Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Department	Health and	Date:	September 1, 20224	Total Rule	4
Name:	Human			Count:	
	Services				
	641	Chapter/	72.1-4	Iowa Code	135.102
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Victoria L.	Email:	compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-829-
Name:	Daniels				6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To implement the grant program pursuant to Iowa Code section 135.103 and to set forth the standards and program requirements of the grant program pursuant to section 135.103.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

There are 18 approved programs in Iowa covering 48 counties. The department is responsible for assuring program services in the remaining 51 counties.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Administrative support and contracted funds to local programs and costs associated with

implementation in the 51 counties not served by a local program:

- 1. ~\$260,000 in state funds contracted to local programs
- 2. ~\$300,000 for 3.35 FTE (Salary and Fringe) for 2 CHC, 1 SRA2, 1 Clerk Spec., and 1 ITS5 from a

combination of state and federal grant funds.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

There are 18 approved programs in Iowa covering 48 counties. The department is responsible for assuring program services in the remaining 51 counties.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

No, Currently Iowa Code sections 135.103 and 135.104 are prescriptive in the requirements of a local program.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

72.1 – two definitions removed because they were redundant; one definition revised to refer back to the Code.

72.2 - (2) revised to standardize a reference to the Department. (3) was duplicative of the Iowa Code § 135.104.

72.3 and 72.4 were obsolete due to program changes.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

72.3, 72.4

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

72.1 and 72.2

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS					
Total number of rules repealed:	2				
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	461				
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	10				

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?