


# I-PAC 2024 Survey - 25 Member Responses


Q2 - How would you rate the effectiveness of the Mental Health Block Grant Presentations?



Q3 - Did the Mental Health Block Grant Presentations provide you with an increased understanding of your role, duties, and responsibilities of being a Council member?



Q4 - How often should the Mental Health Block Grant Presentations be offered?



# I-PAC 2024 Survey - 25 Member Responses

## Q5 - What suggestions do you have for improving the Mental Health Block Grant Presentations?

What suggestions do you have for improving the Mental Health Block Grant Presentations?

---

Record them and put them on the IPAC member team's channel.

n/a

Breaking the BG presentations into three sections has been very helpful. It can be a dry topic at times, so manageable chunks of information, in a more energetic format, have been easier for me to digest.

I do not have any suggestions. I believe they were very effective and very helpful to the group.

Being clearer in the beginning of the presentations on what to do with the information being presented, why its being presented, etc. Sharing this information in advance would be helpful also.


A better understanding of draining the swamp to community mental health centers with them receiving plenty of funding and NO funding sustainable for peer run organizations.

To not be broken up over so many meetings


N/A

# I-PAC 2024 Survey - 25 Member Responses


Q7 - How effective have the workgroups been in making recommendations that further the goals of I-PAC?



Q8 - How effective have the workgroups been in clearly establishing objectives?



Q9 - How do you feel about the workgroup meeting frequency?



# I-PAC 2024 Survey - 25 Member Responses

## Q10 - What suggestions do you have for improving the workgroups?

What suggestions do you have for improving the workgroups?

Evaluate locus of control for work group action items and relatedness to specific MH and SUD block grant work.

The work groups should ask more questions to make sure to hold parties accountable.

Clarifying goals for each workgroup will be helpful. This is a new process, so I'm sure it will improve with practice. Some workgroups are already very much on the ball.

I do not believe that the workgroups were given the necessary guidelines and understanding of what their mission was. Next year I would suggest that specific directions be given so that the work groups understand what the expectations are for each of their meetings and what is expected at each reporting out. Each meeting should have an expectation and reporting out guideline.

Providing more direction on how we can help the "system" and move towards making changes.

Having agendas each month.

Ensuring attendees can attend for the next meeting (or reschedule if they can't)

How does each workgroup know what the state and federal legislation impacts their workgroup? Is there someone that can provide this information?

Once every two months with the option of adding meetings if necessary.

Clearer objectives

More direction from the council on what they want the workgroups to accomplish. Maybe each workgroup comes up with one goal to work towards and focuses on that goal to report out the outcomes.

Not specific to workgroups, but I hope this council can stay bi-partisan. Meaning, there seems to be individuals personal politics creeping into discussions and work being done. It would be great for everyone to be mindful we don't all share the same leanings and being open to the diversity of ideas for everyone.

N/A