

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (1 of 8)

State Participant-Centered Service Plan Title:

Individual Service Plan

a. Responsibility for Service Plan Development. Per 42 CFR §441.301(b)(2), specify who is responsible for the development of the service plan and the qualifications of these individuals (*select each that applies*):

Registered nurse, licensed to practice in the state

Licensed practical or vocational nurse, acting within the scope of practice under state law

Licensed physician (M.D. or D.O)

Case Manager (qualifications specified in Appendix C-1/C-3)

Case Manager (qualifications not specified in Appendix C-1/C-3).

Specify qualifications:

[Empty text box for Case Manager qualifications]

Social Worker

Specify qualifications:

[Empty text box for Social Worker qualifications]

Other

Specify the individuals and their qualifications:

Integrated Health Homes, through their Care Coordinators, are responsible for service planning functions for those members enrolled with an IHH. IHH care coordinators must meet the requirements as outlined in the approved Health Home SPA Attachment H, SPMI: The Care Coordinator must be a BSW with an active license, or BS/BA in the related field.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (2 of 8)

b. Service Plan Development Safeguards. *Select one:*

Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

The state has established the following safeguards to ensure that service plan development is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:*

[Empty text box for Service Plan Development Safeguards]

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (3 of 8)

c. Supporting the Participant in Service Plan Development. Specify: (a) the supports and information that are made available to the participant (and/or family or legal representative, as appropriate) to direct and be actively engaged in the service plan development process and (b) the participant's authority to determine who is included in the process.

Information related to waiver services and general waiver descriptions are initially made available following receipt of a waiver application. Service plans are then developed with the member and an interdisciplinary team, regardless of delivery system. Teams often consist of the member and, if appropriate, their representative; case manager, health home coordinator, or community-based case manager; service providers; and other supporting persons selected by the member. During service plan development, the member and/or their representative is strongly encouraged to engage in an informed choice of services, and is offered a choice of institutional or HCBS. Planning is timely, occurs when convenient for the member, and is intended to reflect the member's cultural considerations. If the member chooses to self-direct services, an Independent Support Broker is provided to assist with budgeting and employer functions. The Iowa Medicaid Member Services Unit remains available at all times, during normal business hours, to answer questions and offer support to all Medicaid members. Further, the Member Services Unit distributes a quarterly newsletter in effort to continually educate waiver members about services and supports that are available but may not have been identified during the service plan development process.

The interRAI Standardized Assessment Tool and the Mayo Portland Adaptability Inventory (MPAI) or other department designated standardized assessment tool is completed prior to the initiation of services and yearly thereafter for fee-for-service (FFS) and MCO members. These tools are provided to the member upon request and are utilized by the member, their case manager and their IDT during the service planning meeting to identify priority needs and goals in order to develop the member's comprehensive person centered service plan.

The fee-for-service person-centered planning processes must:

- Include people chosen by the member;
- Include the use of team of professionals and non-professionals with adequate knowledge, training and expertise surrounding community living and person-centered service delivery;
- Allow the member to choose which team member shall serve as the lead and the member's main point of contact;
- Promote self-determination principles and actively engages the member;
- Provide necessary information and support to ensure that the member directs the process to the maximum extent possible, and is enabled to make informed choices and decisions;
- Be timely and occur at times and locations of convenience to the member;
- Reflect cultural considerations of the member and provide information in plain language and in a manner that is accessible to individuals with disabilities and persons who are limited English proficient, consistent with 42 CFR 435.905(b);
- Include strategies for solving conflict or disagreement within the process, including clear conflict-of-interest guidelines for all planning participants;
- Offer informed choices to the member regarding the services and supports they receive and from whom;
- Include a method for the member to request updates to the plan as needed; and
- Record the alternative home and community-based settings that were considered by the member.

MCOs are contractually required to provide supports and information that encourage members to direct, and be actively engaged in, the service plan development process, and to ensure that members have the authority to determine who is included in the process. Specifically, MCO person-centered planning processes must:

- Include people chosen by the member;
- Include the use of team of professionals and non-professionals with adequate knowledge, training and expertise surrounding community living and person-centered service delivery;
- Allow the member to choose which team member shall serve as the lead and the member's main point of contact;
- Promote self-determination principles and actively engages the member;
- Provide necessary information and support to ensure that the individual directs the process to the maximum extent possible, and is enabled to make informed choices and decisions;
- Be timely and occur at times and locations of convenience to the member;
- Reflect cultural considerations of the individual and provide information in plain language and in a manner that is accessible to individuals with disabilities and persons who are limited English proficient, consistent with 42 CFR 435.905(b);
- Include strategies for solving conflict or disagreement within the process, including clear conflict-of-interest guidelines for all planning participants;
- Offer informed choices to the member regarding the services and supports they receive and from whom;
- Include a method for the member to request updates to the plan as needed; and

