Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Department	Date:	September 1, 2025	Total Rule	7
Name:	of Health and			Count:	
	Human				
	Services				
	641	Chapter/	41	Iowa Code	136C
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Joe Campos	Email:	Joe.campos@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	
Name:					

ivanic.	
PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE	
What is the intended benefit of the rule?	
This rule chapter sets standards for registration, certification, and safety requirements for rand sterotactic breast biopsy.	nammography
Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.	
Yes, the regulation of mammography and stereotactic breast biopsy allows for public confid healthcare providers performing mammography and stereotactic breast biopsies. Additional regulation of mammography and stereotactic breast biopsy is required by Iowa Code 136C	illy, the
What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?	
There are no costs to the public to comply with this rule.	
What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?	
The department incurs administrative and personnel costs to implement these rules.	
Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.	
Yes, both the public and industry professionals benefit from clear and coherent rules related certification and use of mammography and strereotactic breast biopsy procedures.	d to the
Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO	
If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other applicable. If NO, please explain.	r states, if

Rulemaking is required by Iowa Code chapter 136C.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

This chapter underwent a fulsome review as a part of the Red Tape Review process laid out in Executive Order 10. As a result of this review, restrictive terms were removed, areas that were duplicative were combined or eliminated, and editorial updates were made to processes and procedures to ensure they reflect current policies and procedures.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):					
None					

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

41.1-41.7

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	6,150
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1,324

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES'	ARF THFRF	F ANY STATUTO	RY CHANGES YOU WOUL	D RECOMMEND INCLUDIN	IG CODIFYING ANY RULFS?
--	-----------	---------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------------------------

No.