

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Health and Human Services	<b>Date:</b>	4/18/24	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	1
<b>IAC #:</b>	441	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	52	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	217.6
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Jordan McTaggart	<b>Email:</b>	<a href="mailto:jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us">jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us</a>	<b>Phone:</b>	515-829-1095

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

This chapter outlines payment criteria and details for State Supplementary Assistance programs administered by HHS.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

Yes. As of March 2024, there were approximately 900 members enrolled in the State Supplementary Assistance programs administered by HHS.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

None identified.

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

HHS incurs personnel costs for team members to implement the program. State supplementary programs are state funded.

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

Yes. These rules provide for consistent eligibility determinations and accurate payments for the approximately 900 enrolled members and any future applicants of State Supplementary Assistance programs.

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  YES  NO**

**If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.**

Much of the benefit can be achieved through Employee Manuals, and forms prescribed by the department. However, an additional framework is needed to provide clarity, consistency and a legal basis for

determining financial need and the amount of assistance granted.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

***PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE***

441-52.1

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

Not applicable

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

441-52.1

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

**METRICS**

<b>Total number of rules repealed:</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>1199</b>
<b>Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>12</b>

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**