- Record the alternative home and community-based settings that were considered by the member.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (4 of 8)

d. Service Plan Development Process. In four pages or less, describe the process that is used to develop the participant-centered service plan, including: (a) who develops the plan, who participates in the process, and the timing of the plan; (b) the types of assessments that are conducted to support the service plan development process, including securing information about participant needs, preferences and goals, and health status; (c) how the participant is informed of the services that are available under the waiver; (d) how the plan development process ensures that the service plan addresses participant goals, needs (including health care needs), and preferences; (e) how waiver and other services are coordinated; (f) how the plan development process provides for the assignment of responsibilities to implement and monitor the plan; and, (g) how and when the plan is updated, including when the participant's needs change. State laws, regulations, and policies cited that affect the service plan development process are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable):

For fee-for-service members service plans are developed by the member; case manager or health home coordinator; and an interdisciplinary team. Planning meetings are scheduled at times and locations convenient for the individual. The service plan must be completed prior to services being delivered and annually thereafter, or whenever there is a significant change in the member's situation or condition. The case manager or health home coordinator receives the assessment and level of care determination from medical services. A summary of the assessment becomes part of the service plan. The case manager or health home coordinator uses information gathered from the assessment and then works with the member to identify individual and family strengths, needs, capacities, preferences and desired outcomes and health status and risk factors. This is used to identify the scope of services needed.

Note: For both FFS and managed care enrollees, the types of assessments used are identified in Appendix B-6-e.

The case manager or health home coordinator informs the member of all available non-Medicaid and Medicaid services including waiver services. There are waiver informational brochures available to share with members and their parents/guardians. Brochures are available at each of the HHS county offices. Information is also available on the Iowa Medicaid and MCO websites. The brochures include information on eligibility, service descriptions, and the application process. Once a member begins the enrollment process and has a HHS TCM, health home coordinator, or community-based case manager assigned, a more detailed review of services and providers that are available in the area occurs as part of the planning process for developing a participant's plan of care.

The case manager or health home coordinator will also discuss with the member the self-direction option and give the member the option of self-directing services available. The member and the interdisciplinary team choose services and supports that meet the member's needs and preferences, which become part of the service plan. Service plans must:

- a. Reflect that the setting in which the individual resides is chosen by the member;
- b. Reflect the member's strengths and preferences;
- c. Reflect the clinical and support needs as identified through the needs assessment;
- d. Include individually identified goals and desired outcomes which are observable and measurable;
- e. Include the interventions and supports needed to meet member goals and incremental action steps as appropriate;
- f. Reflect the services and supports, both paid and unpaid, that will assist the individual to achieve identified goals, the frequency of services and the providers of those services and supports, including natural supports;
- g. Include the names of providers responsible for carrying out the interventions or supports including who is responsible for implementing each goal on the plan and the timeframes for each service;
- h. Include the identified activities to encourage the member to make choices, to experience a sense of achievement, and to modify or continue participation in the service plan;
- i. Include a description of any restrictions on the member's rights, including the need for the restriction and a plan to restore the rights (for this purpose, rights include maintenance of personal funds and self-administration of medications);
- j. Reflect risk factors and measures in place to minimize them, including individualized back-up plans and strategies when needed;
- k. Include a plan for emergencies;
- l. Be understandable to the member receiving services and supports, and the individuals important in supporting him or her;
- m. Identify the individual and/or entity responsible for monitoring the plan;
- n. Be finalized and agreed to, with the informed consent of the member in writing, and signed by all individuals and providers responsible for its implementation;
- o. Be distributed to the member and other people involved in the plan;
- p. Indicate if the member has elected to self-direct services and, as applicable, which services the participant elects to self-direct; and
- q. Prevent the provision of unnecessary or inappropriate services and supports.

The case manager or health home coordinator will be responsible for coordination, monitoring and overseeing the implementation of the service plan including Medicaid and non-Medicaid services. If a member chooses to self-direct, the member with the help of a case manager or health home coordinator identifies who will be providing Independent Support Broker Services.

For MCO members, service plans are developed through a person-centered planning process led by the member, with MCO participation, and representatives included in a participatory role as needed and/or defined by the member. Planning meetings are scheduled at times and locations convenient for the individual. A team is established to identify

services based on the member's needs and desires, as well as availability and appropriateness of services. The team is also responsible for identifying an emergency backup support and crisis response system to address problems or issues arising when support services are interrupted or delayed, or when the member's needs change. Service plans are completed prior to services being delivered, and are reevaluated at least annually, whenever there is a significant change in the member's situation or condition, or at a member's request.

In accordance with 42 CFR 441.301 and 441 Iowa Administrative Code Chapters 90.5(1)b and 83, MCOs must ensure the service plan reflects the services and supports that are important for the member to meet the needs identified through the needs assessment, as well as what is important to the member with regard to preferences for the delivery of such services and supports. The service plan must reflect the member's needs and preferences and how those needs will be met by a combination of covered services and available community supports. The service planning process must address the full array of medical and non-medical services and supports provided by the MCO and available in the community to ensure the maximum degree of integration and the best possible health outcomes and member satisfaction. Services plans must:

- a. Reflect that the setting in which the individual resides is chosen by the member;
- b. Reflect the member's strengths and preferences;
- c. Reflect the clinical and support needs as identified through the needs assessment;
- d. Include individually identified goals and desired outcomes which are observable and measurable;
- e. Include the interventions and supports needed to meet member goals and incremental action steps as appropriate;
- f. Reflect the services and supports, both paid and unpaid, that will assist the individual to achieve identified goals, the frequency of services and the providers of those services and supports, including natural supports;
- g. Include the names of providers responsible for carrying out the interventions or supports including who is responsible for implementing each goal on the plan and the timeframes for each service;
- h. Include the identified activities to encourage the member to make choices, to experience a sense of achievement, and to modify or continue participation in the service plan;
- i. Include a description of any restrictions on the member's rights, including the need for the restriction and a plan to restore the rights (for this purpose, rights include maintenance of personal funds and self-administration of medications);
- j. Reflect risk factors and measures in place to minimize them, including individualized back-up plans and strategies when needed;
- k. Include a plan for emergencies;
- l. Be understandable to the member receiving services and supports, and the individuals important in supporting him or her;
- m. Identify the individual and/or entity responsible for monitoring the plan;
- n. Be finalized and agreed to, with the informed consent of the member in writing, and signed by all individuals and providers responsible for its implementation;
- o. Be distributed to the member and other people involved in the plan;
- p. Indicate if the member has elected to self-direct services and, as applicable, which services the participant elects to self-direct; and
- q. Prevent the provision of unnecessary or inappropriate services and supports.

MCO members have appeal rights, including access to a State Fair Hearing after exhausting the MCO appeal process. Members can continue services while an appeal decision is pending, when the conditions of 42 CFR 438.420 are met. MCOs are contractually required to implement a comprehensive strategy to ensure a seamless transition of services during program implementation. Further, MCOs are required to develop and maintain, subject to HHS approval, a strategy and timeline within which all waiver members will receive an in-person visit from appropriate MCO staff and an updated needs assessment and service plan. Services may not be reduced, modified or terminated in the absence of an up-to-date assessment of needs that supports the reduction, modification or termination. Changes to these must receive HHS prior approval.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (5 of 8)

- e. **Risk Assessment and Mitigation.** Specify how potential risks to the participant are assessed during the service plan development process and how strategies to mitigate risk are incorporated into the service plan, subject to participant needs and preferences. In addition, describe how the service plan development process addresses backup plans and the arrangements that are used for backup.

During the evaluation/reevaluation of level of care, risks are assessed for FFS members by a case manager or integrated health home care coordinator, and for MCO members by their respective MCO, using the assessment tools designated in B-6e. The assessment becomes part of the service plan and any risks are addressed as part of the service plan development process. The comprehensive service plan must identify an emergency backup support and crisis response system to address problems or issues arising when support services are interrupted or delayed or the member's needs change. In addition, providers of applicable services shall provide for emergency backup staff. All service plans must include a plan for emergencies and identification of the supports available to the participant in an emergency.

Emergencies are those situations for which no approved individual program plan exists and which, if not addressed, may result in injury or harm to the participant or other persons or significant amounts of property damage. The service plan must identify an emergency backup support and crisis response system to address problems or issues arising when support services are interrupted or delayed or the member's needs change.

Emergency plans are developed on the following basis:

- Providers must provide for emergency, back-up staff in applicable services.
- Interdisciplinary teams must identify in the service plan, as appropriate for the individual member health and safety issues based on information gathered prior to the team meeting, including a risk assessment. This information is incorporated into the service plan.
- The team identifies an emergency backup support and crisis response system to address problems or issues arising when support services are interrupted or delayed, or the member's needs change.

