgroup that has reviewed the above definition from CSAP and serves to provide guidance to all prevention grants administered through the Iowa Department of Public Health (IDPH). The workgroup was originally developed through a Five -Year Substance Abuse Prevention Strategic Plan for the State of Iowa. Due to COVID-19, the Workgroup has been on hold and will resume meeting in the future. This Strategic Plan encompasses the timeframe of 2018-2022 and includes a Work Plan to guide workgroup efforts. This Strategic Plan includes the following strategies to enhance evidence-based practices in lowa: 1. Develop a resource guide of substance abuse prevention best practices, programs, and policies that are evidence-based or evidence-informed as defined by the Department. 2. Develop a template of questions around substance use/misuse to be used in community needs assessments across Iowa. 3. Develop and launch a toolkit by FY2020 Q3 for communities to use when advocating for public policy change in the prevention of substance abuse. The Department has approved a list of evidence-based programs, policies, and procedures that were derived from the Integrated Provider Network (IPN) Request for Proposal. The current approved list meets SAMHSA's definition of evidence-based programs and strategies. IPN contractors selected services from this list to include in their prevention work plans. All IPN approved evidence-based programs must be implemented with fidelity to ensure the desired outcomes are achieved. Fidelity is defined as the degree to which a program is implemented according to its design. Any agency that anticipates a program modification or adaptation to an approved evidence-based program needs to submit an Adaptation Request Form. Any agency that requests to implement a program not on the Department approved list of evidence-based programs, policies and procedures needs to submit an Evidence-Based Practice Waiver Request Form. These forms must be submitted and the request approved by the Evidence-Based Practice Review Team before implementation may begin. The Adaptation Request and/or Waiver process is utilized even in the event the program developer provides approval to an agency who directly requests any changes. The Evidence-Based Practice Review Team is a subcommittee of the Department-led Evidence-Based Practice Workgroup. It is responsible for reviewing Adaptation and/or Waiver Request Forms and providing a response in a timely manner. The Department is currently developing an Evidence-Based Program, Practices and Policies Selection & Implementation Guide which will serve as a primary resource for all Department funded primary prevention agencies. This Guide will outline individual-level and population-level prevention services that are rooted in strong prevention theory, science, and research. The Evidence-Based Practice Workgroup will have the ability to review and provide comment prior to dissemination.

2. Describe how the State collected data on the number of programs and strategies. What is the source of the data?

lowa used the Iowa Service Management and Reporting Tool (I-SMART) system's prevention data to compile the numbers of evidence-based and non-evidence based programs and strategies.

Table 34 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies by Type of Intervention

| | A. Universal Direct | B. Universal Indirect | C. Universal Total | D. Selective | E. Indicated | F. Total |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies Funded | 319 | 38 | 357 | 50 | 32 | 439 |

| 2. Total number of Programs and Strategies Funded | 336 | 38 | 374 | 57 | 38 | 469 |
|--|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 3. Percent of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies | 94.94 % | 100.00 % | 95.45 % | 87.72 % | 84.21 % | 93.60 % |

| Footnotes: | | |
|------------|--|--|
| | | |

Table 35 - Total SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Number of Evidence Based Programs/Strategies and Total SABG Dollars Spent on SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies

| | Total Number of Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies for IOM Category Below | Total SAPT Block Grant Dollars Spent on evidence-based Programs/Strategies |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Universal Direct | Total # 247 | \$493,551.82 |
| Universal Indirect | Total # 10 | \$65,961.20 |
| Selective | Total # 36 | \$93,589.69 |
| Indicated | Total # 40 | \$89,539.44 |
| | Total EBPs: 333 | Total Dollars Spent: \$742,642.15 |
| Primary Prevention Total ¹ | \$3,124,551.00 | |

¹Primary Prevention Total is populated from Table 4 - State Agency SABG Expenditure Compliance Report, Row 2 Primary Prevention.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Prevention Attachments

Submission Uploads

| FFY 2022 Prevention Attachment Category A: | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|---------|------------|--|
| | File | | Version | Date Added | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| FFY 2022 Prevention Attachment C | ategory B: | | | | |
| | File | | Version | Date Added | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| FFY 2022 Prevention Attachment Category C: | | | | | |
| | File | | Version | Date Added | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| FFY 2022 Prevention Attachment Category D: | | | | | |
| | File | | Version | Date Added | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expire | s: 04/30/2022 | | | | |
| Footnotes: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |