# **Red Tape Review Rule Report**

(Due: September 1, 20 25 )

Department	Health and	Date:	5/29/2025	Total Rule	16
Name:	Human			Count:	
	Services				
	641	Chapter/	110	Iowa Code	2025 Iowa
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	Acts,
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	House File
				Rule:	972
Contact	Alison	Email:	alison.albright@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	
Name:	Albright				

### PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

#### What is the intended benefit of the rule?

Govern the organization of the center for rural health and primary care within the department of public health. The center for rural health and primary care shall provide technical planning assistance to rural communities and counties exploring innovative means of delivering rural health services through community health services assessment, planning, and implementation.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Over a third of Iowans reside in rural communities. The Center for Rural Health and Primary Care provide technical assistance to rural providers, Critical Access Hospitals and Small Rural Hospitals. This support includes designating shortage areas that is a designation that open up other federal support programs for these rural heath care providers in support of their rural populations.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There were no costs associated with these rule chapters.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The Department incurs personnel and other administrative costs to implement the rules.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes, over a third of Iowans reside in rural communities. The Center for Rural Health and Primary Care provide technical assistance to rural providers, Critical Access Hospitals and Small Rural Hospitals. This support includes designating shortage areas that is a designation that open up other federal support programs for these rural heath care providers in support of their rural populations.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  $\square$  YES  $\boxtimes$  NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Rulemaking is required by Iowa Code sections 135.107 and 135B.33.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

# PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

The rule making authority for and code sections associated with Chapter 110 were eliminated with 2025 Iowa Acts House File 972.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):					
Chapter 641-110.					

None.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

## **METRICS**

Total number of rules repealed:	16
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2,840
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	75

<b>ARF THF</b>	RF ANY ST	ATUTORY CHAN	GES YOU WOUL	D RECOMMEND INCLUD	ING CODIFYING	<b>ANY RUI FS?</b>
MINE IIIE	IL WILL DI	AIUIUNI CHAN	ULJ IOU WOOL	D INECOMMEND INCEDE		ANI NOLLJ:

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?					
	No.				