Personal Emergency Response and Portable Locator Services are available under the waiver and it is encouraged that this service be used as part of emergency backup plan when a scheduled support worker does not appear. Other providers may be listed on the service plan as source of back up as well. All participants choosing the self-direction option will sign an individual risk agreement that permits the participant to acknowledge and accept certain responsibilities for addressing risks.

MCOs have processes to ensure the necessary risk assessments and mitigation plans are completed and made available to all parties. Risk assessments and mitigation plans are completed during the individual's service plan (ISP) team meeting. The IHH determines a member's risk through a series of questions and answers. Findings are documented in the Person Centered Treatment Plan. This form guides the IHH to identify member's personal preferences for risk mitigation including back-up arrangements. The IHH Care Coordinator leads the ISP meeting, ensuring that there is a back-up arrangement for each service identified. The member, ISP team members, and ancillary providers receive a copy of the plan.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (6 of 8)

- f. Informed Choice of Providers.** Describe how participants are assisted in obtaining information about and selecting from among qualified providers of the waiver services in the service plan.

While information about qualified and accessible providers is available to members through the Iowa Medicaid website, MCO website, and/or MCO member services call center, the case manager, health home coordinator, community-based case manager first identifies providers to the member and their interdisciplinary team during the person-centered service planning process. Members are encouraged to meet with the available providers before making a selection. Members are not restricted to choosing providers within their community. Information about qualified and accessible providers is also available to members through their case manager, health home coordinator, community-based case manager, Iowa Medicaid website, and/or MCO website. If an MCO is unable to provide services to a particular member using contract providers, the MCO is required to adequately and timely cover these services for that member using non-contract providers, for as long as the MCO's provider network is unable to provide them.

The MCOs are responsible for authorizing services for out-of-network care when they do not have an in-network provider available within the contractually required time, distance and appointment availability standards. The MCO is responsible for assisting the member in locating an out-of-network provider, authorizing the service and assisting the member in accessing the service. The MCO will also assist with assuring continuity of care when an in-network provider becomes available. To ensure robust provider networks for members to choose from, MCOs are not permitted to close provider networks until adequacy is fully demonstrated to, and approved by, the State. Further, members will be permitted to change MCOs in the event that their chosen provider does not ultimately contract with the MCO. Finally, MCOs are required to submit to the State on a regular basis provider network reports including, but not limited to network geo-access reports, 24-hour availability audit reports, provider-credentialing reports, subcontractor compliance summary reports, and provider helpline performance reports.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (7 of 8)

- g. Process for Making Service Plan Subject to the Approval of the Medicaid Agency.** Describe the process by which the service plan is made subject to the approval of the Medicaid agency in accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(i):

HHS has developed a computer program named the Institutional and Waiver Authorization and Narrative System (IoWANS) to support HCBS programs. This system assists HHS with tracking information, monitoring, and approving service plans for fee-for-service participants. (Refer to appendix A and H for IoWANS system processes.) On a monthly basis, the Iowa Medicaid Medical Services Unit conducts service plan reviews. The selection size for the waiver has a 95% confidence level. This info is reported to CMS as part of Iowa's performance measures. IoWANS will only be utilized for fee-for-service members and quality data for managed care participants will be provided by the MCOs.

The State retains oversight of the MCO service plan process through a variety of monitoring and oversight strategies as described in Appendix D – Quality Improvement: Service Plan section. On a monthly basis, each managed care organization conducts service plan reviews. The selection size for the waiver requires a 95% confidence level. The monthly info gathered by the MCOs is reported to Iowa Medicaid managed care Unit on a quarterly basis for review. Iowa Medicaid reviews the MCO service plan information and reports to CMS as part of Iowa's performance measures.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (8 of 8)

- h. Service Plan Review and Update.** The service plan is subject to at least annual periodic review and update to assess the appropriateness and adequacy of the services as participant needs change. Specify the minimum schedule for the review and update of the service plan:

Every three months or more frequently when necessary

Every six months or more frequently when necessary

Every twelve months or more frequently when necessary

Other schedule

Specify the other schedule:

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

i. Maintenance of Service Plan Forms. Written copies or electronic facsimiles of service plans are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required by 45 CFR §92.42. Service plans are maintained by the following (*check each that applies*):

Medicaid agency

Operating agency

Case manager

Other

Specify:

| |
|--|
| <p>HHS, case managers, or health home coordinators maintain fee-for-service participant service plans. MCO community-based case managers maintain MCO member service plans. Service plans are maintained for a minimum of five years post service.</p> |
|--|

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-2: Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring

a. Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring. Specify: (a) the entity (entities) responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan and participant health and welfare; (b) the monitoring and follow-up method(s) that are used; and, (c) the frequency with which monitoring is performed.

