Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Deventurent	Demonstrate of	Data	_	Tatal Dala	
Department	Department of	Date:		Total Rule	
Name:	Health and		4/9/2024	Count:	11
	Human				
	Services (HHS)				
		Chapter/		Iowa Code	
IAC #:	441	SubChapter/	87	Section	217.6
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	217.41B
				Rule:	
Contact	Jordan	Email:	jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-829-
Name:	McTaggart				1095

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter outlines the details of eligibility criteria, funding, provider criteria, and covered services for the Family Planning Program.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. As of March 2024, there were approximately 2,800 members enrolled in the Family Planning Program. These rules provide for consistent and accurate eligibility determinations for individuals currently enrolled and any future applicants of the program.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None identified.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

HHS incurs personnel costs for team members to implement the program.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. These rules provide for consistent and accurate eligibility determinations for approximately 2,800 enrolled members and any future applicants of the program.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \Box YES \boxtimes NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Much of the benefit can be achieved through Employee Manuals, and forms prescribed by the department. However, an additional framework is needed to provide clarity, consistency and a legal basis for decisions made by the department. Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

441-87.1	
441-87.2	
441-87.3	
441-87.4	
441-87.5	
441-87.6	
441-87.7	
441-87.11	

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

Not applicable.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

Not applicable.

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	403
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	24

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?