

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	Public Health	Date:	September 1, 2024	Total Rule Count:	10
IAC #:	641	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	139	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	147A.4
Contact Name:	Victoria L. Daniels	Email:	vdaniel@dhs.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-829-6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

To establish the standards and requirements for authorization of emergency medical care training programs in the State of Iowa; to establish the requirements of the training program related to preparing students for emergency medical provider certification in the State of Iowa; and to describe the authority of the Department to impose disciplinary sanctions against a training program.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

There are 20 EMS training programs in the state of Iowa.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Emergency medical care training programs may incur costs associated with compliance.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

Personnel and other administrative costs.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Without appropriate regulation, emergency medical care students may attend training programs that provide substandard or inadequate instruction. The overall level of emergency care provided would suffer.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Rulemaking is both appropriate and required by law.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

139.1 – removed for redundancy

Renumbered throughout

139.2 – referred back to source definitions, removed outdated definitions, updated reference materials

139.3 (1) “a” – removed department address

139.3 (1) “b” – removed inapplicable requirement

139.3 (1) “c” – removed restrictive term

139.3 (1) “d” and “e” – removed procedural steps

139.3 (1) “f” – removed restrictive term

139.3 (2) “a” – removed reference to department website

139.3 (2) “g” – removed restrictive term

139.4 (1) “b” – updated reference title and date

139.4 (1) “d” – updated reference and document titles

139.4 (1) “e” – added “physician”

139.4 (2) “b” – moved to another, more appropriate rule

139.4 (2) “f” and “g” – moved to another, more appropriate rule

139.4 (3) “d” – removed outdated reference

139.4 (4) “d” – removed outdated reference

139.4 (4) “f” – removed inapplicable language

139.4 (5) “a” – deleted procedural language

139.4 (5) “g” – removed outdated reference

139.4 (5) “h” – removed procedural language

139.4 (5) “i” – removed outdated reference

139.4 (6) “a” – removed redundant language

139.4 (6) “b” – removed redundant language

139.4 (6) new “a” – added language from another rule

139.4 (6) new “b” – added language from another rule

139.4 (6) “d” – changed “and” to “or”

139.5 (1) “a” – removed reference to department website

139.5 (1) “c” – updated document reference

139.5 (2) – deleted because the bureau is no longer registering students

139.7 (3) – updated reference to waiver rule

139.9 (1) – updated reference to waiver rule

139.9 (3) “e” – minor wording change

139.10 (1) – removed reference to department website

139.10 (5) – added reference to department’s appeal rule chapter

139.10 (6) to (16) – deleted duplicative language

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

139.1

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

139.2 - 10 (renumbered)

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1,938
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	20

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No