Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

		-	-		
Department	Health &	Date:	3/22/2024	Total Rule	28
Name:	Human			Count:	
	Services				
	(HHS)				
		Chapter/		Iowa Code	
IAC #:	641	SubChapter/	76	Section	217.6
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Jordan	Email:	compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-829-
Name:	McTaggart				1095

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter outlines the eligibility criteria and application procedures for Maternal and Child Health programs.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. These rules facilitate Iowa's Title V program. For FFY22 Iowa's Title V program served:

- 3707 Pregnant women
- 1,111 Infants < 1 year of age
- 74,304 Children aged 1 through 21 years of age
- 8,006 Children and Youth with Special Health Care Needs (Child Health Specialty Clinics)

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None identified.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

HHS incurs personnel costs for team members to execute the program.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Eliminating these rules entirely could lead to confusion, lack of clarity and lack of available information for clients.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \square YES \boxtimes NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Much of the benefit can be achieved through contracts, and forms prescribed by the department. However, an additional framework is needed to provide clarity, consistency and an appeals process.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

641-76.1			
641-76.2			
641-76.3			
641-76.4			
641-76.5			
641-76.6			
641-76.7			
641-76.8			
641-76.9			
641-76.10			
641-76.11			
641-76.12			
641-76.13			
641-76.14			
641-76.15			
641-76.16			
641-76.17			
641-76.18			
641-76.19			
641-76.20			
641-76.21			
641-76.22			
641-76.23			
641-76.24			
641-76.25			
641-76.26			
641-76.27			
641-76.28			

RULES PROPOSED FOR	REPEAL (list rule n	umber[s]):		
641-76.1				
641-76.2				
641-76.3				
641-76.5				
641-76.8				
641-76.9				
641-76.10				
641-76.11				
641-76.12				
641-76.13				
641-76.14				
641-76.15				
641-76.16				
641-76.17				
641-76.18				
641-76.19				
641-76.20				
641-76.21				
641-76.22				
641-76.23				
641-76.24				
641-76.25				
641-76.26				
641-76.27				
641-76.28				

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available): 641-76.4

641-76.6 641-76.7

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	25
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	6130
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	128

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?