

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	Health & Human Services (HHS)	Date:	4/23/24	Total Rule Count:	10
IAC #:	441	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	66	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	234.6
Contact Name:	Jordan McTaggart	Email:	jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-829-1095

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter sets forth household eligibility criteria for the Emergency Food Assistance Program in Iowa. Previously, it outlined the contractor application process, contractor requirements, department responsibilities, and the state monitoring process in addition to household eligibility.

The contractor application process, contractor requirements, department responsibilities and state monitoring process will continue to remain present in contracts and internal documents.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

The benefit of having the household eligibility criteria for the Emergency Food Assistance Program in Iowa in the rules is being achieved. Each state is able to set their own eligibility criteria for this program based on a range provided by FNS. This rule ensures we have a rule around the household eligibility for this program (185% or below Federal Poverty).

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None identified.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

HHS incurs personnel costs to execute the program.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Aside from updating the rule, there is no other cost for this rule as household eligibility has to be determined for program participation.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Much of the benefit can be achieved through contract, and internal documents. These portions have been removed. However, the household eligibility rules provide clarity, consistency and a legal basis for decisions made by the department.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

441—66.1
441—66.2
441—66.3
441—66.4
441—66.5
441—66.6
441—66.7
441—66.8
441—66.9
441—66.10

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

441—66.2
441—66.3
441—66.4
441—66.6
441—66.7
441—66.8
441—66.9
441—66.10

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

441—66.1
441—66.5

**For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.*

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	8
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	3707
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	78

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?