

**HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT[421]**

**Regulatory Analysis**

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 421—Chapter 41

“Hall of Fame”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 216A

State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: 2024 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2385

*Public Hearing*

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

November 12, 2024

Microsoft Teams

1 to 2 p.m.

Meeting ID: 287 138 322 978

Passcode: w3jvk8

*Public Comment*

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m.

on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels

321 East 12th Street

Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Email: [compliance@hhs.iowa.gov](mailto:compliance@hhs.iowa.gov)

*Purpose and Summary*

This rulemaking eliminates an administrative chapter that has become obsolete due to the enactment of 2024 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2385.

## HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT[421]

### *Analysis of Impact*

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

There are no costs associated with this rulemaking.

- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

There is no particular benefit or detriment from the rulemaking.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- Quantitative description of impact:

One administrative chapter will be eliminated.

- Qualitative description of impact:

Unknown.

3. Costs to the State:

- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

There are no costs associated with this rulemaking.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

This rulemaking has no effect on state revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Inaction is not possible; there is no rulemaking authority for this chapter.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

## HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT[421]

The only option is to rescind the chapter.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

None.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

There is no rulemaking authority for this chapter.

### *Small Business Impact*

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

This rulemaking has no impact on small business.

### *Text of Proposed Rulemaking*

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **421—Chapter 41.**