

Early Childhood Iowa

ECI Statewide Performance Measures – Tool O Approved by the ECI State Board on March 1, 2024

By Iowa Code, 256I.4(5) Adopt common performance measures and data reporting requirements, applicable statewide, for services, programs, and activities provided by area boards. The data from common performance measures and other data shall be posted on the early childhood Iowa internet site and disseminated by other means and shall also be aggregated to provide statewide information. The state board shall establish a submission deadline for the annual budget and any budget amendments submitted by early childhood Iowa area boards in accordance with section 256I.8, subsection 1, paragraph "d", that allow a reasonable period of time for preparation by the area boards and for review and approval or request for modification of the materials by the state board.

This tool outlines the required data items by service type.

Direct Service Types

Inputs: • Amount of funding per funding category • Additional funding and source Outputs: • # of children • # of car seats checked • # disbursed for emergent situation Quality/Efficiency: • Cost per child Outcomes: • % of car seats that were not installed properly and corrected	 Amount of funding per funding category Additional funding and source Outputs: # of children # of families Marital status of primary caregiver Household size Federal poverty level Education level of primary caregiver Race of child Ethnicity of child # of programs # of programs meeting a quality initiative Quality/Efficiency: Cost per child % of programs in the IQ4K system Outcomes: % of families with decreased stress % of families participating in parent education opportunities

	Inputs:		Innutes		
	Amount of funding per funding category		Inputs:Amount of funding per funding category		
	 Additional funding and source 		 Additional funding and source 		
	U U		Outputs:		
	Outputs:		• # of children		
	• # of children enrolled		 Marital status of primary caregiver 		
	 # children screened # of children screened by payment source 		 Household size 		
	• # of children screened by payment source	SC	Federal poverty level		
	Quality/Efficiency:	shij	Education level of primary caregiver		
	• % of children screened	lar	Race of child		
	% of children screened that were high risk	- cho	Ethnicity of child		
		n Sc	# of programs		
tal	Outcomes:	tio	# of programs meeting a quality initiative		
Dental	 % of children screened with decay % of children screened with demineralization 	rca	Education level of lead teachers		
	 % of high-risk children that received dental care 	Edi	Quality/Efficiency:		
	coordination	80	% of children screened with ASQ-3		
		are	 % of children screened with ASQ:SE % of children screened with ASQ-3 and referred for 		
		<u>v</u>	 % of children screened with ASQ-3 and referred for follow up services/treatment 		
		Early Care & Education Scholarships	 % of children screened with ASQ:SE and referred 		
		-	for follow up services/treatment		
			Cost per child		
			% of programs in the IQ4K system		
			Outcomes:		
			% of children demonstrating age appropriate skills as		
			assessed by the ASQ-3% of children demonstrating age appropriate skills as		
			assessed by the ASQ:SE		
	Inputs:		Inputs:		
	 Amount of funding per funding category 		Amount of funding per funding category		
	Additional funding and source		Additional funding and source		
	Outputs:		Outputs:		
vices	• # of children		• # of children		
	# of programs		Quality/Efficiency:		
e S	 # of programs meeting a quality initiative Quality/Efficiency: 		• % of children screened for: (list screening)		
tiv	% of children screened with ASQ-3		• % of children screened and referred for follow up		
lod	 % of children screened with ASQ-5 % of children screened with ASQ:SE 	es	services/treatment		
dno	 % of children screened with ASQ.32 % of children screened with ASQ-3 and referred 	vic	Cost per child		
s no	for follow up services/treatment	Ser	Outcomes:		
atic	• % of children screened with ASQ:SE and	Health Services	 % of children referred and received follow up convices (treatment) 		
Inc	referred for follow up services/treatment	lea	services/treatment		
Έ	Cost per child	_ _			
e S	• % of programs in the IQ4K system				
Early Care & Education Supportive Sei	Outcomes:				
ŗ	 % of children demonstrating age appropriate skills as assessed by the ASQ-3 				
Еа	 % of children demonstrating age appropriate skills 				
	as assessed by the ASQ:SE				
	as assessed by the ASQ:SE				
	as assessed by the ASQ:SE				