FFS

The case managers are responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan and the health and welfare of fee-for-service members, including:

- Monitoring service utilization including access and use of non-waiver services including health services.
- Making at least one contact per month with the member, the member's legal representative, the member's family, service providers, or another person, as necessary to develop or monitor the treatment plan.
- Make a face-to-face contact with the member at least once every three months.
- Participating in the development and approval of the service plan in coordination with the interdisciplinary team at least annually or as needs change. If services have not been meeting member needs, the plan is changed to meet those needs. The effectiveness of the emergency backup plan is also addressed as the service plan is developed.

The member is encouraged during the time of the service plan development to call the case manager or health home coordinator, if there are any problems with either Medicaid or non-Medicaid services. The case manager will then follow up to solve any problems. Monitoring service utilization includes verifying that:

- The member used the waiver service at least once a calendar quarter.
- The services were provided in accordance with the plan.
- The member is receiving the level of service needed.

The IoWANS system is also used to assist with tracking information, monitoring services, and assuring services were provided to fee-for-service members. If the member is not receiving services according to the plan or not receiving the services needed, the member and other interdisciplinary team members and providers are contacted immediately.

The HCBS specialists (of the HCBS QA Unit) monitor the how member health and welfare is safeguarded, the degree of service plan implementation; and the degree of interdisciplinary team involvement of the case manager, or health home coordinator during the HCBS Quality Assurance review. Members are asked about their choice of provider, whether or not the services are meeting their needs, whether staff and care coordinators are respecting their choice and dignity, if they are satisfied with their services and providers, or whether they feel safe where they receive services and live.

HCBS specialists also review the effectiveness of emergency back-up and crisis plans. These components are monitored through quality oversight reviews of providers, member satisfaction surveys, complaint investigation, and critical incident report follow-up. All providers are reviewed at least once over a five-year cycle and members are surveyed at a 95% confidence level. Information about monitoring results are compiled by the HCBS Quality Assurance and Technical Assistance Unit on a quarterly basis. This information is used to make recommendations for improvements and training.

The Iowa Medicaid MSU also conducts quality assurance reviews of member service plans at a 95% confidence level. These reviews focus on the plan development, implementation, monitoring, and documentation that is completed by the case manager, CBCM, or health home coordinator. All service plans reviewed are assessed for member participation, whether the member needs are accurately identified and addressed, the effectiveness of risk assessments and crisis plans, member access to waiver and non-waiver services, as well as coordination across providers to best serve the member's needs. Information about monitoring results are compiled by the Iowa Medicaid MSU on a quarterly basis. This information is used to make recommendations for improvements and training.

MCO

MCOs are responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plans at a 95% confidence level. These reviews focus on the plan development, implementation, monitoring, and documentation that is completed by the case manager. All service plans reviewed are assessed for member participation, whether the member needs are accurately identified and addressed, the effectiveness of risk assessments and crisis plans, member access to waiver and non-waiver services, as well as coordination across providers to best serve the member's needs including access to waiver and non-waiver services, the quality of service delivery including access and use of non-waiver services including health services, and the health, safety and welfare of members and choice of service providers. Information about monitoring results are compiled and reported by the MCO on a quarterly basis. After the initiation of services identified in a member's service plan, MCOs monitor the provision of services, to confirm services have been initiated and are being provided on an ongoing basis as authorized in the service plan. At minimum, the care coordinator must contact members within five business days of scheduled initiation of services to confirm that services are being provided and that member's needs are being met. At a minimum, the community-based case manager shall contact 1915(c) HCBS waiver members at least monthly either in person or by telephone with an interval of at least fourteen (14) calendar days between contacts. Members shall be visited in their residence face-to-face by their care coordinator at least quarterly with an interval of at

least sixty (60) days between visits.

MCOs also identify and address service gaps and ensure that back-up plans are being implemented and are functioning effectively. If problems are identified, MCOs complete a self-assessment to determine what additional supports, if any, could be made available to assist the member. MCOs must develop methods for prompt follow-up and remediation of identified problems; policies and procedures regarding required timeframes for follow-up and remediation must be submitted to HHS for review and approval. Finally, any changes to a member's risk are identified through an update to the member's risk agreement. MCOs must report on monitoring results to the State.

