***TEXT BOXES WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE***

**Agency Name** Health & Human Services (HHS) **Rule #** Chapter 641-152

**Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule**  142A.6

**Public Hearing**

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

Date/Time: Wednesday, March 27th, 11:30-12pm

Location: Microsoft Teams

Meeting ID: 266 326 244 672

Passcode: RSVaFR

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this regulatory analysis. Written comments in response to this regulatory analysis must be received by the Department no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

 Contact Name

|  |
| --- |
| Joe Campos |

Address

|  |
| --- |
| 321 E. 12th St.Des Moines, IA 50319 |

Email and/or phone number

|  |
| --- |
| 515-304-0963compliancerules@idph.iowa.gov |

**Purpose and summary of proposed rule:**

|  |
| --- |
| This rule chapter sets forth procedure of the Iowa Tobacco Use Prevention and Control Commission in conducting competitive procurement on behalf of the tobacco initiative. The commission may engage in its own procurement under Iowa Code. The activity in this rule chapter no longer matches commission and Department procedure. Under current practice the commission utilizes HHS department procedure to engage in procurement under the auspices of the Department. |

**Analysis of Impact of Proposed Rule**

1. Persons affected by the proposed rule
	* Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rule:

|  |
| --- |
| None identified. |

* + Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rule:

|  |
| --- |
| None identified. |

1. Impact of the proposed rule, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred
	* Quantitative description of impact:

|  |
| --- |
| None identified. |

* + Qualitative description of impact:

|  |
| --- |
| None identified. |

1. Costs to the state
	* Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

* + Anticipated effect on state revenues:

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

1. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the costs and benefits of inaction

|  |
| --- |
| The activity in this rule chapter no longer matches commission and Department procedure. The chapter can be rescinded.  |

1. Determination if less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

1. Alternative methods considered by the agency
	* Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

* + Reasons why they were rejected in favor of the proposed rule:

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

**Small Business Impact**

If the rule will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rule on small business:

* Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rule for small business.
* Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rule for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
* Consolidate or simplify the rule’s compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
* Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rule for small business.
* Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rule.

If legal and feasible, how does the rule use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

**Text of Proposed Rule:**

|  |
| --- |
| N/A, repeal chapter. |