	Inputs:		Inputs:
Literacy	 Amount of funding per funding category Additional funding and source Outputs: # of children # of families Quality/Efficiency: Cost per child Outcomes: % of families that report an increase in reading to their child each day % of families that report an increase in talking with their child about new words in stories 	Prenatal/Postnatal	 Amount of funding per funding category Additional funding and source Outputs: # of children # of mothers # of families Quality/Efficiency: % of children screened for: (list screening) % of children screened and referred for follow up services/treatment % of mothers screened for postpartum depression % of mothers screened and referred for follow up services/treatment Cost per family Outcomes % children referred and received follow up services/treatment % mothers referred and received follow up services/treatment % mothers referred and received follow up services/treatment

	Inputs:		Inputs:
	 Amount of funding per funding category 		 Amount of funding per funding category
	Additional funding and source		 Additional funding and source
	Outputs:		Outputs:
	• # of children		 # of households served with children age
	 # of days transportation provided 		prenatal through age five
	Quality/Efficiency:		 # of individuals housed
	Cost per child		 # of households that spend more than 30
	Outcome:		percent of their income for rent
	 % of days children attended preschool and transportation provided 		# of clients actively enrolled in support groups
	transportation provided		and/or counseling services
			 # of eligible individuals who are referred to additional supportive services
		b0	 # of Faith-based entities
		sing	 # of Non-profit status/Community Based
		no	Organizations (not Faith-based)
		Community-based Supportive Housing	Quality/Efficiency:
c		rtiv	 % of households received a social
itio		od	determinants of health (SDOH) assessment
orta		Sup	 % of families referred to local community
spc		<mark>. G</mark>	supports
Transportation		<mark>)as</mark>	 % of households receiving support to avoid aviation
F		<mark>ry-k</mark>	 eviction % of households receiving short-term shelter
		<mark>un</mark> i	 % of households receiving short-term shelter % of households receiving long-term shelter
			defined as at least 180 days
		<mark></mark>	• % of households receiving application support
		0	for additional supportive services
			Outcomes:
			% of families who enrolled in additional state
			and community resource services
			 % of families who stay in permanent housing
			• % of families who increased their income in
			supportive housing by obtaining benefits
			 and/or employment % of families who developed connections to
			their community and build social support
			networks
			• % of families who retained stable housing for 6
			or more months

Inpu	uts:	
	Amount of funding per funding category	
	 Additional funding and source 	
Outp	puts:	
	• # of household served for the first time	
	 # of returning households 	
	 # of children in the household, age five or younger 	
	 # of households gaining access to food 	
	• # of households gaining access to clothing	
	• # of households gaining access to diapers for their children	
	• # of households gaining access to other	
	essential needs	
	• # of Faith-based entities	
	 # of Non-profit status/Community Based 	
	Organizations (not Faith-based)	
Qua	lity/Efficiency:	
	 % of recipients eligible for further assistance and received assistance in completing an application 	
Outo	comes:	
	 % of families that report an increased awareness of services that can provide additional support 	

Indirect Service Types

	Inputs:	Inputs:	<u> </u>
Business Investment Program (See Tool M; this is a program operated only by Iowa Child Care Resource and Referral.	 Inputs: Amount of funding per funding category Additional funding and source Outputs: # of businesses that submitted an application # of businesses awarded a grant # of businesses participating in IQ4K # of start-up businesses # of expansion businesses # of businesses participating in quality improvement activities Quality/Efficiency: % of applications awarded % of businesses that received at least one incentive Outcomes: % of businesses that submitted a reimbursement claim 	 Amount of funding per funding category Additional funding and source Outputs: # of visits # of programs # of programs participating in IQ4K rating # of special health care needs # of technical assistance contacts # of programs that improved health and safety conditions in their early learning environments # of Participants attending HCCI trainings Quality/Efficiency: Cost per program % of programs in the IQ4K system 	5
5		 % of special needs nearth care plans in place % of programs receiving CCNC services that improve health and safety conditions in thei early learning environments % of participants that report increased knowledge as a result of HCCI trainings 	

