

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Public Health Department	Date:	September 1, 2025	Total Rule Count:	117
IAC #:	641	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	40	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	136C
Contact Name:	Joe Campos	Email:	Joe.campos@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This proposed chapter establishes the requirements of registrants, or people seeking to be certified in the use of dental, medical, diagnostic, interventional, and veterinary radiation machines.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, the regulation of certification standards for the use of radiation machines in the healing arts is required by Iowa Code section 136C.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs incurred by the public to comply with this rule.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The department incurs administrative and personnel costs to implement this chapter.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes, both the public and industry professionals benefit from clear and coherent rules related to the use of radiation machines in the healing arts.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☐ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

These regulations are required by Iowa Code chapter 136.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

This chapter underwent a fulsome review as a part of the Red Tape Review process laid out in Executive Order 10. As a result of this review, restrictive terms were removed, areas that were duplicative were combined or eliminated, and editorial updates were made to processes and procedures to ensure they reflect current policies and procedures.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

40.11- 40.117

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

40.1- 40.10

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	107
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	30,290
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	131

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

Not applicable.