

## Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (1 of 3)

---

Under §1915(c) of the Social Security Act and 42 CFR §441.302, the approval of an HCBS waiver requires that CMS determine that the state has made satisfactory assurances concerning the protection of participant health and welfare, financial accountability and other elements of waiver operations. Renewal of an existing waiver is contingent upon review by CMS and a finding by CMS that the assurances have been met. By completing the HCBS waiver application, the state specifies how it has designed the waiver's critical processes, structures and operational features in order to meet these assurances.

- Quality Improvement is a critical operational feature that an organization employs to continually determine whether it operates in accordance with the approved design of its program, meets statutory and regulatory assurances and requirements, achieves desired outcomes, and identifies opportunities for improvement.

CMS recognizes that a state's waiver Quality Improvement Strategy may vary depending on the nature of the waiver target population, the services offered, and the waiver's relationship to other public programs, and will extend beyond regulatory requirements. However, for the purpose of this application, the state is expected to have, at the minimum, systems in place to measure and improve its own performance in meeting six specific waiver assurances and requirements.

It may be more efficient and effective for a Quality Improvement Strategy to span multiple waivers and other long-term care services. CMS recognizes the value of this approach and will ask the state to identify other waiver programs and long-term care services that are addressed in the Quality Improvement Strategy.

### Quality Improvement Strategy: Minimum Components

The Quality Improvement Strategy that will be in effect during the period of the approved waiver is described throughout the waiver in the appendices corresponding to the statutory assurances and sub-assurances. Other documents cited must be available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if appropriate).

In the QIS discovery and remediation sections throughout the application (located in Appendices A, B, C, D, G, and I), a state spells out:

- The evidence based discovery activities that will be conducted for each of the six major waiver assurances; and
- The *remediation* activities followed to correct individual problems identified in the implementation of each of the assurances.

In Appendix H of the application, a state describes (1) the *system improvement* activities followed in response to aggregated, analyzed discovery and remediation information collected on each of the assurances; (2) the correspondent *roles/responsibilities* of those conducting assessing and prioritizing improving system corrections and improvements; and (3) the processes the state will follow to continuously *assess the effectiveness of the OIS* and revise it as necessary and appropriate.

If the state's Quality Improvement Strategy is not fully developed at the time the waiver application is submitted, the state may provide a work plan to fully develop its Quality Improvement Strategy, including the specific tasks the state plans to undertake during the period the waiver is in effect, the major milestones associated with these tasks, and the entity (or entities) responsible for the completion of these tasks.

When the Quality Improvement Strategy spans more than one waiver and/or other types of long-term care services under the Medicaid state plan, specify the control numbers for the other waiver programs and/or identify the other long-term services that are addressed in the Quality Improvement Strategy. In instances when the QIS spans more than one waiver, the state must be able to stratify information that is related to each approved waiver program. Unless the state has requested and received approval from CMS for the consolidation of multiple waivers for the purpose of reporting, then the state must stratify information that is related to each approved waiver program, i.e., employ a representative sample for each waiver.

## Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (2 of 3)

---

### H-1: Systems Improvement

#### a. System Improvements

- Describe the process(es) for trending, prioritizing, and implementing system improvements (i.e., design changes) prompted as a result of an analysis of discovery and remediation information.

Iowa Department of Health and Human Services is the single state agency that retains administrative authority of Iowa's HCBS Waivers. Iowa remains highly committed to continually improve the quality of services for all waiver programs.

The QIS developed by Iowa consolidates and stratifies performance data across the five 1915(c) waivers that will be in effect on October 1, 2026. The HCBS waiver population will be identified based on waiver enrollment at a single point in time. A 95% confidence level with a 5% error rate for the total waiver population is calculated. In an effort to ensure each waiver is represented within the sample identified for the reporting year, the specific waiver enrollment will be divided by the total waiver population to identify the percentage the specific waiver contributes to the overall waiver population during that reporting year. The significant sample will be multiplied by the percentage identified for each waiver to identify the number of surveys/reviews that need to be completed for each waiver. This process is completed for each waiver to ensure that the 95% confidence level is met and that each waiver is appropriately sampled. A common capture date will be used to count enrollment numbers for all waivers.