 Inputs: Amount of funding per funding category Additional funding and source Utputs: # of unduplicated households served # of households intakes processed # of households served with children, age five or younger # of dads served singly with children, age five or younger # of households referred by a past recipient # of households referred by a community partner # of households referred* for food supports # of households *referred* for housing supports # of households *referred* for domestic violence/sexual assault supports # of households *referred* for mental health/substance abuse supports # of households *referred* for Early Learning Environment supports # of households with identified risk factors utilizing a risk/determinant tool # of Faith-based entity Cuality/Efficiency: % of households referred to a program/service Cost per family Øutcomes: % of families referred to and enrolled in a family support program % of households that report an increased awareness of concrete supports % of households that report an increased awareness of additional informal supports (faith-based) % of households who utilized additional concrete supports 	 # of individual case consultation sessions # of group consultation sessions # of staff training sessions # of parent or community training sessions # of referrals to mental health-related services Quality/Efficiency: % of staff reporting that the consultant makes time for them when they have a question or concern % of staff reporting that the consultant is knowledgeable about children's typical and atypical developmental progress % of consultants endorsed (or in the process of becoming endorsed) in Iowa's Culturally Sensitive, Relationship-Focused Practice Promoting Infant Mental Health Endorsement Y of staff reporting an increase in competency and self-confidence in addressing children's social and emotional development % of staff reporting an increase in job satisfaction % of staff reporting that the consultant works as a partner to help meet children's needs
---	--

	Inputs:		Inputs:
	 Amount of funding per funding category 		 Amount of funding per funding category
()	 Additional funding and source 		 Additional funding and source
siti BIS		s	Outputs:
Mental Health Supports: Early Childhood-Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (EC-PBIS)	Outputs:	Jce	# of participants
ġ.	# of EC-PBIS trained coaches	rer	 # of participants # of conferences
ho	# of hours of EC-PBIS coaching	nfe	 # of conferences by category
plin	• # of staff	Ō	Quality/Efficiency:
	# of programs	۔ ب	
	# of behavior incident reports	len	% of conferences by category
: Ea	Quality/Efficiency:	Professional Development - Conferences	Outcomes: None
ons	% of staff reporting that the coach makes	elo	None
po nti	time for them when they have a question or	ev l	
nei	concern		
ter 5	• % of staff reporting that the coach is	ona	
r In	knowledgeable about children's typical and	ssic	
io He	atypical developmental progress	ofe	
hav	Cost per program Outcomes:	Pro	
len Be	 % of staff reporting an increase in 		
2	competency and self-confidence to support		
	children with EC-PBIS supports/strategies		
	Inputs:		Inputs:
<u>.</u>	Amount of funding per funding category	pment -	 Amount of funding per funding category
ent	 Additional funding and source 		 Additional funding and source
E M	Outputs:		Outputs:
rin	 # of participants 		 # of trainings
ev	 # of courses attended for academic credit 	Professional Development Training	 # of trainings in by category
it-b	 # of credit-bearing courses by category 		Quality/Efficiency:
Professional Development Credit-bearing	Quality/Efficiency		 % of trainings by category
Cr	 % of credit-bearing courses by category 		 Cost per training
ofe	 Cost per participant 		Outcomes:
Pro	Outcomes:		None
	None		
	Inputs:		Inputs:
LS	 Amount of funding per funding category 	r v	 Amount of funding per funding category
Fai	 Additional funding and source 	Еа	 Additional funding and source
p	Outputs:	for	Outputs:
Public Awareness/Child Fairs	 # of activities 	nt	 # programs
ss/	# of families	me ing	 # of programs meeting a quality initiative
ne	• # of estimated reach	Quality Improvement for Early Learning	Quality/Efficiency:
are	Quality/Efficiency:	pro Le	 % of programs in the IQ4K system
N A	Cost per activity	<u></u>	Outcomes:
lic	Outcomes:	ιt	% of programs that increased or maintained
ldu	% of families with an increased awareness of ECI	llali	in the IQ4K system
Ā	and early childhood services available to their	ð	
	child		

	Inputs:		Inputs:
	• Amount of funding per funding category	<u>د</u>	Amount of funding per funding category
	Additional funding and source	ant	Additional funding and source
uo	Outputs:	ult .	Outputs:
Coordination	• # of applications processed	Consultant, ching	 # hours of consultation, mentoring or
dir	• # programs	ac C	coaching
oc	• # of programs meeting a quality initiative	Coa	 # of programs
	Quality/Efficiency:	ssistance oring, Co	 # of programs meeting a quality initiative
hip	Cost per scholarship	sist orin	 # of programs that set a goal
ars	• % of programs in the IQ4K system	a t	Quality/Efficiency:
Scholarship	Outcomes:		Cost per program
Sch	% of scholarships awarded	inc	 % of programs in the IQ4K system
	% of scholarships not awarded because of	Technical Me	 Average time spent with a program
	(list reasons):	F	Outcomes:
			• % of programs that meet the goals set

