

PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT[641]

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 641—Chapter 50

“Oral Health”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: Not applicable

State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code sections 135.14 and 135.15

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

November 7, 2024

Microsoft Teams

2 p.m.

Meeting ID: 233 331 347 558

Passcode: h2yRwX

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m.

on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels

Department of Health and Human Services

Lucas State Office Building

321 East 12th Street

Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Phone: 515.829.6021

Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT[641]

Purpose and Summary

These rules were intended to define the responsibilities of the Dental Director and the Oral Health Bureau. The chapter is proposed to be rescinded.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

None were identified.

- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

None were identified.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- Quantitative description of impact:

Not applicable.

- Qualitative description of impact:

Rulemaking authority for this chapter is not explicit in the Iowa Code. This chapter is being rescinded. Because the Department has established program priorities, policies, plans, and leadership, implementation will continue to occur in absence of administrative rules.

3. Costs to the State:

- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

Not applicable.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

Not applicable.

PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT[641]

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Not applicable.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

Not applicable.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.

- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.

- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT[641]

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

Not applicable.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **641—Chapter 50**.