

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Health and Human Services	<b>Date:</b>	5.13.24	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	16
<b>IAC #:</b>	441	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	60	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	217.6
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Jordan McTaggart	<b>Email:</b>	<a href="mailto:jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us">jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us</a>	<b>Phone:</b>	515-829-1095

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

These rules define and structure the department’s refugee cash assistance program. Eligibility criteria, application procedures, reasons for adverse action, payment procedures, and recoupment procedures for overpayments are outlined.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

In FFY23, Iowa spent \$1,064,227 on RCA recipients.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

None identified.

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

HHS incurs personnel costs for team members to implement the program.

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

Yes. Ultimately the goal of this program is to provide critical assistance to refugee families while they become self-sustainable. This program is a crucial piece of a larger effort to ensure families have access to safe housing, and other essential necessities. These rules clearly define policies and procedures for the program.

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  YES  NO**

**If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.**

Some of the benefit can be achieved through Employee Manuals. However, additional structure is needed to provide detailed procedure and a legal basis for decisions made by the department.

**Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]**

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

441-60.1  
441-60.5  
441-60.6  
441-60.7  
441-60.8  
441-60.9  
441-60.10  
441-60.12  
441-60.13  
441-60.14  
441-60.15  
441-60.16

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

Not applicable.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

441-60.1  
441-60.2  
441-60.3  
441-60.4  
441-60.5  
441-60.6  
441-60.7  
441-60.8  
441-60.9  
441-60.10  
441-60.11  
441-60.12  
441-60.13  
441-60.14  
441-60.15  
441-60.16

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

**METRICS**

**Total number of rules repealed:**

**0**

<b>Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>248</b>
<b>Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>48</b>

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**