	Inputs:		Inputs:
	-		
	Amount of funding per category		Amount of funding per funding category
	 Additional funding and source 		Additional funding and source
	Outputs:		Outputs:
	# of recipients/individuals who		• # of employers
	•		 # of non-profit
	received a wage enhancement		 # of for-profit
	 # of childcare businesses who 		 # of employees served (those using incentive,
	participate		etc.)
	 # of participants receiving a wage 		Total # of employees
	enhancement who are employed 6		 # of children served
			• # of for-profit companies utilizing tax credit
	months or longer		programs (ie. Employer-Provided Childcare Tax
	 # of participants receiving a wage 		Credit, etc.)
	enhancement who remain employed		
	and have participated less than 6		Quality/Efficiency:
	months		• % of employees using incentive/program (# of
<mark></mark>	Cost per recipient/individual		employees served ÷ total # of employees)
t <mark>lor</mark>	Cost per childcare business		• Cost per child (total cost ÷ # of children served)
ort	·		• Cost per employee (total cost ÷ # of employees
<mark>≥</mark>	• # of programs participating in IQ4K	dic	served)
Car	 # of programs nationally accredited 	ss <mark>Irst</mark>	• Cost per employer (total cost ÷ # of employers)
<mark>iid o</mark>	 # of programs participating in 	tive tr	Outcomes:
<mark>ອ</mark>	T.E.A.C.H	Employer Incentives <mark>lic – Private Partnership</mark>	
the	 # of programs participating in WAGE\$ 	te lu	% of employers reporting increased staff repruitment and reporting due to employer
<mark>for</mark>		i <mark>va</mark>	recruitment and retention due to employer incentives. (# of employers reporting increased staff
nts	Quality:		recruitment/retention ÷ # of employers)
me	 Average amount of hourly wage 		 % of employers reporting decreased
<mark>uce</mark>	enhancement provided	Emp Public –	employee absenteeism and tardiness due to
<mark>ha</mark>	 Report the new hourly wage 	<mark>P</mark>	employer incentives. (# of employers reporting
e <mark>Er</mark>	average.		decreased absenteeism and tardiness ÷ # of employers)
Wage Enhancements for the Child Care Workforce			
-	OR		
	 Average amount of bonus/stipend 		
	offered.		
	 Report the amount and 		
	frequency		
	 Average amount of hourly wage post 		
	enhancement		
	% of programs with an IQ4K rating		
	Outcomes:		
	increased or maintained <mark>eligible</mark> staff		
	due to wage enhancement		
	% of childcare businesses who		
	increased or maintained <mark>birth to five</mark>		
	enrollment		

 % of recipients who retained employment in their program 	

Family Support (Home Visiting and Group-Based Parent Education (reported into Iowa Grants and DAISEY)

1	
Inputs:	Amount of funding per funding SR category
	Additional funding and source
Outcomes:	• # of children (ages 0-5) participating in family support/ parent education program (unduplicated)
	• # of families participating in family support/parent education program (unduplicated)
	# of home visits completed
	# of group parent education meetings attended
	Race of primary caregiver
	Ethnicity of primary caregiver
	Marital status primary caregiver
	Household size
	Federal Poverty Level
	Education level of primary caregiver
Quality/Efficiency	• # and % of children, prenatal-5 years, that are age eligible and screened for developmental delays
	# of those children screened that your program referred to early intervention services
	• # of newly enrolled families and # and % of newly enrolled families that meet one or more of FS
	eligibility criteria (income at or below 200% FPL, one or more parents with high school diploma or less, and/or one or more children (0 - 5) have IFSP or IEP)
Outcomes	 % of participating families that improve or maintain healthy family functioning, problem solving and communication
	 % of participating families that increase or maintain social supports
	 % of participating families that are connected to additional concrete supports
	% of participating families that increase knowledge about child development and parenting
	 % of participating families that improve nurturing and attachment between parent and child
	· // of participating families that improve halt ang and attachment between parent and china