

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	Health and Human Services	Date:	September 1, 2024	Total Rule Count:	20
IAC #:	441	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	86	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	514I
Contact Name:	Victoria L. Daniels	Email:	vdaniel@dhs.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-829-6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To provide guidance and enforcement provisions to participating insurers as well as enrollees.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. Hawki provides medical coverage to 54,800 members and provides dental coverage to 62,700 members.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Participating insurers may incur administrative or other costs to comply with the rulemaking.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Personnel and other administrative costs.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Hawki provides medical coverage to 54,800 members and provides dental coverage to 62,700 members.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Although we communicate about hawki in multiple ways, administrative rules are the appropriate place for language about eligibility, enrollment, and enforcement, as well as other topics.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Preamble – deleted as redundant
86.1 – deleted language duplicative of Iowa and U.S. Code, consolidated definitions, deleted restrictive terms
86.2 – deleted language duplicative of federal regulations, deleted restrictive terms
86.3 – deleted redundant and restrictive language
86.4 – deleted restrictive language
86.5 – made minor wording changes to make more user friendly, deleted language that will no longer be effective as of January 1, 2025.
86.6 – deleted restrictive terms
86.7 – removed an already rescinded subrule, deleted a subrule that will no longer be effective as of January 1, 2025, renumbered throughout
86.8 – deleted restrictive language, moved definitions to “Definitions” section, deleted a paragraph that will no longer be effective as of January 1, 2025
86.9 – made minor wording changes to make more user friendly; removed an already rescinded subrule
86.10 – deleted restrictive language
86.11 – deleted restrictive language
86.13 – removed as it was already rescinded, renumbered throughout
86.14 – removed an already rescinded item in a lettered list, renumbered throughout
86.15 – made minor wording changes to improve readability, removed an already rescinded subrule, deleted two unnecessary subrules, renumbered throughout
86.16 – deleted as it was no longer relevant; renumbered throughout
86.17 – deleted restrictive language
86.18 – rescind; unnecessary, renumbered throughout
86.19 – moved definitions to “Definitions” section, deleted restrictive terms
86.20 – moved definitions to “Definitions” section, deleted restrictive terms

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

86.16
86.18

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

86.1 - 86.15; 86.17, 86.19, and 86.20.

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	3
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2,295

Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation

87

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?