In the event of non-compliance with service plan timelines, the MCO must: (i) immediately remediate all individual findings identified through its monitoring process; (ii) track and trend such findings and remediation to identify systemic issues of marginal performance and/or non-compliance; (iii) implement strategies to improve community-based case management processes and resolve areas of non-compliance or member dissatisfaction; and (iv) measure the success of such strategies in addressing identified issues.

If the MCO fails to develop a plan of care for HCBS waiver enrollees within the timeframe mutually agreed upon between the MCO and the Agency in the course of Contract negotiations the MCO will be assessed a noncompliance fee of \$315 per occurrence.

b. Monitoring Safeguards. *Select one:*

Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation and participant health and welfare may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation and participant health and welfare may provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

The state has established the following safeguards to ensure that monitoring is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:*

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

Quality Improvement: Service Plan

As a distinct component of the States quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the States methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Service Plan Assurance/Sub-assurances

The state demonstrates it has designed and implemented an effective system for reviewing the adequacy of service plans for waiver participants.

i. Sub-Assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: Service plans address all participants assessed needs (including health and safety risk factors) and personal goals, either by the provision of waiver services or through other means.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-a1: Number and percent of service plans that accurately address all the member's assessed needs, including at a minimum, health and safety risk factors, and personal goals
Numerator = # of service plans that accurately address all the member's assessed needs, including at a minimum, health and safety risk factors, and personal goals
Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

person-centered plans and the results of the department approved assessment

| Responsible Party for data collection/generation <i>(check each that applies):</i> | Frequency of data collection/generation <i>(check each that applies):</i> | Sampling Approach <i>(check each that applies):</i> |
|---|---|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly | 100% Review |
| Operating Agency | Monthly | Less than 100% Review |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly | Representative Sample Confidence Interval = <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: fit-content; margin-left: 20px;">95% +/- 5%</div> |
| Other Specify: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;">Contracted entity including MCO</div> | Annually | Stratified Describe Group: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> IA.0213 AIDS/HIV (.05%) IA.0242 ID (47%) IA.0299 BI (6%) IA.0345 PD (4%) IA.0819 CMH (4%) IA.4111 HD Waiver (9%) IA.4155 - Elderly Waiver (30%) </div> |
| | Continuously and Ongoing | Other Specify: |

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------|
| | | <input type="text"/> |
| | <p>Other Specify:</p> <input type="text"/> | |

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies): |
|---|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly |
| Operating Agency | Monthly |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly |
| <p>Other Specify:</p> <input type="text"/> | Annually |
| | Continuously and Ongoing |
| | <p>Other Specify:</p> <input type="text"/> |

b. Sub-assurance: The State monitors service plan development in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

c. Sub-assurance: Service plans are updated/revised at least annually or when warranted by changes in the

waiver participants needs.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-c2: Number and percent of service plans which are updated on or before the member's annual due date. Numerator = # of service plans which were updated on or before the member's annual due date; Denominator = # service plans due for annual update that were reviewed.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

person-centered plans and the results of the department approved assessment

| Responsible Party for data collection/generation <i>(check each that applies):</i> | Frequency of data collection/generation <i>(check each that applies):</i> | Sampling Approach <i>(check each that applies):</i> |
|--|---|--|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly | 100% Review |
| Operating Agency | Monthly | Less than 100% Review |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly | Representative Sample Confidence Interval = <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">95% +/- 5%</div> |
| Other Specify: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">Contracted entity including MCO</div> | Annually | Stratified Describe Group: |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | IA.0213 AIDS/HIV (.05%) IA.0242 ID (47%) IA.0299 BI (6%) IA.0345 PD (4%) IA.0819 CMH (4%) IA.4111 HD Waiver (9%) IA.4155 - Elderly Waiver (30%) |
| | Continuously and Ongoing | Other Specify: <input type="text"/> |
| | Other Specify: <input type="text"/> | |

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies): |
|---|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly |
| Operating Agency | Monthly |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly |
| Other Specify: <input type="text"/> | Annually |
| | Continuously and Ongoing |
| | Other Specify: |

| | |
|---|--|
| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): |
| | |

Performance Measure:

SP-c1: Number and percent of members who responded “YES” on the HCBS IPES survey to the question “IF YOUR NEEDS HAVE CHANGED, DID YOUR SERVICES CHANGE TO MEET THOSE NEEDS?”. Please see Main: Optional for the full description, including the Numerator and Denominator

