

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: Iowa Administrative Code 641—Chapter 74
“Family Planning Services”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 217.41B
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code section 217.41B

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

November 7, 2024
2 p.m.

Microsoft Teams
Meeting ID: 233 331 347 558
Passcode: h2yRwX

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis. Written or oral comments in response to this Regulatory Analysis must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels
421 East 12th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.829.6021
Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

The intended benefit of this proposed rulemaking is to ensure that there is a successful process identified on how to fund eligible applicants for the Iowa Health and Human Services Title X Family Planning Program and what the grant application process consists of.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:
 - Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:
None were identified.
 - Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:
None were identified.
2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:
 - Quantitative description of impact:
Not applicable.
 - Qualitative description of impact:
Rulemaking authority is limited in scope. The chapter is being repealed. The portions of the chapter where rulemaking is mandated by Iowa Code will be moved into 641—Chapter 75. The remaining portions will continue to exist through the request for proposal process.
3. Costs to the State:
 - Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

None.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:
No impact on state revenues is expected.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

The chapter is being rescinded as part of the Executive Order 10 Red Tape Review process.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

The chapter is being rescinded as part of the Executive Order 10 Red Tape Review process.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:
The chapter is being rescinded as part of the Executive Order 10 Red Tape Review process.
- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:
The chapter is being rescinded as part of the Executive Order 10 Red Tape Review process.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

This rulemaking is not expected to have any effect on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **641—Chapter 74**.