

### **Regulatory Analysis**

Notice of Intended Action to be published: Iowa Administrative Code 641—Chapter 114  
“Preparedness Advisory Council”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: Executive Order 10  
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Executive Order 10

#### *Public Hearing*

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

November 7, 2024  
2 p.m.

Microsoft Teams  
Meeting ID: 233 331 347 558  
Passcode: h2yRwX

#### *Public Comment*

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis. Written comments in response to this Regulatory Analysis must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels  
421 East 12th Street  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
Phone: 515.829.6021  
Email: [compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov](mailto:compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov)

#### *Purpose and Summary*

The committee described in this chapter is required to receive federal grant funds in the event of a public health disaster. The committee’s purpose, organization, and procedures are more appropriately outlined under a formal charter document. Therefore, the Department proposes to rescind the entire chapter.

#### *Analysis of Impact*

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:
  - Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:  
No costs are associated.
  - Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:  
None, but none are harmed, either.
2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:
  - Quantitative description of impact:  
Repealing eight administrative rules.
  - Qualitative description of impact:  
None; the committee will continue under a formal charter.
3. Costs to the State:
  - Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:  
None.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:  
None.
- 4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:  
Not applicable; repealing the chapter is the correct action to take.
- 5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:  
Not applicable.
- 6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:
  - Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:  
None.
  - Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:  
Not applicable.

*Small Business Impact*

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There is no impact on small business.

*Text of Proposed Rulemaking*

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **641—Chapter 114**.