

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Health and Human Services	Date:	7/3/2025	Total Rule Count:	7
IAC #:	641	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	107	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	135.181
Contact Name:	Alison Albright	Email:	alison.albright@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The board-certified behavior analyst and board-certified assistant behavior analyst (BCBA/BCaBA) grants program is established to increase access for Iowans to applied behavior analysis services by providing grants to Iowa resident and nonresident applicants who have been accepted for admission or are attending a university, a community college, or an accredited private institution, within or outside the state of Iowa; are enrolled in a program, offered at a physical location or online, that is accredited and meets coursework requirements to prepare the applicant to be eligible for board certification as a behavior analyst or assistant behavior analyst; and demonstrate financial need.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, the program increased the amount of BCBA/BCaBA graduating and working.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs associated with this rule chapter.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The Department incurs personnel and other administrative costs to implement the rules.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes, the program increased the amount of BCBA/BCaBA graduating and working.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Rulemaking is required by Iowa Code section 135.181.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

107.1- deleted as being redundant.
107.7(2)- amended to clarify the review process.
107.7(3)- amended to remove obsolete reference.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

107.1

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

107.7(2) and 107.7(3)

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	204
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	19

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.