

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Health and Human Services Department	Date:	September 1, 2025	Total Rule Count:	33
IAC #:	641	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	42	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	136C
Contact Name:	Joe Campos	Email:	Joe.campos@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This proposed chapter establishes the requirements of registrants, or people seeking to be certified for the use of therapeutic radiation machines.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, by providing clear and coherent rules related to the certified use of therapeutic radiation machines, the public and industry professionals can trust that those using the therapeutic radiation machines are qualified to do so.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs to the public to comply with this rule.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The department incurs administrative costs to implement these rules.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes, the regulation of therapeutic radiation machines is required by Iowa Code 136.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The regulation of therapeutic radiation machines is required by Iowa Code 136.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

This chapter underwent a fulsome review as a part of the Red Tape Review process laid out in Executive Order 10. As a result of this review, restrictive terms were removed, areas that were duplicative were combined or eliminated, and editorial updates were made to processes and procedures to ensure they reflect current policies and procedures.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

42.19- 42.33

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

42.1 -42.18

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	18
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	4,742
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	170

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.