# **Red Tape Review Rule Report**

(Due: September 1, 2023)

Department	Health &	Date:	9/1/2023	<b>Total Rule</b>	8
Name:	Human			Count:	
	Services				
	(HHS)				
	641	Chapter/	177	Iowa Code	1996 Iowa
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	Acts, chapter
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	1212, and
				Rule:	Iowa Code
					135.166
Contact	Joe Campos	Email:	Joe.campos@idph.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-304-0963
Name:					

#### PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

#### What is the intended benefit of the rule?

This rule provides that hospitals must submit data to a selected contractor of Iowa HHS. The contractor serves as an intermediary of Iowa HHS and completes data collection, maintenance, and dissemination to Iowa HHS and on Iowa HHS' behalf. Allows Iowa HHS to charge fees for administrative costs related to providing data. Requires data be kept confidential in compliance with state and federal law.

#### Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Availability of data allows for evaluations and analyses of acute and chronic conditions to improve public health, improve the quality of health services in Iowa, and design public health programs and interventions. Also allows for data availability to provide aggregate and statistical data to partners and the public.

#### What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There is no cost to the public to comply with this rule.

## What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

No costs to the state are identified. Minimal personnel time is allocated to maintaining this dataset and all work fits into other duties as assigned.

### Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Nominal costs are absorbed into other duties as assigned, and less information would be available to quantify health-related needs of Iowans if this rule didn't exist.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  $\square$  YES  $\boxtimes$  NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

HHS is implementing health data collection in accordance with Iowa Code.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or un-
necessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list
chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

# PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

177.1	
177.2	
177.3	
177.4	
177.7	
177.8	
RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):	
177.4	
177.7	
177.8	

	*RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION* (list rule number[s] or include text if available):
	177.1
	177.2
	177.3
	177.5
	177.6
_	
	<b>4-                                     </b>

\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, please attach a document with suggested changes, if available.

# **METRICS**

Total number of rules repealed:	3
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	170
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1

ARE THERE ANY RULES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND BE CODIFIED IN STATUTE?						
NA NA						