

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	Public Health	Date:	September 1, 2024	Total Rule Count:	10
IAC #:	441	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	131	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	147A
Contact Name:	Victoria L. Daniels	Email:	vdaniel@dhs.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-829-6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter establishes the regulations and requirements for emergency medical provider initial certification for individuals who have been trained to provide emergency and non-emergency medical care at the EMR, EMT, AEMT, paramedic or other certification level recognized by the department; describes the authority, permission to practice and scope of practice for certified emergency medical care providers in the State of Iowa; and establishes the regulations and requirements for renewal, extension and reactivation of an emergency medical care provider certification in the state of Iowa.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. We have 10,710 certified EMS providers in the State of Iowa.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Application and renewal fees are paid by prospective and current EMS providers. There is no fee incurred for renewal of certification for the EMR or EMT level. There is a \$10 renewal fee for the AEMT level. There is a \$25 renewal fee for the paramedic level. Initial certification and reactivation of certification in Iowa is \$30. The bureau is removing the \$50 fee for background checks, this process is moving to an outside entity. Fees are retained to offset some minimal administrative costs.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The bureau supports 3.5 FTEs in the direct and indirect work of provider certification, including 1.0 administrative assistant, 0.5 EMS program planner, 1.0 compliance officer, and 1.0 EMS program director. General Fund: \$432,386.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. We have 10,710 certified EMS providers in the State of Iowa that run approximately 500,000 EMS calls per year in the care of Iowans.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Because of the nature of the work of EMS providers, effective regulation is crucial. In addition, the rules are required by law.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

131.1 - repeal; unnecessary
Renumbered throughout
131.2 – updated references, deleted reference to specific Department bureau, deleted redundant language, and eliminated restrictive terms.
131.3 (2) – deleted as unnecessary
131.3 (3) – deleted as unnecessary
131.3 (6) – partially deleted as duplicative of Iowa Code
131.3 (7) – changed “board” to “department,” deleted restrictive terms
131.4 (1) – deleted as background check results are a matter of Iowa HHS policy
131.4 (2) - deleted as background check result reviews are a matter of Iowa HHS policy
131.4 (3) – restrictive term eliminated
131.5 (2) – deleted reference to specific Department bureau
131.6 (1) – removed URL, deleted restrictive terms
131.6 (3) – removed URL
131.6 (4) – removed URL, updated outdated references
131.6 (6) – corrected misspelled word
131.6 (7) - deleted reference to specific Department bureau, deleted restrictive terms
131.6 (8) - deleted reference to specific Department bureau, deleted restrictive terms
131.6 (9) – deleted restrictive terms
131.7 (1) – corrected reference to another rule chapter
131.7 (2) – removed restrictive terms
131.7 (3) – removed restrictive terms
131.8 (2) – removed restrictive terms
131.9 (1) – removed restrictive terms
131.9 (2) – removed restrictive terms
131.10 (1) - corrected reference to another rule chapter
131.10 (2) – removed redundant address
131.10 (6) – corrected misspelled word
131.10 (7) – deleted redundant address
131.10 (8) – deleted restrictive terms, updated department name due to alignment, minor wording changes
131.10 (9) – deleted restrictive terms, updated department name due to alignment, minor wording changes
131.10 (12) – deleted restrictive terms
131.10 (13) – deleted restrictive terms
131.10 (15) – deleted redundant address

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

131.1

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

- 131.2
- 131.3
- 131.4
- 131.5
- 131.6
- 131.7
- 131.8
- 131.9
- 131.10

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	8,238 - 7,392 = 891
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	125 - 80 = 45

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

