

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 641—Chapter 10
“Iowa Get Screened: Colorectal Cancer Program”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: Executive Order 10
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Executive Order 10

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

July 1, 2025
10 a.m.

Microsoft Teams
Meeting ID: 242 652 748 070 8
Passcode: k9m3He3u

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels
321 East 12th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.829.6021
Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

The goal of the Iowa Get Screened program is to reduce the incidence, mortality, and prevalence of colorectal cancer in Iowa by increasing the number of individuals who receive colorectal cancer screenings. This chapter was scheduled for a Red Tape Review in 2025 pursuant to Executive Order 10. Upon its review, the Department found that there was no underlying authority for administrative rules for this program. As such, this chapter is proposed to be rescinded.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**
 - **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**
There are no costs associated with this proposed rulemaking.
 - **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**
No persons will benefit from or be harmed by this rulemaking.
2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**
 - **Quantitative description of impact:**
One chapter is proposed to be rescinded.
 - **Qualitative description of impact:**
The program will continue with or without administrative rules as long as funds are available.
3. **Costs to the State:**
 - **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**
The Department incurs personnel and other administrative costs to administer the program.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

This proposed rulemaking has no impact on State revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Because there is no underlying rulemaking authority, chapter rescission is appropriate.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

Not applicable.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

This rulemaking has no impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **641—Chapter 10.**