

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Public Health Department	Date:	September 1, 2025	Total Rule Count:	10
IAC #:	641	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	44	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	136B
Contact Name:	Joe Campos	Email:	Joe.campos@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter establishes requirements for the credentialing of radon mitigation specialists. Credentialed mitigation specialists are responsible for ensuring that all radon mitigation systems for which they are responsible are installed following guidelines that are provided in this chapter.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, these rules provide detailed specifications for becoming a credentialed radon mitigation specialist, so that consumers seeking radon mitigation services can trust that their provider has the necessary training.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Providers seeking credentialing incur fees as set forth in the rule chapter.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The department incurs personnel and other administrative costs in the enforcement of these rules. Some of those costs are offset by fees collected from those providers seeking credentialing.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. The regulation of radon mitigation specialists is required by Iowa Code 136B.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

This rulemaking is required by Iowa Code section 136B.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

This chapter underwent a fulsome review as a part of the Red Tape Review process laid out in Executive Order 10. As a result of this review, restrictive terms were removed, areas that were duplicative were combined or eliminated, and editorial updates were made to processes and procedures to ensure they reflect current policies and procedures.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

None.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

44.1- 44.10

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1,139
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	8

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.