

Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2026)

Department Name:	Health and Human Services	Date:	9-1-2026	Total Rule Count:	5
IAC #:	441	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	142	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	232
Contact Name:	Victoria L. Daniels	Email:	Victoria.daniels@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-829-6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This chapter describes Iowa's participation in the Interstate Compact on the placement of children. The compact governs interstate aspects of placements preliminary to possible adoptions, placements in foster care where no adoption is contemplated, placements with any parent or relative, and institutional placements of adjudicated children in need of assistance needing special services or programs not available within the State.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. Children and families involved in out-of-state placements benefit from the structure and guidelines in this chapter.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There may be nominal costs to parents associated with private interstate adoptions, but not as a direct result of this rule chapter.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The Department incurs personnel and other administrative costs associated with implementing this chapter, as well as a \$13,700 association membership fee and a licensing fee of \$32,825.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. Children and families involved in out-of-state placements benefit from the structure and guidelines in this chapter.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Rulemaking is required by Iowa Code chapter 232.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

The Department removed restrictive terms throughout the chapter as a result of a review pursuant to Exective Order 10.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

143.2 and 143.4 which were placeholders for previously rescinded rules.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	2
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	226
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	13

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.