

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2026)

Department Name:	Commission on Volunteer Service	Date:	9/1/2026	Total Rule Count:	6
IAC #:	817	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	13	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	15H.10
Contact Name:	Victoria L. Daniels	Email:	Victoria.daniels@hhs.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-829-6021

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The purpose of the Future Ready Iowa Volunteer Mentor Program is to match volunteer mentors with student mentees and to support implementation of the Future Ready Iowa Skilled Workforce Last-Dollar scholarship program and the Future Ready Iowa Skilled Workforce grant program created in Iowa Code sections 256.228 and 256.229. The Future Ready Iowa Volunteer Mentor Program is an optional program for recipients of these scholarships and grants and is designed to provide mentors to help recipients increase success in school and make meaningful career connections. The Iowa Commission on Volunteer Service manages this statewide program by partnering with employers, local high schools, nonprofits, local eligible institutions of higher education and others to develop mentoring cohorts.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. In 2024, XXX volunteer mentors were matched.??? What other metrics could we use?

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs incurred by the public to comply with the rules.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The Department of Health and Human Services incurs personnel and other administrative costs to implement the rules.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Rulemaking is required by Iowa Code section 15H.10.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

13.1 – deleted redundant purpose and program description
13.2 – deleted redundant definitions and updated cross-references
13.3 – no change
13.4 – no change
13.5 – updated cross references and deleted a restrictive term
13.6 – no change

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

13.1

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

13.2 through 13.6 (renumbered)

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	1
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	196
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.