

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

<b>Department Name:</b>	Health and Human Services	<b>Date:</b>	4/22/24	<b>Total Rule Count:</b>	7
<b>IAC #:</b>	441	<b>Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):</b>	96	<b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b>	252B.9
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Jordan McTaggart	<b>Email:</b>	<a href="mailto:jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us">jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us</a>	<b>Phone:</b>	515-829-1095

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

This rule allows Child Support Services to request or administratively subpoena information from third parties and provide appeal procedures before imposing the statutory fine.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

Child Support Services collected \$307,513,003 in SFY 2023. Verifying employment is a critical process, as Child Support Services on average receives 78 percent of collections from income withholding from employers, once verified. The benefit is being achieved by CSS retaining the ability to request information from third parties when necessary to complete essential Child Support Services functions. In 2023 CSS submitted 20,076 requests for employment information and received 15,623 requests returned.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

A minimal fine is assessed, only in the event of a failure to comply.

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

HHS incurs personnel costs for team members to implement the program.

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

Yes. The personnel costs incurred to process information requests from third parties is necessary to complete essential Child Support Services functions.

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  YES  NO**

**If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.**

HHS supports the ability to request or administratively subpoena information from third parties in

accordance with Iowa Code. This rule chapter clarifies specific procedures, and provides additional context, but only within the scope and as defined in Iowa Code.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

***PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE***

441-96.1  
441-96.2  
441-96.3  
441-96.4  
441-96.5  
441-96.6  
441-96.7

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

None.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

441-96.1  
441-96.2  
441-96.3  
441-96.4  
441-96.5  
441-96.6

441-96.7

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

**METRICS**

<b>Total number of rules repealed:</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>412</b>
<b>Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>	<b>10</b>

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**