Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 20 24

	1				,
Department	Health and	Date:	September 1, 2024	Total Rule	26
Name:	Human			Count:	
	Services				
	441	Chapter/	62	Iowa Code	Iowa Code
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	sections
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	425.16-40
				Rule:	
Contact	Victoria L.	Email:	vdaniel@dhs.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-621-8029
Name:	Daniels				

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The intended benefit of the rule is to provide guidance to the public about eligibility for Rent Reimbursement and how to obtain Rent Reimbursement.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. In calendar year 2023, 22,329 claims were filed and \$11,674,866 in reimbursement was distributed.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no direct costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule. Community Action Agencies may use staff time to assist the public with filing Rent Reimbursement claims, but there are no costs to the public at large.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Implementation of the rule does not necessitate any costs to the agency beyond those needed to implement the law itself.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

The costs of staff time needed to administer the program is less than the amount of reimbursement distributed.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? $\ oxdots$ YES $\ oldsymbol{\square}$ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Some of the provisions of the rule are appropriately included in the program policy manual, which is available to the public.

Pennsylvania has a similar program that is administered by its department of revenue. The Pennsylvania program is funded by lottery and gaming proceeds. They provide funding to their Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) and Tax Counseling for the Elderly (TCE) programs to assist people with applications. If lowa were to adopt something similar, it would provide more hands-on help to those applying, but it would require funding.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

- 1. 441-62.1(1-2) are duplicative of 425.17(2).
- 2. 441-62.1(3) is reiterated and expanded upon in the Policy Manual, 6-H.
- 3. 441-62.4(1) is reiterated and expanded upon in the Policy Manual, 6-H.
- 4. 441-62.4(2), First sentence, is duplicative of 425.17 (7).
- 5. 441-62.6, first sentence, is duplicative of 425.28(4).
- 6. 441-62.8(1) is duplicative of 425.17(11).
- 7. 441-62.8(1), last sentence is unnecessary.
- 8. 441-62.8(3) is unnecessary.
- 9. 441-62.9 is duplicative of 425.17(4).
- 10. 441-62.12 is duplicative of 425.17 (6-7).
- 11. 441-62.13 is covered in the Policy Manual, 6-H; is on the Department's website; is on the application portal; and is on the paper forms.
- 12. 441-62.14 is covered in the Policy Manual, 6-H.
- 13. 441-62.15, second half of sentence, is duplicative of 425.(17)(9).
- 14. 441-62.17, first sentence, is covered in the Policy Manual, 6-H.
- 15. 441-62.18 is covered in the Policy Manual, 6-H.
- 16. 441-62.20 is covered in 425.18 and reiterated in the Policy Manual, 6-H.
- 17. 441-62.23 is covered in 425.23 and reiterated in the Policy Manual, 6-H.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

- 1. 62.6
- 2. 62.9
- 3. 62.12
- 4. 62.13
- 5. 62.14
- 6. 62.18
- 7. 62.20
- 8. 62.23

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

- 1. 62.1
- 2. 62.2
- 3. 62.3
- 4. 62.4

F (2.F		
5. 62.5		
6. 62.7		
7. 62.8		
8. 62.10		
9. 62.11		
10. 62.15		
11. 62.16		
12. 62.17		
13. 62.19		
14. 62.21		
15. 62.22		
16. 62.24		
17. 62.25		
18. 62.26		

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	8
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1,246
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	20

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?				