

Department of Justice (DOJ) Findings at State Resource Centers

As of December 29, 2021

The following is a summary of DOJ findings from their investigation into the State Resource Centers, Glenwood Resource Center (GRC) and Woodward Resource Center (WRC).

Previous Investigation of GRC and WRC: 1999 to 2002

- Remedial Plan spanned 2004-2010 (including extension)
- Provisions around restraint, behavior support plans, integrated support plans, general clinical care, nursing, medical care, and quality assurance (among other things).

Notice of Investigation: November 21, 2019

- Source of Authority:
 - o 42 USC 1997 (CRIPA Civil Rights for Institutionalized Persons)
 - o 42 USC 12101 (ADA Americans with Disabilities Act)
- **Findings: December 22, 2020,** related to GRC (ADA, community integration, component remains under investigation)
 - o Conducting human subject experimentation without consent
 - Optimal hydration study
 - Inadequate physical care
 - Lack of timely and appropriate clinical assessments
 - Concerning medication practices
 - Disjointed clinical care
 - Inadequate behavioral supports
 - Overuse and misuse of restraint practices
 - Poor coordination
 - Poorly trained staff
 - Lack of Quality Assurance
 - No real oversight
 - Efforts taken to mask findings in mortality reviews
 - No system to report concerns, concerns were reported but not acted upon
- Findings: December 8, 2021, regarding investigation of state resource centers
 - o Resource center residents should receive services in their own communities
 - Little opposition from residents or guardians to transition
 - Staff lack information on community resources
 - Resource centers lack sufficient transition plans to effectively move residents
 - o lowa failed to address known deficiencies in community-based services
 - Inadequate access to intellectual and developmental disability (IDD) supports
 - Lack of access to services for those with complex needs
 - Heavy reliance on institutionalization
 - Iowa lacks sufficient oversight of Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) and home- and community-based services to prevent institutionalization
 - Failure to use MCO-reported data effectively

Key Provisions to Negotiate

- What does "substantial compliance" look like?
 - o Date certain for remedial plan to conclude
 - o Crisp benchmarks by which to measure compliance
- Opportunity for negotiation and remediation before litigation if noncompliance

Key Deadlines:

• lowa will not be appealing either set of findings and is currently in negotiations on the legal framework, or consent decree, that establishes the parameters for substantial compliance.

.