

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	Public Health	Date:	September 1, 2024	Total Rule Count:	10
IAC #:	641	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	138	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	2024 Iowa Acts SF 2385
Contact Name:	Victoria L. Daniels	Email:	vdaniel@dhs.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-829- 6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To repeal a rule chapter for which there is no rulemaking authority, as well as to comply with 2024 Iowa Acts SF2385.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. Repealing the rule chapter matches the intent of the Red Tape Rule Review, as well as bringing the Department into compliance with the new legislation

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

None.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

NA

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Repealing the chapter is the appropriate action.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

The entire chapter is now obsolete.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

138.1 - 10.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	10
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1,518
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	38

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