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

person-centered plans and the results of the department approved assessment

| Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): | Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies): | Sampling Approach (check each that applies): |
|--|---|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly | 100% Review |
| Operating Agency | Monthly | Less than 100% Review |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly | Representative Sample Confidence Interval = <input type="text" value="95% +/-5%"/> |
| Other Specify: <input type="text" value="Contracted entity including MCO"/> | Annually | Stratified Describe Group: |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | IA.0213 AIDS/HIV (.05%) IA.0242 ID (47%) IA.0299 BI (6%) IA.0345 PD (4%) IA.0819 CMH (4%) IA.4111 HD Waiver (9%) IA.4155 - Elderly Waiver (30%) |
| | Continuously and Ongoing | Other Specify: <input type="text"/> |
| | Other Specify: <input type="text"/> | |

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies): |
|---|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly |
| Operating Agency | Monthly |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly |
| Other Specify: <input type="text"/> | Annually |
| | Continuously and Ongoing |
| | Other Specify: |

| | |
|---|--|
| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): |
| | |

d. *Sub-assurance: Services are delivered in accordance with the service plan, including the type, scope, amount, duration and frequency specified in the service plan.*

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-d1: Number and percent of members whose services were delivered according to the service plan, including type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the plan. Numerator: # of members whose services were delivered according to the service plan, including type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the plan. Denominator: # of member’s service plans reviewed.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Service plans are requested from the case managers, with service provision documentation requested from providers

| Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): | Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies): | Sampling Approach (check each that applies): |
|--|---|--|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly | 100% Review |
| Operating Agency | Monthly | Less than 100% Review |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly | Representative Sample Confidence Interval = <input type="text" value="95% +/- 5%"/> |
| Other Specify: | Annually | Stratified Describe Group: |

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: fit-content;">Contracted entity including MCO</div> | | IA.0213 AIDS/HIV (.05%) IA.0242 ID (47%) IA.0299 BI (6%) IA.0345 PD (4%) IA.0819 CMH (4%) IA.4111 HD Waiver (9%) IA.4155 - Elderly Waiver (30%) |
| | Continuously and Ongoing | Other Specify: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"></div> |
| | Other Specify: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"></div> | |

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i> | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i> |
|--|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly |
| Operating Agency | Monthly |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly |
| Other Specify: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"></div> | Annually |
| | Continuously and Ongoing |
| | Other Specify: |

| | |
|---|--|
| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): |
| | |

e. *Sub-assurance: Participants are afforded choice: Between/among waiver services and providers.*

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-e1: Number and percent of members from the HCBS IPES who responded that they had a choice of services. Numerator = # of HCBS IPES respondents who responded that they had a choice of services; Denominator = # of HCBS IPES respondents that answered the question asking if they had a choice of services.

Data Source (Select one):

Analyzed collected data (including surveys, focus group, interviews, etc)

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

FS HCBS UNIT QA survey data and MCO IPES databases

| Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): | Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies): | Sampling Approach (check each that applies): |
|--|---|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly | 100% Review |
| Operating Agency | Monthly | Less than 100% Review |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly | Representative Sample Confidence Interval = <input type="text" value="95% +/-5%"/> |
| Other Specify: <input type="text" value="Contracted entity including MCO"/> | Annually | Stratified Describe Group: |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | IA.0213 AIDS/HIV (.05%) IA.0242 ID (47%) IA.0299 BI (6%) IA.0345 PD (4%) IA.0819 CMH (4%) IA.4111 HD Waiver (9%) IA.4155 - Elderly Waiver (30%) |
| | Continuously and Ongoing | Other Specify: <input type="text"/> |
| | Other Specify: <input type="text"/> | |

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies): |
|---|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly |
| Operating Agency | Monthly |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly |
| Other Specify: <input type="text"/> | Annually |
| | Continuously and Ongoing |
| | Other Specify: |

| | |
|---|--|
| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): |
| | |

Performance Measure:

SP-e2: Number and percent of service plans from the HCBS QA survey review that indicated the member had a choice of HCBS service providers. Numerator: Number of service plans from the HCBS QA survey review that indicated the member had a choice of HCBS service providers; Denominator: Number of service plans from the HCBS QA survey that were reviewed.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

FFS QA review of service plan stored in OnBase. MCO review services plans available through their system.

| Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies): | Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies): | Sampling Approach (check each that applies): |
|--|---|--|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly | 100% Review |
| Operating Agency | Monthly | Less than 100% Review |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly | Representative Sample Confidence Interval = <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">95% +/- 5%</div> |
| Other Specify: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">Contracted entity including MCO</div> | Annually | Stratified Describe Group: |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | | IA.0213 AIDS/HIV (.05%) IA.0242 ID (47%) IA.0299 BI (6%) IA.0345 PD (4%) IA.0819 CMH (4%) IA.4111 HD (9%) IA.4155 - Elderly (30%) |
| | Continuously and Ongoing | Other Specify: <input type="text"/> |
| | Other Specify: <input type="text"/> | |