IA. Adults with Disabilities Waiver 8%  
 IA. Child and Youth Waiver 8%  
 IA. Elderly Waiver 29%  
 IA. Intellectual Disabilities Waiver 49%  
 IA. Brain Injury Waiver 6%

Based on contract oversight and performance measure implementation, Iowa Medicaid holds regularly occurring meetings to review and discuss performance data to identify areas of noted concern for assessment and prioritization. This can include discussion of the discovery and remediation activities at an individual, programmatic and systemic level. These activities may lead to operational changes. Contracts are monitored and improvements are made through other inter-unit meetings designed to promote programmatic and operational transparency while engaging in continued collaboration and ongoing quality improvement. Further, a quality assurance committee meets monthly to discuss focus areas, ensuring that timely remediation and contract performance is occurring at a satisfactory level.

All contracted MCOs are accountable for improving quality outcomes and developing a Quality Management/Quality Improvement (QM/QI) program that incorporates ongoing review of all major service delivery areas. The QM/QI program must have objectives that are measurable, realistic and supported by consensus among the MCOs' medical and quality improvement staff. Through the QM/QI program, the MCOs must have ongoing comprehensive quality assessment and performance improvement activities aimed at improving the delivery of healthcare services to members. As a key component of its QM/QI program, the MCOs must develop incentive programs for both providers and members, with the ultimate goal of improving member health outcomes. Finally, MCOs must meet the requirements of 42 CFR 438 Subpart E and the standards of the credentialing body by which the MCO is credentialed in development of its QM/QI program. The State retains final authority to approve the MCOs' QM/QI program. The State has developed a reporting manual for the MCOs to utilize for many of the managed care contract monthly and quarterly reporting requirements. The managed care contract also allows for the State to request additional regular and ad hoc reports.

HHS is in the process of implementing quality management system improvements, which will enable data to be captured at a more refined level, specifically individual discovery remediation. While each contracting unit utilizes their own electronic tracking system or OnBase (workflow management), further improvements are in process to ensure the effectiveness of the improvement initiatives.

## ii. System Improvement Activities

<b>Responsible Party</b> <i>(check each that applies):</i>	<b>Frequency of Monitoring and Analysis</b> <i>(check each that applies):</i>
<b>State Medicaid Agency</b>	<b>Weekly</b>
<b>Operating Agency</b>	<b>Monthly</b>

<b>Responsible Party</b> (check each that applies):	<b>Frequency of Monitoring and Analysis</b> (check each that applies):
<b>Sub-State Entity</b>	<b>Quarterly</b>
<b>Quality Improvement Committee</b>	<b>Annually</b>
<b>Other</b> Specify: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content;">Contracted Entity including MCOs</div>	<b>Other</b> Specify: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>

### b. System Design Changes

**i.** Describe the process for monitoring and analyzing the effectiveness of system design changes. Include a description of the various roles and responsibilities involved in the processes for monitoring & assessing system design changes. If applicable, include the state's targeted standards for systems improvement.

Iowa Medicaid employs a Quality Assurance Manager to oversee the data compilation and remediation activities associated with 1915(c) performance measures. The QA Manager and State policy staff address oversight of design changes and the subsequent monitoring and analysis during the bi-weekly policy and monthly quality assurance meetings. Prior to dramatic system design changes, the State will seek the input of stakeholders and test/pilot changes that are suggested and developed. Informational letters are sent out to all relevant parties prior to implementation with contact information of key staff involved. This workflow is documented in logs and in informational letters found within the HHS computer server for future reference. Stakeholder involvement and informational letters are requested or sent out on a weekly/monthly/ongoing basis as policy engages in the continuous quality improvement cycle.

Unit managers, policy staff and the QA committee continue to meet on a regular basis (weekly or monthly) to monitor performance and work plan activities. Iowa Medicaid Management and QA committees include representatives from the contracted units within Iowa Medicaid as well as State staff. These meetings serve to present and analyze data to determine patterns, trends, concerns, and issues in service delivery of Medicaid services, including by not limited to waiver services. Based on these analyses, recommendations for changes in policy are made to the Iowa Medicaid policy staff and bureau chiefs. This information is also used to provide training, technical assistance, corrective action, and other activities. The unit managers and committees monitor training and technical assistance activities to assure consistent implementation statewide. Meeting minutes/work plans track data analysis, recommendations, and prioritizations to map the continuous evaluation and improvement of the system. Iowa Medicaid analyzes general system performance through the management of contract performance benchmarks, IoWANS reports, and contract deliverables and then works with contractors, providers and other agencies regarding specific issues. The QA committee directs workgroups on specific activities of quality improvement and other workgroups are activated as needed.

