

Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2026)

Department Name:	Health and Human Services	Date:	September 1, 2026	Total Rule Count:	10
IAC #:	441	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	163	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	Executive Order 10
Contact Name:	Victoria L. Daniels	Email:	Victoria.daniels@iowa.gov	Phone:	515-829-6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

These rules define and structure the grant programs for adolescent pregnancy prevention statewide campaign, adolescent pregnancy evaluation, adolescent pregnancy state coalition, and community adolescent pregnancy prevention and services programs.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

The programs underlying the rule chapter provides:

- Broad-based representation from community or regional representatives including, but not limited to, schools, churches, human services-related organizations, and businesses;
- Comprehensive programming that focuses on the prevention of initial pregnancies during the adolescent years; and
- Services to pregnant and parenting adolescents

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs to the public associated with this chapter.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The Department incurs personnel and administrative costs to administer the programs.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

The programs underlying the rule chapter provides:

- Broad-based representation from community or regional representatives including, but not limited to, schools, churches, human services-related organizations, and businesses;
- Comprehensive programming that focuses on the prevention of initial pregnancies during the adolescent years; and
- Services to pregnant and parenting adolescents

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☒ YES ☐ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Upon review, the Department found there is no statutory rulemaking authority for this rule chapter. As such, it will be rescinded. The programs will continue.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

All 10 rules are being rescinded.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

All 10 rules are being rescinded.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	10
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2376
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	38

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.