

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Health and Human Services	Date:	May 1, 2025	Total Rule Count:	7
IAC #:	641	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	21	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	135.22
Contact Name:	Victoria L. Daniels	Email:	Victoria.daniels@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-829-6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter describes the central registry for brain and spinal cord injuries. Data from the registry should be utilized to facilitate prevention strategies and the provision of appropriate rehabilitative services by the department and other state agencies.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

The rule chapter may provide guidance to health care providers on what information to submit to the registry, but the Department is able to and does convey that information in other ways, as well.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Hospitals may incur administrative costs to comply with Iowa Code section 135.22.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The department incurs personnel and other administrative costs to administer the registry.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

The registry provides many benefits which will continue to exist without an administrative rules chapter.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

As there is no underlying statutory authority for administrative rules, chapter rescission is appropriate.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

As there is no underlying statutory authority for administrative rules, chapter rescission is appropriate.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

21.1 through 21.7

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

None.

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	7
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	4,302
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	20

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.