Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2024)

Department	Health and	Date:	September 1, 2024	Total Rule	5
Name:	Human			Count:	
	Services				
	441	Chapter/	84	Iowa Code	249A
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Victoria L.	Email:	Compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-829-
Name:	Daniels				6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

what is the intended benefit of the rule:
To outline referrals, follow ups, screenings, etc., for the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment program (EPSDT).
s the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. In SFY 2024, initial information about the EPSDT program was sent to 65,041 newly enrolled Medicaid families. This led to 108,265 follow-up contacts and ultimately 30,341 families were reached in person or on the telephone.

None.	
What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?	

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Personnel and other administrative costs.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

NA/bat is the intended benefit of the mile?

Yes. In SFY 2024, initial information about the EPSDT program was sent to 65,041 newly enrolled Medicaid families. This led to 108,265 follow-up contacts and ultimately 30,341 families were reached in person or on the telephone.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \square YES \boxtimes NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Rulemaking is appropriate to help bolster compliance with federal regulations.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Deleted the preamble as unnecessary and redundant. 84.1 – deleted restrictive term 84.3 – deleted restrictive terms, added dates certain 84.4 – deleted restrictive terms, added dates certain 84.5 – deleted restrictive terms				
RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):				
None.				
RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available and the second se	ailable):			
84.1 - 5				
*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with sugges	ted changes.			
METRICS				
Total number of rules repealed:	0			
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	212			
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	6			
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	O			
ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?				