Red Tape Review Rule Report
(Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	Health & Human Services (HHS)	**Date:**	2/8/24	**Total Rule Count:**	6
IAC #:	641	**Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):**	94	**Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:**	NA
Contact Name:	Jordan McTaggart	**Email:**	compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov	**Phone:**	515-829-1095

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The child protection center grant program is established to provide grants to eligible applicants for the purpose of establishing new child protection centers and to support existing child protection centers. This chapter describes the goals, review process, eligibility criteria and appeals process for the grant program.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

This chapter describes the goals, review process, eligibility criteria and appeals process for the child protection center grant program. However, this chapter is duplicative of the request for proposal process and appeals procedures set forth in 441—Chapter 7.

This chapter is being repealed. Program implementation will continue to occur in absence of administrative rules.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

N/A

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

HHS incurs personnel costs for team members to implement the program.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

The funds under this chapter support children and families with alleged abuse allegations, predominantly sexual assault (over 70%). The absence of these funds would create gaps in service and a less effective response in both the treatment and prosecution post abuse allegations.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☐ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

N/A

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or un-necessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Authority is not explicit in Iowa Code to allow for this rulemaking. Chapter is being repealed.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

641-94.1
641-94.2
641-94.3
641-94.4
641-94.5
641-94.6

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

N/A

For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	6
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	891
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	13

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

N/A