Red Tape Review Rule Report
(Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	Health and Human Services	**Date:**	7/5/24	**Total Rule Count:**	Not applicable (Restructured chapter – see 441-99 RR)
IAC #:	441	**Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):**	100	**Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:**	217.6
Contact Name:	Jordan McTaggart	**Email:**	jmctagg@dhs.state.ia.us	**Phone:**	515-829-1095

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter sets process standards, some of which are not found in Iowa Code, for Child Support Services when providing administrative or procedural services related to child support establishment.

Previously titled "Child Support Promoting Opportunities for Parents Program"(moved to 441-94) now contains Division 2 and Division 3 of 441-99 and is retitled.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

The benefit is achieved by Child Support Services establishing paternity and support for customers. CSS obtained 1,316 orders for paternity and 3,408 orders for support in SFY 2024.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

If genetic testing of an alleged father is conducted and that man is established as the child's father, the unit assesses the costs of genetic testing to the father who denied paternity.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

HHS incurs personnel costs for team members to implement the program.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. The personnel cost incurred to provide services is necessary to complete essential Child Support Services functions.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Some of the benefit can be achieved through manuals and standard operating procedures. However,

paternity and administrative procedure can be legally contested, and a legal basis is needed for the actions and decisions of the department.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or un-necessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Not applicable
(New chapter – see 441-99 RR)

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

Not applicable
(New chapter – see 441-99 RR)

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

441-99.10 (re-promulgated as 441-100.2)
441-99.21 (re-promulgated as 441-100.3)
441-99.22 (re-promulgated as 441-100.4)
441-99.23 (re-promulgated as 441-100.5)
441-99.24 (re-promulgated as 441-100.6)
441-99.25 (re-promulgated as 441-100.7)
441-99.27 (re-promulgated as 441-100.8)
441-99.28 (re-promulgated as 441-100.9)
441-99.29 (re-promulgated as 441-100.10)
441-99.30 (re-promulgated as 441-100.11)
441-99.31 (re-promulgated as 441-100.12)
441-99.32 (re-promulgated as 441-100.13)
441-99.37 (re-promulgated as 441-100.14)
441-99.38 (re-promulgated as 441-100.15)

441-99.39 (re-promulgated as 441-100.16)
441-99.41 (re-promulgated as 441-100.17)

For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	**Not applicable**
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	**Not applicable**
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	**Not applicable**

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?