In addition to developing QM/QI programs that include regular, ongoing assessment of services provided to Medicaid beneficiaries, MCOs must maintain a QM/QI Committee that includes medical, behavioral health, and long-term care staff, and network providers. This committee is responsible for analyzing and evaluating the result of QM/QI activities, recommending policy decisions, ensuring that providers are involved in the QM/QI program, instituting needed action, and ensuring appropriate follow-up. This committee is also responsible for reviewing and approving the MCO's QM/QI program description, annual evaluation, and associated work plan prior to submission to HHS.

**ii.** Describe the process to periodically evaluate, as appropriate, the quality improvement strategy.

Iowa Medicaid reviews the overall QIS no less than annually. Strategies are continually adapted to establish and sustain better performance through improvements in skills, processes, and products. Evaluating and sustaining progress toward system goals is an ongoing, creative process that has to involve all stakeholders in the system. Improvement requires structures, processes, and a culture that encourage input from members at all levels within the system, sophisticated and thoughtful use of data, open discussions among people with a variety of perspectives, reasonable risk-taking, and a commitment to continuous learning. The QIS is often revisited more often due to the dynamic nature of Medicaid policies and regulations, as well as the changing climate of the member and provider communities.

In accordance with 42 CFR 438 Subpart E, the State will maintain a written strategy for assessing and improving the quality of services offered by MCOs including, but not limited to, an external independent review of the quality of, timeliness of, and access to services provided to Medicaid beneficiaries. MCOs must comply with the standards established by the State and must provide all information and reporting necessary for the State to carry out its obligations for the State quality strategy. MCOs are contractually required to ensure that the results of each external independent review are available to participating health care providers, members, and potential members of the organization, except that the results may not be made available in a manner that discloses the identity of any individual patient. Further, MCOs must establish stakeholder advisory boards that advise and provide input into: (a) service delivery; (b) quality of care; (c) member rights and responsibilities; (d) resolution of grievances and appeals; (e) operational issues; (f) program monitoring and evaluation; (g) member and provider education; and (h) priority issues identified by members. In accordance with 42 CFR 438 Subpart E, the State will regularly monitor and evaluate the MCOs' compliance with the standards established in the State's quality strategy and the MCOs' QM/QI program. The State is in the process of developing specific processes and timelines to share quality data with stakeholders such as, agencies, waiver providers, members, families, other interested parties and the public. This will include strategies such as leveraging the Medical Assistance Advisory Council (MAAC).

The HCBS QIO completes review of HCBS enrolled providers on a three-to-five-year cycle. During the onsite review HCBS ensures personnel are trained in:

- Suspected child abuse and dependent adult abuse reporting
- Incident reporting
- Have mandatory reporter training
- Member support needs
- Rights restrictions
- Storage and administration of member medication

In addition, HCBS QIO reviews the centralized incident report file, appeals and grievances, and any allegations of abuse. During the review of service documentation, any incident identified in narrative which falls under the incident description in IAC Chapter 77 is required to have an incident report filed. The provider's tracking and trending of incident reports is also reviewed during the onsite review. Any areas the provider may be out of compliance results in the requirement of a corrective action plan. HCBS gives the provider 30 days to submit a time limited corrective action plan which will remediate the deficiency. 45 days after the corrective action plan has been accepted HCBS follows up and requires the agency to submit evidence that the corrective action plan was put into place.

## Appendix H: Quality Improvement Strategy (3 of 3)

### H-2: Use of a Patient Experience of Care/Quality of Life Survey

**a. Specify whether the state has deployed a patient experience of care or quality of life survey for its HCBS population in the last 12 months (Select one):**

**No**

**Yes (Complete item H.2b)**

**b. Specify the type of survey tool the state uses:**

**HCBS CAHPS Survey :**

**NCI Survey :**

**NCI AD Survey :**

**Other** (*Please provide a description of the survey tool used*):