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies): | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies): |
|---|---|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly |
| Operating Agency | Monthly |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly |
| Other Specify: <input type="text"/> | Annually |
| | Continuously and Ongoing |
| | Other Specify: |

| Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i> | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i> |
|--|---|
| | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div> |

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each reviewed service plan component. If it is determined that the service plan does not meet the standards for component(s), the case manager is notified of deficiency and expectations for remediation. MCOs are responsible for oversight of service plans for their members.

The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that demand additional attention. These questions are considered urgent in nature and are flagged for follow-up. Based on the responses to these flagged questions, the HCBS interviewer performs education to the member at the time of the interview and requests additional information and remediation from the case manager. In reference to SP-e1, if member answers 'No or I don't know' to this IPES question, a follow-up letter is sent to the case manager to ensure member is participating in Person Centered Planning. Person Centered Planning is also monitored by the HCBS QA Unit through the MCO Community Based Case Managed (CMCB) Interdisciplinary Team (IDT) Ride Along process. The QA staff participates in a random selection of IDT meetings and then follows up to ensure that the final authorized plan agrees with the plan agreed upon by the IDT.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider training, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.

MCO

MCOs are responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plans at a 95% confidence level. These reviews focus on the plan development, implementation, monitoring, and documentation that is completed by the case manager. All service plans reviewed are assessed for member participation, whether the member needs are accurately identified and addressed, the effectiveness of risk assessments and crisis plans, member access to waiver and non-waiver services, as well as coordination across providers to best serve the member's needs including access to waiver and non-waiver services, the quality of service delivery including access and use of non-waiver services including health services, and the health, safety and welfare of members and choice of service providers. Information about monitoring results are compiled and reported by the MCO on a quarterly basis. After the initiation of services identified in a member's service plan, MCOs monitor the provision of services, to confirm services have been initiated and are being provided on an ongoing basis as authorized in the service plan. At minimum, the care coordinator must contact members within five business days of scheduled initiation of services to confirm that services are being provided and that member's needs are being met. At a minimum, the community-based case manager shall contact 1915(c) HCBS waiver members at least monthly either in person or by telephone with an interval of at least fourteen (14) calendar days between contacts. Members shall be visited in their residence face-to-face by their care coordinator at least quarterly with an interval of at least sixty (60) days between visits.

MCOs also identify and address service gaps and ensure that back-up plans are being implemented and are functioning effectively. If problems are identified, MCOs complete a self-assessment to determine what additional supports, if any, could be made available to assist the member. MCOs must develop methods for prompt follow-up and remediation of identified problems; policies and procedures regarding required timeframes for follow-up and remediation must be submitted to HHS for review and approval. Finally, any changes to a member's risk are identified through an update to the member's risk agreement. MCOs must report on monitoring results to the State.

In the event of non-compliance with service plan timelines, the MCO must: (i) immediately remediate all individual findings identified through its monitoring process; (ii) track and trend such findings and remediation to identify systemic issues of marginal performance and/or non-compliance; (iii) implement strategies to improve community-based case management processes and resolve areas of non-compliance or member dissatisfaction; and (iv) measure the success of such strategies in addressing identified issues.

If the MCO fails to develop a plan of care for HCBS waiver enrollees within the timeframe mutually agreed upon between the MCO and the Agency in the course of Contract negotiations the MCO will be assessed a noncompliance fee of \$315 per occurrence.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

- i. Describe the States method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information

regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the state to document these items.

The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each reviewed service plan component. If it is determined that the service plan does not meet the standards for component(s), the case manager is notified of deficiency and expectations for remediation. MCOs are responsible for oversight of service plans for their members.

The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that demand additional attention. These questions are considered urgent in nature and are flagged for follow-up. Based on the responses to these flagged questions, the HCBS interviewer performs education to the member at the time of the interview and requests additional information and remediation from the case manager.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider training, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

| Responsible Party <i>(check each that applies):</i> | Frequency of data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i> |
|--|--|
| State Medicaid Agency | Weekly |
| Operating Agency | Monthly |
| Sub-State Entity | Quarterly |
| Other Specify: Contracted entity including MCOs | Annually |
| | Continuously and Ongoing |
| | Other Specify: |

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Service Plans that are currently non-operational.

No

Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Service Plans, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.