

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2026)

Department Name:	Human Services	Date:	5/7/26	Total Rule Count:	13
IAC #:	441	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	110	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	237A.12
Contact Name:	Joe Campos	Email:	Joe.campos@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter establishes procedures for child care homes that have a child care assistance provider agreement to receive child care assistance funds. Included are application and renewal procedures, standards for providers, and procedures for compliance checks and complaint investigations.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Because there are many similarities between registered child development homes and child care homes with a child care provider agreement, the rules could all be within one chapter, with specific rules for each category of child care home. By combining these rules into 441—Chapter 110, it allows the Department to remove duplicative content, and make it easier for the public to find the information necessary based on the type of child care home in question.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs incurred by the public to implement this rule.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The department incurs personnel costs to implement/enforce these rules.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. The establishment of rules regulating child development homes is required by Iowa Code 237A.12.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

This chapter is set to be rescinded, and combined into Chapter 110.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

No.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

120.1 through 120.3

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

0

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	13
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	7,381
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	202

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

N/A