

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 20 25)

Department Name:	Human Services Department	Date:	September 1, 2025	Total Rule Count:	33
IAC #:	441	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	151	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	232.191
Contact Name:	Joe Campos	Email:	compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov Joe.campos@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

These rules prescribe services for eligible children for reimbursement from funds appropriated specifically for juvenile court services directed programs. The rules establish the criteria for the allocation of funds and the procedures for administration, application, eligibility, appeals, service delivery, and billing and payment. These rules also prescribe the joint responsibilities of the chief juvenile court officers and the department's service area managers for the planning and implementation of an annual child welfare and juvenile justice plan for each department service area.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. The rules laid out in this chapter are as prescribed by Iowa Code section 232.191.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs to the public to comply with this rule.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The department incurs administrative and personnel costs to effectuate the application of these rules.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes, the funding of these programs is required by Iowa code 232.191.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

This chapter underwent a fulsome review as a part of the Red Tape Review process laid out in 2023 Executive Order 10. As a result of this review, restrictive terms were removed, areas that were duplicative were combined or eliminated, and editorial updates were made to processes and procedures to ensure they reflect current policies and procedures.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

This chapter underwent a fulsome review as a part of the Red Tape Review process laid out in Executive Order 10. As a result of this review, restrictive terms were removed, areas that were duplicative were combined or eliminated, and editorial updates were made to processes and procedures to ensure they reflect current policies and procedures.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

151.1- 151.33

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

151.1- 151.4

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	29
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	10,253
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	233

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

Not applicable.