Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2024)

Department	Public Health	Date:	September 1, 2024	Total Rule	7
Name:				Count:	
	641	Chapter/	113	Iowa Code	135.143
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact Name:	Victoria L.	Email:	vdaniel@dhs.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-829-6021
	Daniels				

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To outline the responsibilities of Public Health Response Teams (PHRTs), including activation, deployment, volunteer protections, training, and duties while deployed. The intent of the teams is to assist local communities and citizens during times of disaster.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. Since 2004, the following PHRTs have been deployed as follows:

Logistical Support Response Team (LSRT)

Disaster Medical Assistance Team (DMAT)

Environmental Health Response Team (EHRT)

Iowa Mortuary Operations Response Team (IMORT)

- October 4, 2022 EHRT- meeting for possible deployment to Florida
- March 10, 2020 COVID-19 Repatriation Mission
- March 15, 2020 LSRT- Lucas Building Mission
- April 24, 2020 DMAT- Wells Fargo NP testing Des Moines Mission
- 2012 LSRT Creston, IA Tornado
- 2008 DMAT Cedar Rapids, IA Floods
- 2005 EHRT Hurricane Katrina
- 2004 DMAT Florida Hurricane

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Personnel and other administrative costs.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Since 2004, the following PHRTs have been deployed as follows:

Logistical Support Response Team (LSRT)

Disaster Medical Assistance Team (DMAT)

Environmental Health Response Team (EHRT)

Iowa Mortuary Operations Response Team (IMORT)

- October 4, 2022 EHRT- meeting for possible deployment to Florida
- March 10, 2020 COVID-19 Repatriation Mission
- March 15, 2020 LSRT Lucas Building Mission
- April 24, 2020 DMAT Wells Fargo NP testing Des Moines Mission
- 2012 LSRT Creston, IA Tornado
- 2008 DMAT Cedar Rapids, IA Floods
- 2005 EHRT Hurricane Katrina
- 2004 DMAT Florida Hurricane

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \square YES \boxtimes NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The rulemaking is both appropriate and required by law.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

- 113.1 removed redundant definitions and restrictive terms and obsolete definitions and references.
- 113.2 removed as purpose statements are redundant.
- 113.3 removed as sponsor agencies are no longer relevant in this process.

Renumbered throughout

- 113.4 (1) removed restrictive terms and standardized use of acronyms.
- 113.4 (2) removed restrictive terms and reference to a specific department division.
- 113.5 (1) removed references to sponsor agencies and a specific department bureau.
- 113.5 (2) removed reference to sponsor agencies and a restrictive term.
- 113.5 (3) removed references to sponsor agencies and restrictive terms.
- 113.6 standardized use of acronyms.
- 113.7 (1) standardized use of acronyms.
- 113.7 (2) standardized use of acronyms.

113.7 (3) – standardized use of acronyms and removed reference to a specific division o lowa Attorney General.	f the Office of the				
113.7 (4) – standardized use of acronyms. 113.7 (5) – standardized use of acronyms.					
RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):					
113.2 113.3					
RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if ava	ilable):				
113.1					
113.4 113.5					
113.6					
113.7					
*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.					
METRICS					
Total number of rules repealed:	2				
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2,452 - 1,630 = 822				
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	52 - 30 = 22				
ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYIN	G ANY RULES?				